

Alexandroff One Point Compactification

Czesław Byliński
University of Białystok
Poland

Summary. In the article, I introduce the notions of the compactification of topological spaces and the Alexandroff one point compactification. Some properties of the locally compact spaces and one point compactification are proved.

MML identifier: COMPACT1, version: 7.8.05 4.87.985

The articles [15], [5], [16], [17], [4], [18], [1], [8], [14], [13], [19], [7], [9], [10], [6], [12], [2], [3], and [11] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

Let X be a topological space and let P be a family of subsets of X . We say that P is compact if and only if:

(Def. 1) For every subset U of X such that $U \in P$ holds U is compact.

Let X be a topological space and let U be a subset of X . We say that U is relatively-compact if and only if:

(Def. 2) \overline{U} is compact.

Let X be a topological space. Note that \emptyset_X is relatively-compact.

Let X be a topological space. Observe that there exists a subset of X which is relatively-compact.

Let X be a topological space and let U be a relatively-compact subset of X . Observe that \overline{U} is compact.

Let X be a topological space and let U be a subset of X . We introduce U is pre-compact as a synonym of U is relatively-compact.

Let X be a non empty topological space. We introduce X is liminally-compact as a synonym of X is locally-compact.

Let X be a non empty topological space. Let us observe that X is liminally-compact if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every point x of X holds there exists a generalized basis of x which is compact.

Let X be a non empty topological space. We say that X is locally-relatively-compact if and only if:

- (Def. 4) For every point x of X holds there exists a neighbourhood of x which is relatively-compact.

Let X be a non empty topological space. We say that X is locally-closed/compact if and only if:

- (Def. 5) For every point x of X holds there exists a neighbourhood of x which is closed and compact.

Let X be a non empty topological space. We say that X is locally-compact if and only if:

- (Def. 6) For every point x of X holds there exists a neighbourhood of x which is compact.

Let us observe that every non empty topological space which is liminally-compact is also locally-compact.

Let us note that every non empty T_3 topological space which is locally-compact is also liminally-compact.

One can verify that every non empty topological space which is locally-relatively-compact is also locally-closed/compact.

Let us observe that every non empty topological space which is locally-closed/compact is also locally-relatively-compact.

Let us observe that every non empty topological space which is locally-relatively-compact is also locally-compact.

One can verify that every non empty Hausdorff topological space which is locally-compact is also locally-relatively-compact.

One can check that every non empty topological space which is compact is also locally-compact.

Let us observe that every non empty topological space which is discrete is also locally-compact.

Let us mention that there exists a topological space which is discrete and non empty.

Let X be a locally-compact non empty topological space and let C be a closed non empty subset of X . Note that $X \upharpoonright C$ is locally-compact.

Let X be a locally-compact non empty T_3 topological space and let P be an open non empty subset of X . Note that $X \upharpoonright P$ is locally-compact.

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (1) Let X be a Hausdorff non empty topological space and E be a non empty subset of X . If $X \upharpoonright E$ is dense and locally-compact, then $X \upharpoonright E$ is open.
- (2) For all topological spaces X, Y and for every subset A of X such that $\Omega_X \subseteq \Omega_Y$ holds $(\text{incl}(X, Y))^\circ A = A$.

Let X, Y be topological spaces and let f be a function from X into Y . We say that f is embedding if and only if:

- (Def. 7) There exists a function h from X into $Y \setminus \text{rng } f$ such that $h = f$ and h is a homeomorphism.

The following proposition is true

- (3) Let X, Y be topological spaces. Suppose $\Omega_X \subseteq \Omega_Y$ and there exists a subset X_1 of Y such that $X_1 = \Omega_X$ and the topology of $Y \setminus X_1 =$ the topology of X . Then $\text{incl}(X, Y)$ is embedding.

Let X be a topological space, let Y be a topological space, and let h be a function from X into Y . We say that h is compactification if and only if:

- (Def. 8) h is embedding and Y is compact and $h^\circ(\Omega_X)$ is dense.

Let X be a topological space and let Y be a topological space. Note that every function from X into Y which is compactification is also embedding.

Let X be a topological structure. The one-point compactification of X yields a strict topological structure and is defined by the conditions (Def. 9).

- (Def. 9)(i) The carrier of the one-point compactification of $X = \text{succ}(\Omega_X)$, and
(ii) the topology of the one-point compactification of $X =$ (the topology of $X) \cup \{U \cup \{\Omega_X\}; U \text{ ranges over subsets of } X: U \text{ is open} \wedge U^c \text{ is compact}\}$.

Let X be a topological structure. Note that the one-point compactification of X is non empty.

We now state the proposition

- (4) For every topological structure X holds

$$\Omega_X \subseteq \Omega_{\text{the one-point compactification of } X}.$$

Let X be a topological space. Note that the one-point compactification of X is topological space-like.

Next we state the proposition

- (5) Every topological structure X is a subspace of the one-point compactification of X .

Let X be a topological space. One can verify that the one-point compactification of X is compact.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (6) Let X be a non empty topological space. Then X is Hausdorff and locally-compact if and only if the one-point compactification of X is Hausdorff.
(7) Let X be a non empty topological space. Then X is non compact if and only if there exists a subset X' of the one-point compactification of X such that $X' = \Omega_X$ and X' is dense.
(8) Let X be a non empty topological space. Suppose X is non compact. Then $\text{incl}(X, \text{the one-point compactification of } X)$ is compactification.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The “way-below” relation. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):169–176, 1997.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bases and refinements of topologies. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(1):35–43, 1998.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [6] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [9] Zbigniew Karno. Separated and weakly separated subspaces of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):665–674, 1991.
- [10] Zbigniew Karno. On nowhere and everywhere dense subspaces of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):137–146, 1993.
- [11] Artur Korniłowicz. Introduction to meet-continuous topological lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):279–283, 1998.
- [12] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):93–96, 1991.
- [13] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [16] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [17] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [18] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [19] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

Received August 13, 2007
