

Quotient Rings

Artur Kornilowicz
Institute of Computer Science
University of Białystok
Sosnowa 64, 15-887 Białystok, Poland

Summary. The notions of prime ideals and maximal ideals of a ring are introduced. Quotient rings are defined. Characterisation of prime and maximal ideals using quotient rings are proved.

MML identifier: RING_1, version: 7.6.01 4.50.934

The articles [18], [10], [22], [17], [2], [19], [6], [23], [24], [7], [9], [8], [25], [15], [3], [4], [5], [14], [20], [16], [13], [21], [11], [12], and [1] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure. Note that Ω_S is non proper.

The following propositions are true:

- (1) Let L be an add-associative right zeroed right complementable non empty loop structure and a, b be elements of L . Then $(a - b) + b = a$.
- (2) Let L be an add-associative right zeroed right complementable Abelian non empty loop structure and b, c be elements of L . Then $c = b - (b - c)$.
- (3) Let L be an add-associative right zeroed right complementable Abelian non empty loop structure and a, b, c be elements of L . Then $a - b - (c - b) = a - c$.

2. IDEALS

Let K be a non empty groupoid and let S be a subset of K . We say that S is quasi-prime if and only if:

(Def. 1) For all elements a, b of K such that $a \cdot b \in S$ holds $a \in S$ or $b \in S$.

Let K be a non empty multiplicative loop structure and let S be a subset of K . We say that S is prime if and only if:

(Def. 2) S is proper and quasi-prime.

Let R be a non empty double loop structure and let I be a subset of R . We say that I is quasi-maximal if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every ideal J of R such that $I \subseteq J$ holds $J = I$ or J is non proper.

Let R be a non empty double loop structure and let I be a subset of R . We say that I is maximal if and only if:

(Def. 4) I is proper and quasi-maximal.

Let K be a non empty multiplicative loop structure. Note that every subset of K which is prime is also proper and quasi-prime and every subset of K which is proper and quasi-prime is also prime.

Let R be a non empty double loop structure. One can verify that every subset of R which is maximal is also proper and quasi-maximal and every subset of R which is proper and quasi-maximal is also maximal.

Let R be a non empty loop structure. One can verify that Ω_R is add closed.

Let R be a non empty groupoid. Observe that Ω_R is left ideal and right ideal.

We now state the proposition

(4) For every integral domain R holds $\{0_R\}$ is prime.

3. EQUIVALENCE RELATION

In the sequel R denotes a ring, I denotes an ideal of R , and a, b denote elements of R .

Let R be a ring and let I be an ideal of R . The functor \approx_I yielding a binary relation on R is defined by:

(Def. 5) For all elements a, b of R holds $\langle a, b \rangle \in \approx_I$ iff $a - b \in I$.

Let R be a ring and let I be an ideal of R . One can verify that \approx_I is non empty, total, symmetric, and transitive.

We now state several propositions:

(5) $a \in [b]_{\approx_I}$ iff $a - b \in I$.

(6) $[a]_{\approx_I} = [b]_{\approx_I}$ iff $a - b \in I$.

(7) $[a]_{\approx_{\Omega_R}}$ = the carrier of R .

- (8) $\approx_{\Omega_R} = \{\text{the carrier of } R\}$.
- (9) $[a]_{\approx_{\{0_R\}}} = \{a\}$.
- (10) $\approx_{\{0_R\}} = \text{rng}(\text{singleton}_{\text{the carrier of } R})$.

4. QUOTIENT RING

Let R be a ring and let I be an ideal of R . The functor R/I yields a strict double loop structure and is defined by the conditions (Def. 6).

- (Def. 6)(i) The carrier of $R/I = \text{Classes}(\approx_I)$,
- (ii) the unity of $R/I = [1_R]_{\approx_I}$,
 - (iii) the zero of $R/I = [0_R]_{\approx_I}$,
 - (iv) for all elements x, y of R/I there exist elements a, b of R such that $x = [a]_{\approx_I}$ and $y = [b]_{\approx_I}$ and (the addition of R/I)(x, y) = $[a + b]_{\approx_I}$, and
 - (v) for all elements x, y of R/I there exist elements a, b of R such that $x = [a]_{\approx_I}$ and $y = [b]_{\approx_I}$ and (the multiplication of R/I)(x, y) = $[a \cdot b]_{\approx_I}$.

Let R be a ring and let I be an ideal of R . Note that R/I is non empty.

In the sequel x, y denote elements of R/I .

We now state several propositions:

- (11) There exists an element a of R such that $x = [a]_{\approx_I}$.
- (12) $[a]_{\approx_I}$ is an element of R/I .
- (13) If $x = [a]_{\approx_I}$ and $y = [b]_{\approx_I}$, then $x + y = [a + b]_{\approx_I}$.
- (14) If $x = [a]_{\approx_I}$ and $y = [b]_{\approx_I}$, then $x \cdot y = [a \cdot b]_{\approx_I}$.
- (15) $[1_R]_{\approx_I} = 1_{R/I}$.

Let R be a ring and let I be an ideal of R . Observe that R/I is Abelian, add-associative, and right zeroed.

Let R be a commutative ring and let I be an ideal of R . Note that R/I is commutative.

The following propositions are true:

- (16) I is proper iff R/I is non degenerated.
- (17) I is quasi-prime iff R/I is integral domain-like.
- (18) For every commutative ring R and for every ideal I of R holds I is prime iff R/I is an integral domain.
- (19) If R is commutative and I is quasi-maximal, then R/I is field-like.
- (20) If R/I is field-like, then I is quasi-maximal.
- (21) For every commutative ring R and for every ideal I of R holds I is maximal iff R/I is a skew field.

Let R be a non degenerated commutative ring. One can check that every ideal of R which is maximal is also prime.

Let R be a non degenerated ring. Note that there exists an ideal of R which is maximal.

Let R be a non degenerated commutative ring and let I be a quasi-prime ideal of R . Observe that R/I is integral domain-like.

Let R be a non degenerated commutative ring and let I be a quasi-maximal ideal of R . Observe that R/I is field-like.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jonathan Backer, Piotr Rudnicki, and Christoph Schwarzweller. Ring ideals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):565–582, 2001.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. The complex numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):507–513, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [11] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [12] Michał Muzalewski. Construction of rings and left-, right-, and bi-modules over a ring. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):3–11, 1991.
- [13] Michał Muzalewski and Wojciech Skaba. From loops to abelian multiplicative groups with zero. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):833–840, 1990.
- [14] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [15] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [16] Christoph Schwarzweller. The binomial theorem for algebraic structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):559–564, 2001.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of complex numbers. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics*.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [19] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [20] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [21] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
- [22] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [24] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [25] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):85–89, 1990.

Received December 7, 2005
