

Jordan Curve Theorem

Artur Kornilowicz
Institute of Computer Science
University of Białystok
Sosnowa 64, 15-887 Białystok, Poland

Summary. This paper formalizes the Jordan curve theorem following [42] and [17].

MML identifier: JORDAN, version: 7.5.01 4.39.921

The articles [44], [47], [9], [1], [45], [48], [5], [8], [6], [4], [7], [10], [43], [21], [2], [40], [39], [49], [46], [12], [11], [37], [38], [33], [22], [3], [13], [18], [15], [16], [14], [31], [32], [35], [20], [34], [30], [25], [26], [19], [29], [24], [23], [36], [41], [28], and [27] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: a, b, c, d, r, s denote real numbers, n denotes a natural number, p, p_1, p_2 denote points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , x, y denote points of \mathcal{E}_T^n , C denotes a simple closed curve, A, B, P denote subsets of \mathcal{E}_T^2 , U, V denote subsets of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \setminus C^c$, and D denotes a compact middle-intersecting subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 .

Let M be a symmetric triangle Reflexive metric structure and let x, y be points of M . One can verify that $\rho(x, y)$ is non negative.

Let n be a natural number and let x, y be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Note that $\rho(x, y)$ is non negative.

Let n be a natural number and let x, y be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Observe that $|x - y|$ is non negative.

We now state several propositions:

- (1) For all points p_1, p_2 of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $p_1 \neq p_2$ holds $\frac{1}{2} \cdot (p_1 + p_2) \neq p_1$.

- (2) If $(p_1)_2 < (p_2)_2$, then $(p_1)_2 < (\frac{1}{2} \cdot (p_1 + p_2))_2$.
- (3) If $(p_1)_2 < (p_2)_2$, then $(\frac{1}{2} \cdot (p_1 + p_2))_2 < (p_2)_2$.
- (4) For every vertical subset A of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $A \cap B$ is vertical.
- (5) For every horizontal subset A of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $A \cap B$ is horizontal.
- (6) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $\mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ is vertical, then $\mathcal{L}(p, p_2)$ is vertical.
- (7) If $p \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $\mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ is horizontal, then $\mathcal{L}(p, p_2)$ is horizontal.

Let P be a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . One can verify the following observations:

- * $\mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner}(P), \text{SE-corner}(P))$ is horizontal,
- * $\mathcal{L}(\text{NW-corner}(P), \text{SW-corner}(P))$ is vertical, and
- * $\mathcal{L}(\text{NE-corner}(P), \text{SE-corner}(P))$ is vertical.

Let P be a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . One can check the following observations:

- * $\mathcal{L}(\text{SE-corner}(P), \text{SW-corner}(P))$ is horizontal,
- * $\mathcal{L}(\text{SW-corner}(P), \text{NW-corner}(P))$ is vertical, and
- * $\mathcal{L}(\text{SE-corner}(P), \text{NE-corner}(P))$ is vertical.

Let us note that every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 which is vertical, non empty, and compact is also middle-intersecting.

The following propositions are true:

- (8) For all non empty compact subsets X, Y of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $X \subseteq Y$ but $W_{\min}(Y) \in X$ or $W_{\max}(Y) \in X$ holds $W\text{-bound}(X) = W\text{-bound}(Y)$.
- (9) For all non empty compact subsets X, Y of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $X \subseteq Y$ but $E_{\min}(Y) \in X$ or $E_{\max}(Y) \in X$ holds $E\text{-bound}(X) = E\text{-bound}(Y)$.
- (10) For all non empty compact subsets X, Y of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $X \subseteq Y$ but $N_{\min}(Y) \in X$ or $N_{\max}(Y) \in X$ holds $N\text{-bound}(X) = N\text{-bound}(Y)$.
- (11) For all non empty compact subsets X, Y of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $X \subseteq Y$ but $S_{\min}(Y) \in X$ or $S_{\max}(Y) \in X$ holds $S\text{-bound}(X) = S\text{-bound}(Y)$.
- (12) $W\text{-bound}(C) = W\text{-bound}(\text{NorthArc}(C))$.
- (13) $E\text{-bound}(C) = E\text{-bound}(\text{NorthArc}(C))$.
- (14) $W\text{-bound}(C) = W\text{-bound}(\text{SouthArc}(C))$.
- (15) $E\text{-bound}(C) = E\text{-bound}(\text{SouthArc}(C))$.
- (16) If $(p_1)_1 \leq r$ and $r \leq (p_2)_1$, then $\mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ meets $\text{VerticalLine}(r)$.
- (17) If $(p_1)_2 \leq r$ and $r \leq (p_2)_2$, then $\mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ meets $\text{HorizontalLine}(r)$.

Let us consider n . One can check that every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n which is empty is also Bounded and every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n which is non Bounded is also non empty.

Let n be a non empty natural number. Note that there exists a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n which is open, closed, non Bounded, and convex.

Next we state several propositions:

- (18) For every compact subset C of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $\text{NorthHalfline UMP } C \setminus \{\text{UMP } C\}$ misses C .

- (19) For every compact subset C of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds SouthHalfine $LMP C \setminus \{LMP C\}$ misses C .
- (20) For every compact subset C of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds NorthHalfine $UMP C \setminus \{UMP C\} \subseteq UBD C$.
- (21) For every compact subset C of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds SouthHalfine $LMP C \setminus \{LMP C\} \subseteq UBD C$.
- (22) If A is an inside component of B , then $UBD B$ misses A .
- (23) If A is an outside component of B , then $BDD B$ misses A .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (24) For every positive real number r and for every point a of \mathcal{E}_T^n holds $a \in \text{Ball}(a, r)$.
- (25) For every non negative real number r holds every point p of \mathcal{E}_T^n is a point of $\text{Tdisk}(p, r)$.

Let r be a positive real number, let n be a non empty natural number, and let p, q be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Observe that $\overline{\text{Ball}}(p, r) \setminus \{q\}$ is non empty.

We now state several propositions:

- (26) If $r \leq s$, then $\text{Ball}(x, r) \subseteq \text{Ball}(x, s)$.
- (27) $\overline{\text{Ball}}(x, r) \setminus \text{Ball}(x, r) = \text{Sphere}(x, r)$.
- (28) If $y \in \text{Sphere}(x, r)$, then $\mathcal{L}(x, y) \setminus \{x, y\} \subseteq \text{Ball}(x, r)$.
- (29) If $r < s$, then $\overline{\text{Ball}}(x, r) \subseteq \text{Ball}(x, s)$.
- (30) If $r < s$, then $\text{Sphere}(x, r) \subseteq \text{Ball}(x, s)$.
- (31) For every non zero real number r holds $\overline{\text{Ball}}(x, r) = \overline{\text{Ball}}(x, r)$.
- (32) For every non zero real number r holds $\text{Fr Ball}(x, r) = \text{Sphere}(x, r)$.

Let n be a non empty natural number. Note that every subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n which is Bounded is also proper.

Let us consider n . Note that there exists a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n which is non empty, closed, convex, and Bounded and there exists a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n which is non empty, open, convex, and Bounded.

Let n be a natural number and let A be a Bounded subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Observe that \overline{A} is Bounded.

Let n be a natural number and let A be a Bounded subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n . One can check that $\text{Fr } A$ is Bounded.

The following propositions are true:

- (33) Let A be a closed subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n and p be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^n . If $p \notin A$, then there exists a positive real number r such that $\text{Ball}(p, r)$ misses A .
- (34) For every Bounded subset A of \mathcal{E}_T^n and for every point a of \mathcal{E}_T^n there exists a positive real number r such that $A \subseteq \text{Ball}(a, r)$.
- (35) For all topological structures S, T and for every map f from S into T such that f is a homeomorphism holds f is onto.

- (36) Let T be a topological space, S be a subspace of T , A be a subset of T , and B be a subset of S . If $A = B$, then $T \setminus A = S \setminus B$.

Let T be a non empty T_2 topological space. Note that every non empty subspace of T is T_2 .

Let us consider p, r . Observe that $\text{Tdisk}(p, r)$ is closed.

Let us consider p, r . Observe that $\text{Tdisk}(p, r)$ is compact.

2. PATHS

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (37) Let T be a non empty topological space, a, b be points of T , and f be a path from a to b . If a, b are connected, then $\text{rng } f$ is connected.
- (38) Let X be a non empty topological space, Y be a non empty subspace of X , x_1, x_2 be points of X , y_1, y_2 be points of Y , and f be a path from x_1 to x_2 . Suppose $x_1 = y_1$ and $x_2 = y_2$ and x_1, x_2 are connected and $\text{rng } f \subseteq \text{carrier of } Y$. Then y_1, y_2 are connected and f is a path from y_1 to y_2 .
- (39) Let X be an arcwise connected non empty topological space, Y be a non empty subspace of X , x_1, x_2 be points of X , y_1, y_2 be points of Y , and f be a path from x_1 to x_2 . Suppose $x_1 = y_1$ and $x_2 = y_2$ and $\text{rng } f \subseteq \text{carrier of } Y$. Then y_1, y_2 are connected and f is a path from y_1 to y_2 .
- (40) Let T be a non empty topological space, a, b be points of T , and f be a path from a to b . If a, b are connected, then $\text{rng } f = \text{rng}(-f)$.
- (41) Let T be an arcwise connected non empty topological space, a, b be points of T , and f be a path from a to b . Then $\text{rng } f = \text{rng}(-f)$.
- (42) Let T be a non empty topological space, a, b, c be points of T , f be a path from a to b , and g be a path from b to c . If a, b are connected and b, c are connected, then $\text{rng } f \subseteq \text{rng}(f + g)$.
- (43) Let T be an arcwise connected non empty topological space, a, b, c be points of T , f be a path from a to b , and g be a path from b to c . Then $\text{rng } f \subseteq \text{rng}(f + g)$.
- (44) Let T be a non empty topological space, a, b, c be points of T , f be a path from b to c , and g be a path from a to b . If a, b are connected and b, c are connected, then $\text{rng } f \subseteq \text{rng}(g + f)$.
- (45) Let T be an arcwise connected non empty topological space, a, b, c be points of T , f be a path from b to c , and g be a path from a to b . Then $\text{rng } f \subseteq \text{rng}(g + f)$.
- (46) Let T be a non empty topological space, a, b, c be points of T , f be a path from a to b , and g be a path from b to c . If a, b are connected and b, c are connected, then $\text{rng}(f + g) = \text{rng } f \cup \text{rng } g$.

- (47) Let T be an arcwise connected non empty topological space, a, b, c be points of T , f be a path from a to b , and g be a path from b to c . Then $\text{rng}(f + g) = \text{rng } f \cup \text{rng } g$.
- (48) Let T be a non empty topological space, a, b, c, d be points of T , f be a path from a to b , g be a path from b to c , and h be a path from c to d . Suppose a, b are connected and b, c are connected and c, d are connected. Then $\text{rng}(f + g + h) = \text{rng } f \cup \text{rng } g \cup \text{rng } h$.
- (49) Let T be an arcwise connected non empty topological space, a, b, c, d be points of T , f be a path from a to b , g be a path from b to c , and h be a path from c to d . Then $\text{rng}(f + g + h) = \text{rng } f \cup \text{rng } g \cup \text{rng } h$.
- (50) For every non empty topological space T and for every point a of T holds $\mathbb{I} \mapsto a$ is a path from a to a .
- (51) Let p_1, p_2 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n and P be a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Suppose P is an arc from p_1 to p_2 . Then there exists a path F from p_1 to p_2 and there exists a map f from \mathbb{I} into $(\mathcal{E}_T^n) \setminus P$ such that $\text{rng } f = P$ and $F = f$.
- (52) Let p_1, p_2 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Then there exists a path F from p_1 to p_2 and there exists a map f from \mathbb{I} into $(\mathcal{E}_T^n) \setminus \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ such that $\text{rng } f = \mathcal{L}(p_1, p_2)$ and $F = f$.
- (53) Let p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose P is an arc from p_1 to p_2 and $q_1 \in P$ and $q_2 \in P$ and $q_1 \neq p_1$ and $q_1 \neq p_2$ and $q_2 \neq p_1$ and $q_2 \neq p_2$. Then there exists a path f from q_1 to q_2 such that $\text{rng } f \subseteq P$ and $\text{rng } f$ misses $\{p_1, p_2\}$.

3. RECTANGLES

Next we state three propositions:

- (54) If $a \leq b$ and $c \leq d$, then $\text{Rectangle}(a, b, c, d) \subseteq \text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)$.
- (55) $\text{InsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d) \subseteq \text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)$.
- (56) $\text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d) = (\text{OutsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d))^c$.

Let a, b, c, d be real numbers. Note that $\text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)$ is closed.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (57) $\text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)$ misses $\text{OutsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)$.
- (58) $\text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d) \cap \text{InsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d) = \text{InsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)$.
- (59) If $a < b$ and $c < d$, then $\text{Int } \text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d) = \text{InsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)$.
- (60) If $a \leq b$ and $c \leq d$, then $\text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d) \setminus \text{InsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d) = \text{Rectangle}(a, b, c, d)$.

- (61) If $a < b$ and $c < d$, then $\text{Fr ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d) = \text{Rectangle}(a, b, c, d)$.
- (62) If $a \leq b$ and $c \leq d$, then $\text{W-bound}(\text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)) = a$.
- (63) If $a \leq b$ and $c \leq d$, then $\text{S-bound}(\text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)) = c$.
- (64) If $a \leq b$ and $c \leq d$, then $\text{E-bound}(\text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)) = b$.
- (65) If $a \leq b$ and $c \leq d$, then $\text{N-bound}(\text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)) = d$.
- (66) If $a < b$ and $c < d$ and $p_1 \in \text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)$ and $p_2 \notin \text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)$ and P is an arc from p_1 to p_2 , then $\text{Segment}(P, p_1, p_2, \text{FPoint}(P, p_1, p_2, \text{Rectangle}(a, b, c, d))) \subseteq \text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(a, b, c, d)$.

4. SOME USEFUL FUNCTIONS

Let S, T be non empty topological spaces and let x be a point of $\{S, T\}$. Then x_1 is an element of S , and x_2 is an element of T .

Let o be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . The functor $(\square_2)_1 - o_1$ yielding a real map of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ is defined as follows:

- (Def. 1) For every point x of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ holds $((\square_2)_1 - o_1)(x) = (x_2)_1 - o_1$.

The functor $(\square_2)_2 - o_2$ yields a real map of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 2) For every point x of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ holds $((\square_2)_2 - o_2)(x) = (x_2)_2 - o_2$.

The real map $(\square_1)_1 - (\square_2)_1$ of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ is defined as follows:

- (Def. 3) For every point x of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ holds $((\square_1)_1 - (\square_2)_1)(x) = (x_1)_1 - (x_2)_1$.

The real map $(\square_1)_2 - (\square_2)_2$ of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ is defined as follows:

- (Def. 4) For every point x of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ holds $((\square_1)_2 - (\square_2)_2)(x) = (x_1)_2 - (x_2)_2$.

The real map $(\square_2)_1$ of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ is defined as follows:

- (Def. 5) For every point x of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ holds $(\square_2)_1(x) = (x_2)_1$.

The real map $(\square_2)_2$ of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ is defined by:

- (Def. 6) For every point x of $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ holds $(\square_2)_2(x) = (x_2)_2$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (67) For every point o of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $(\square_2)_1 - o_1$ is a continuous map from $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ into \mathbb{R}^1 .
- (68) For every point o of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $(\square_2)_2 - o_2$ is a continuous map from $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ into \mathbb{R}^1 .
- (69) $(\square_1)_1 - (\square_2)_1$ is a continuous map from $\{\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2\}$ into \mathbb{R}^1 .

(70) $(\square_1)_2 - (\square_2)_2$ is a continuous map from $[\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2]$ into \mathbb{R}^1 .

(71) $(\square_2)_1$ is a continuous map from $[\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2]$ into \mathbb{R}^1 .

(72) $(\square_2)_2$ is a continuous map from $[\mathcal{E}_T^2, \mathcal{E}_T^2]$ into \mathbb{R}^1 .

Let o be a point of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . One can check that $(\square_2)_1 - o_1$ is continuous and $(\square_2)_2 - o_2$ is continuous.

One can check the following observations:

- * $(\square_1)_1 - (\square_2)_1$ is continuous,
- * $(\square_1)_2 - (\square_2)_2$ is continuous,
- * $(\square_2)_1$ is continuous, and
- * $(\square_2)_2$ is continuous.

Let n be a non empty natural number, let o, p be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n , and let r be a positive real number. Let us assume that p is a point of $\text{Tdisk}(o, r)$. The functor $\text{DiskProj}(o, r, p)$ yielding a map from $(\mathcal{E}_T^n) \upharpoonright (\overline{\text{Ball}}(o, r) \setminus \{p\})$ into $\text{Tcircle}(o, r)$ is defined by:

(Def. 7) For every point x of $(\mathcal{E}_T^n) \upharpoonright (\overline{\text{Ball}}(o, r) \setminus \{p\})$ there exists a point y of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $x = y$ and $(\text{DiskProj}(o, r, p))(x) = \text{HC}(p, y, o, r)$.

The following propositions are true:

(73) Let o, p be points of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and r be a positive real number. If p is a point of $\text{Tdisk}(o, r)$, then $\text{DiskProj}(o, r, p)$ is continuous.

(74) Let n be a non empty natural number, o, p be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n , and r be a positive real number. If $p \in \text{Ball}(o, r)$, then $\text{DiskProj}(o, r, p) \upharpoonright \text{Sphere}(o, r) = \text{id}_{\text{Sphere}(o, r)}$.

Let n be a non empty natural number, let o, p be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n , and let r be a positive real number. Let us assume that $p \in \text{Ball}(o, r)$. The functor $\text{RotateCircle}(o, r, p)$ yields a map from $\text{Tcircle}(o, r)$ into $\text{Tcircle}(o, r)$ and is defined by:

(Def. 8) For every point x of $\text{Tcircle}(o, r)$ there exists a point y of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that $x = y$ and $(\text{RotateCircle}(o, r, p))(x) = \text{HC}(y, p, o, r)$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(75) For all points o, p of \mathcal{E}_T^2 and for every positive real number r such that $p \in \text{Ball}(o, r)$ holds $\text{RotateCircle}(o, r, p)$ is continuous.

(76) Let n be a non empty natural number, o, p be points of \mathcal{E}_T^n , and r be a positive real number. If $p \in \text{Ball}(o, r)$, then $\text{RotateCircle}(o, r, p)$ has no fixpoint.

5. JORDAN CURVE THEOREM

The following propositions are true:

- (77) If $U = P$ and U is a component of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \setminus C^c$ and V is a component of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \setminus C^c$ and $U \neq V$, then \bar{P} misses V .
- (78) If U is a component of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \setminus C^c$, then $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \setminus C^c \setminus U$ is arcwise connected.
- (79) If $U = P$ and U is a component of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \setminus C^c$, then $C = \text{Fr } P$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (80) For every homeomorphism h of \mathcal{E}_T^2 holds $h \circ C$ satisfies conditions of simple closed curve.
- (81) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P , then $P \subseteq \text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(-1, 1, -3, 3)$.
- (82) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P , then P misses $\mathcal{L}([-1, 3], [1, 3])$.
- (83) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P , then P misses $\mathcal{L}([-1, -3], [1, -3])$.
- (84) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P , then $P \cap \text{Rectangle}(-1, 1, -3, 3) = \{[-1, 0], [1, 0]\}$.
- (85) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P , then $\text{W-bound}(P) = -1$.
- (86) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P , then $\text{E-bound}(P) = 1$.
- (87) For every compact subset P of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P holds $\text{W}_{\text{most}}(P) = \{[-1, 0]\}$.
- (88) For every compact subset P of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P holds $\text{E}_{\text{most}}(P) = \{[1, 0]\}$.
- (89) Let P be a compact subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P . Then $\text{W}_{\text{min}}(P) = [-1, 0]$ and $\text{W}_{\text{max}}(P) = [-1, 0]$.
- (90) Let P be a compact subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P . Then $\text{E}_{\text{min}}(P) = [1, 0]$ and $\text{E}_{\text{max}}(P) = [1, 0]$.
- (91) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P , then $\mathcal{L}([0, 3], \text{UMP } P)$ is vertical.
- (92) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P , then $\mathcal{L}(\text{LMP } P, [0, -3])$ is vertical.
- (93) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P and $p \in P$, then $p_2 < 3$.
- (94) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P and $p \in P$, then $-3 < p_2$.
- (95) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in D and $p \in \mathcal{L}([0, 3], \text{UMP } D)$, then $(\text{UMP } D)_2 \leq p_2$.

- (96) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in D and $p \in \mathcal{L}(\text{LMP } D, [0, -3])$, then $p_2 \leq (\text{LMP } D)_2$.
- (97) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in D , then $\mathcal{L}([0, 3], \text{UMP } D) \subseteq \text{NorthHalfline UMP } D$.
- (98) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in D , then $\mathcal{L}(\text{LMP } D, [0, -3]) \subseteq \text{SouthHalfline LMP } D$.
- (99) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in C and P is an inside component of C , then $\mathcal{L}([0, 3], \text{UMP } C)$ misses P .
- (100) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in C and P is an inside component of C , then $\mathcal{L}(\text{LMP } C, [0, -3])$ misses P .
- (101) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in D , then $\mathcal{L}([0, 3], \text{UMP } D) \cap D = \{\text{UMP } D\}$.
- (102) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in D , then $\mathcal{L}([0, -3], \text{LMP } D) \cap D = \{\text{LMP } D\}$.
- (103) Suppose P is compact and $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in P and A is an inside component of P . Then $A \subseteq \text{ClosedInsideOfRectangle}(-1, 1, -3, 3)$.
- (104) If $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in C , then $\mathcal{L}([0, 3], [0, -3])$ meets C .
- (105) Suppose $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in C . Let J_1, J_2 be compact middle-intersecting subsets of T_2 . Suppose that J_1 is an arc from $[-1, 0]$ to $[1, 0]$ and J_2 is an arc from $[-1, 0]$ to $[1, 0]$ and $C = J_1 \cup J_2$ and $J_1 \cap J_2 = \{[-1, 0], [1, 0]\}$ and $\text{UMP } C \in J_1$ and $\text{LMP } C \in J_2$ and $\text{W-bound}(C) = \text{W-bound}(J_1)$ and $\text{E-bound}(C) = \text{E-bound}(J_1)$. Let U_1 be a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Suppose $U_1 = \text{Component}(\text{Down}(\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\text{UMP}(\mathcal{L}(\text{LMP } J_1, [0, -3]) \cap J_2) + \text{LMP } J_1), C^c))$. Then U_1 is an inside component of C and for every subset V of T_2 such that V is an inside component of C holds $V = U_1$, where $T_2 = \mathcal{E}_T^2$.
- (106) Suppose $[-1, 0]$ and $[1, 0]$ realize maximal distance in C . Let J_1, J_2 be compact middle-intersecting subsets of T_2 . Suppose that J_1 is an arc from $[-1, 0]$ to $[1, 0]$ and J_2 is an arc from $[-1, 0]$ to $[1, 0]$ and $C = J_1 \cup J_2$ and $J_1 \cap J_2 = \{[-1, 0], [1, 0]\}$ and $\text{UMP } C \in J_1$ and $\text{LMP } C \in J_2$ and $\text{W-bound}(C) = \text{W-bound}(J_1)$ and $\text{E-bound}(C) = \text{E-bound}(J_1)$. Then $\text{BDD } C = \text{Component}(\text{Down}(\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\text{UMP}(\mathcal{L}(\text{LMP } J_1, [0, -3]) \cap J_2) + \text{LMP } J_1), C^c))$, where $T_2 = \mathcal{E}_T^2$.
- (107) Let C be a simple closed curve. Then there exist subsets A_1, A_2 of \mathcal{E}_T^2 such that
 - (i) $C^c = A_1 \cup A_2$,
 - (ii) A_1 misses A_2 ,
 - (iii) $\overline{A_1} \setminus A_1 = \overline{A_2} \setminus A_2$, and

- (iv) for all subsets C_1, C_2 of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \setminus C^c$ such that $C_1 = A_1$ and $C_2 = A_2$ holds C_1 is a component of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \setminus C^c$ and C_2 is a component of $(\mathcal{E}_T^2) \setminus C^c$.
- (108) Every simple closed curve is Jordan.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Professor Yatsuka Nakamura for including me in the team working on the formalization of the Jordan Curve Theorem. Especially, I am very grateful to Professor Nakamura for inviting me to Shinshu University, Nagano, to work on the project together.

I am also thankful to Professor Andrzej Trybulec for his continual help and fruitful discussions during the formalization.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):245–254, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in \mathcal{E}^2 . *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [13] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces – fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Arcs, line segments and special polygonal arcs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):617–621, 1991.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. The topological space \mathcal{E}_T^2 . Simple closed curves. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):663–664, 1991.
- [17] David Gauld. Brouwer’s Fixed Point Theorem and the Jordan Curve Theorem. <http://aitken.math.auckland.ac.nz/~gauld/750-05/section5.pdf>.
- [18] Adam Grabowski. Introduction to the homotopy theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):449–454, 1997.
- [19] Adam Grabowski and Artur Korniłowicz. Algebraic properties of homotopies. *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(3):251–260, 2004.
- [20] Adam Grabowski and Yatsuka Nakamura. The ordering of points on a curve. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):467–473, 1997.
- [21] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [22] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):607–610, 1990.

- [23] Artur Kornilowicz. The definition and basic properties of topological groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):217–225, 1998.
- [24] Artur Kornilowicz. On some points of a simple closed curve. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(1):81–87, 2005.
- [25] Artur Kornilowicz, Robert Milewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Andrzej Trybulec. Gauges and cages. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):501–509, 2001.
- [26] Artur Kornilowicz and Yasunari Shidama. Intersections of intervals and balls in \mathcal{E}_T^n . *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(3):301–306, 2004.
- [27] Artur Kornilowicz and Yasunari Shidama. Brouwer fixed point theorem for disks on the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):333–336, 2005.
- [28] Artur Kornilowicz and Yasunari Shidama. Some properties of circles on the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(1):117–124, 2005.
- [29] Robert Milewski. On the upper and lower approximations of the curve. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4):425–430, 2003.
- [30] Yatsuka Nakamura. General Fashoda meet theorem for unit circle and square. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(3):213–224, 2003.
- [31] Yatsuka Nakamura and Czesław Byliński. Extremal properties of vertices on special polygons. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):97–102, 1996.
- [32] Yatsuka Nakamura and Jarosław Kotowicz. The Jordan’s property for certain subsets of the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):137–142, 1992.
- [33] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. Components and unions of components. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):513–517, 1996.
- [34] Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A decomposition of a simple closed curves and the order of their points. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):563–572, 1997.
- [35] Yatsuka Nakamura, Andrzej Trybulec, and Czesław Byliński. Bounded domains and unbounded domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):1–13, 1999.
- [36] Adam Naumowicz and Grzegorz Bancerek. Homeomorphisms of Jordan curves. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(4):477–480, 2005.
- [37] Beata Padlewska. Connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):239–244, 1990.
- [38] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):93–96, 1991.
- [39] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [40] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
- [41] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Abian’s fixed point theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):335–338, 1997.
- [42] Yukio Takeuchi and Yatsuka Nakamura. On the Jordan curve theorem. Technical Report 19804, Dept. of Information Eng., Shinshu University, 500 Wakasato, Nagano city, Japan, April 1980.
- [43] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of complex numbers. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics*.
- [44] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [45] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [46] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [47] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [48] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [49] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

Received September 15, 2005
