

## Model Checking. Part II

Kazuhisa Ishida  
Shinshu University  
Nagano, Japan

**Summary.** This article provides the definition of linear temporal logic (LTL) and its properties relevant to model checking based on [9]. Mizar formalization of LTL language and satisfiability is based on [2, 3].

MML identifier: MODEL\_C\_2, version: 7.9.01 4.101.1015

The articles [8], [11], [6], [5], [7], [1], [4], [12], and [10] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

Let  $x$  be a set. The functor  $\text{CastNat } x$  yielding a natural number is defined by:

$$\text{(Def. 1)} \quad \text{CastNat } x = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \text{ is a natural number,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $W_1$  be a set. A sequence of  $W_1$  is a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $W_1$ .

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules:  $k, n$  denote natural numbers,  $a$  denotes a set,  $D, S$  denote non empty sets, and  $p, q$  denote finite sequences of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Let us consider  $n$ . The functor  $\text{atom. } n$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined as follows:

$$\text{(Def. 2)} \quad \text{atom. } n = \langle 6 + n \rangle.$$

Let us consider  $p$ . The functor  $\neg p$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by:

$$\text{(Def. 3)} \quad \neg p = \langle 0 \rangle \hat{\ } p.$$

Let us consider  $q$ . The functor  $p \wedge q$  yields a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and is defined by:

$$\text{(Def. 4)} \quad p \wedge q = \langle 1 \rangle \hat{\ } p \hat{\ } q.$$

The functor  $p \vee q$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by:

(Def. 5)  $p \vee q = \langle 2 \rangle \wedge p \wedge q$ .

Let us consider  $p$ . The functor  $\mathcal{X}p$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 6)  $\mathcal{X}p = \langle 3 \rangle \wedge p$ .

Let us consider  $q$ . The functor  $p\mathcal{U}q$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined by:

(Def. 7)  $p\mathcal{U}q = \langle 4 \rangle \wedge p \wedge q$ .

The functor  $p\mathcal{R}q$  yields a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 8)  $p\mathcal{R}q = \langle 5 \rangle \wedge p \wedge q$ .

The non empty set  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  is defined by the conditions (Def. 9).

(Def. 9) For every  $a$  such that  $a \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  holds  $a$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and for every  $n$  holds  $\text{atom}.n \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  and for every  $p$  such that  $p \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  holds  $\neg p \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  and for all  $p, q$  such that  $p, q \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  holds  $p \wedge q \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  and for all  $p, q$  such that  $p, q \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  holds  $p \vee q \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  and for every  $p$  such that  $p \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  holds  $\mathcal{X}p \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  and for all  $p, q$  such that  $p, q \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  holds  $p\mathcal{U}q \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  and for all  $p, q$  such that  $p, q \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  holds  $p\mathcal{R}q \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  and for every  $D$  such that for every  $a$  such that  $a \in D$  holds  $a$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and for every  $n$  holds  $\text{atom}.n \in D$  and for every  $p$  such that  $p \in D$  holds  $\neg p \in D$  and for all  $p, q$  such that  $p, q \in D$  holds  $p \wedge q \in D$  and for all  $p, q$  such that  $p, q \in D$  holds  $p \vee q \in D$  and for every  $p$  such that  $p \in D$  holds  $\mathcal{X}p \in D$  and for all  $p, q$  such that  $p, q \in D$  holds  $p\mathcal{U}q \in D$  and for all  $p, q$  such that  $p, q \in D$  holds  $p\mathcal{R}q \in D$  holds  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}} \subseteq D$ .

Let  $I_1$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . We say that  $I_1$  is LTL-formula-like if and only if:

(Def. 10)  $I_1$  is an element of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$ .

Let us observe that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  which is LTL-formula-like.

An LTL-formula is a LTL-formula-like finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Next we state the proposition

(1)  $a$  is an LTL-formula iff  $a \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$ .

In the sequel  $F, F_1, G, H, H_1, H_2$  denote LTL-formulae.

Let us consider  $n$ . Observe that  $\text{atom}.n$  is LTL-formula-like.

Let us consider  $H$ . Note that  $\neg H$  is LTL-formula-like and  $\mathcal{X}H$  is LTL-formula-like. Let us consider  $G$ . One can check the following observations:

- \*  $H \wedge G$  is LTL-formula-like,
- \*  $H \vee G$  is LTL-formula-like,
- \*  $H\mathcal{U}G$  is LTL-formula-like, and

\*  $H \mathcal{R} G$  is LTL-formula-like.

Let us consider  $H$ . We say that  $H$  is atomic if and only if:

(Def. 11) There exists  $n$  such that  $H = \text{atom. } n$ .

We say that  $H$  is negative if and only if:

(Def. 12) There exists  $H_1$  such that  $H = \neg H_1$ .

We say that  $H$  is conjunctive if and only if:

(Def. 13) There exist  $F, G$  such that  $H = F \wedge G$ .

We say that  $H$  is disjunctive if and only if:

(Def. 14) There exist  $F, G$  such that  $H = F \vee G$ .

We say that  $H$  has *next* operator if and only if:

(Def. 15) There exists  $H_1$  such that  $H = \mathcal{X} H_1$ .

We say that  $H$  has *until* operator if and only if:

(Def. 16) There exist  $F, G$  such that  $H = F \mathcal{U} G$ .

We say that  $H$  has *release* operator if and only if:

(Def. 17) There exist  $F, G$  such that  $H = F \mathcal{R} G$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (2)  $H$  is either atomic, or negative, or conjunctive, or disjunctive, or has *next* operator, or *until* operator, or *release* operator.
- (3)  $1 \leq \text{len } H$ .

Let us consider  $H$ . Let us assume that  $H$  is either negative or has *next* operator. The functor  $\text{Arg}(H)$  yields an LTL-formula and is defined by:

- (Def. 18)(i)  $\neg \text{Arg}(H) = H$  if  $H$  is negative,  
(ii)  $\mathcal{X} \text{Arg}(H) = H$ , otherwise.

Let us consider  $H$ . Let us assume that  $H$  is either conjunctive or disjunctive or has *until* operator or *release* operator. The functor  $\text{LeftArg}(H)$  yielding an LTL-formula is defined as follows:

- (Def. 19)(i) There exists  $H_1$  such that  $\text{LeftArg}(H) \wedge H_1 = H$  if  $H$  is conjunctive,  
(ii) there exists  $H_1$  such that  $\text{LeftArg}(H) \vee H_1 = H$  if  $H$  is disjunctive,  
(iii) there exists  $H_1$  such that  $\text{LeftArg}(H) \mathcal{U} H_1 = H$  if  $H$  has *until* operator,  
(iv) there exists  $H_1$  such that  $\text{LeftArg}(H) \mathcal{R} H_1 = H$ , otherwise.

The functor  $\text{RightArg}(H)$  yields an LTL-formula and is defined by:

- (Def. 20)(i) There exists  $H_1$  such that  $H_1 \wedge \text{RightArg}(H) = H$  if  $H$  is conjunctive,  
(ii) there exists  $H_1$  such that  $H_1 \vee \text{RightArg}(H) = H$  if  $H$  is disjunctive,  
(iii) there exists  $H_1$  such that  $H_1 \mathcal{U} \text{RightArg}(H) = H$  if  $H$  has *until* operator,  
(iv) there exists  $H_1$  such that  $H_1 \mathcal{R} \text{RightArg}(H) = H$ , otherwise.

The following propositions are true:

- (4) If  $H$  is negative, then  $H = \neg \text{Arg}(H)$ .

- (5) If  $H$  has *next* operator, then  $H = \mathcal{X} \text{Arg}(H)$ .
- (6) If  $H$  is conjunctive, then  $H = \text{LeftArg}(H) \wedge \text{RightArg}(H)$ .
- (7) If  $H$  is disjunctive, then  $H = \text{LeftArg}(H) \vee \text{RightArg}(H)$ .
- (8) If  $H$  has *until* operator, then  $H = \text{LeftArg}(H) \mathcal{U} \text{RightArg}(H)$ .
- (9) If  $H$  has *release* operator, then  $H = \text{LeftArg}(H) \mathcal{R} \text{RightArg}(H)$ .
- (10) If  $H$  is either negative or has *next* operator, then  $\text{len } H = 1 + \text{len Arg}(H)$  and  $\text{len Arg}(H) < \text{len } H$ .
- (11) Suppose  $H$  is either conjunctive or disjunctive or has *until* operator or *release* operator. Then  $\text{len } H = 1 + \text{len LeftArg}(H) + \text{len RightArg}(H)$  and  $\text{len LeftArg}(H) < \text{len } H$  and  $\text{len RightArg}(H) < \text{len } H$ .

Let us consider  $H, F$ . We say that  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F$  if and only if:

- (Def. 21)  $F = \neg H$  or  $F = \mathcal{X} H$  or there exists  $H_1$  such that  $F = H \wedge H_1$  or  $F = H_1 \wedge H$  or  $F = H \vee H_1$  or  $F = H_1 \vee H$  or  $F = H \mathcal{U} H_1$  or  $F = H_1 \mathcal{U} H$  or  $F = H \mathcal{R} H_1$  or  $F = H_1 \mathcal{R} H$ .

We now state a number of propositions:

- (12) For all  $F, G$  holds  $(\neg F)(1) = 0$  and  $(F \wedge G)(1) = 1$  and  $(F \vee G)(1) = 2$  and  $(\mathcal{X} F)(1) = 3$  and  $(F \mathcal{U} G)(1) = 4$  and  $(F \mathcal{R} G)(1) = 5$ .
- (13)  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $\neg F$  iff  $H = F$ .
- (14)  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $\mathcal{X} F$  iff  $H = F$ .
- (15)  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F \wedge G$  iff  $H = F$  or  $H = G$ .
- (16)  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F \vee G$  iff  $H = F$  or  $H = G$ .
- (17)  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F \mathcal{U} G$  iff  $H = F$  or  $H = G$ .
- (18)  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F \mathcal{R} G$  iff  $H = F$  or  $H = G$ .
- (19) If  $F$  is atomic, then  $H$  is not an immediate constituent of  $F$ .
- (20) If  $F$  is negative, then  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F$  iff  $H = \text{Arg}(F)$ .
- (21) If  $F$  has *next* operator, then  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F$  iff  $H = \text{Arg}(F)$ .
- (22) If  $F$  is conjunctive, then  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F$  iff  $H = \text{LeftArg}(F)$  or  $H = \text{RightArg}(F)$ .
- (23) If  $F$  is disjunctive, then  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F$  iff  $H = \text{LeftArg}(F)$  or  $H = \text{RightArg}(F)$ .
- (24) If  $F$  has *until* operator, then  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F$  iff  $H = \text{LeftArg}(F)$  or  $H = \text{RightArg}(F)$ .
- (25) If  $F$  has *release* operator, then  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F$  iff  $H = \text{LeftArg}(F)$  or  $H = \text{RightArg}(F)$ .
- (26) Suppose  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F$ . Then  $F$  is either negative, or conjunctive, or disjunctive, or has *next* operator, or *until* operator, or

*release* operator.

In the sequel  $L$  denotes a finite sequence.

Let us consider  $H, F$ . We say that  $H$  is a subformula of  $F$  if and only if the condition (Def. 22) is satisfied.

(Def. 22) There exist  $n, L$  such that

- (i)  $1 \leq n$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{len } L = n$ ,
- (iii)  $L(1) = H$ ,
- (iv)  $L(n) = F$ , and
- (v) for every  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k < n$  there exist  $H_1, F_1$  such that  $L(k) = H_1$  and  $L(k+1) = F_1$  and  $H_1$  is an immediate constituent of  $F_1$ .

We now state the proposition

(27)  $H$  is a subformula of  $H$ .

Let us consider  $H, F$ . We say that  $H$  is a proper subformula of  $F$  if and only if:

(Def. 23)  $H$  is a subformula of  $F$  and  $H \neq F$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (28) If  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F$ , then  $\text{len } H < \text{len } F$ .
- (29) If  $H$  is an immediate constituent of  $F$ , then  $H$  is a proper subformula of  $F$ .
- (30) If  $G$  is either negative or has *next* operator, then  $\text{Arg}(G)$  is a subformula of  $G$ .
- (31) Suppose  $G$  is either conjunctive or disjunctive or has *until* operator or *release* operator. Then  $\text{LeftArg}(G)$  is a subformula of  $G$  and  $\text{RightArg}(G)$  is a subformula of  $G$ .
- (32) If  $H$  is a proper subformula of  $F$ , then  $\text{len } H < \text{len } F$ .
- (33) If  $H$  is a proper subformula of  $F$ , then there exists  $G$  which is an immediate constituent of  $F$ .
- (34) If  $F$  is a proper subformula of  $G$  and  $G$  is a proper subformula of  $H$ , then  $F$  is a proper subformula of  $H$ .
- (35) If  $F$  is a subformula of  $G$  and  $G$  is a subformula of  $H$ , then  $F$  is a subformula of  $H$ .
- (36) If  $G$  is a subformula of  $H$  and  $H$  is a subformula of  $G$ , then  $G = H$ .
- (37) If  $G$  is either negative or has *next* operator and  $F$  is a proper subformula of  $G$ , then  $F$  is a subformula of  $\text{Arg}(G)$ .
- (38) Suppose that
  - (i)  $G$  is either conjunctive or disjunctive or has *until* operator or *release* operator, and
  - (ii)  $F$  is a proper subformula of  $G$ .

Then  $F$  is a subformula of  $\text{LeftArg}(G)$  or a subformula of  $\text{RightArg}(G)$ .

- (39) If  $F$  is a proper subformula of  $\neg H$ , then  $F$  is a subformula of  $H$ .
- (40) If  $F$  is a proper subformula of  $\mathcal{X}H$ , then  $F$  is a subformula of  $H$ .
- (41) If  $F$  is a proper subformula of  $G \wedge H$ , then  $F$  is a subformula of  $G$  or a subformula of  $H$ .
- (42) If  $F$  is a proper subformula of  $G \vee H$ , then  $F$  is a subformula of  $G$  or a subformula of  $H$ .
- (43) If  $F$  is a proper subformula of  $G \mathcal{U} H$ , then  $F$  is a subformula of  $G$  or a subformula of  $H$ .
- (44) If  $F$  is a proper subformula of  $G \mathcal{R} H$ , then  $F$  is a subformula of  $G$  or a subformula of  $H$ .

Let us consider  $H$ . The functor  $\text{Subformulae } H$  yields a set and is defined by:

- (Def. 24)  $a \in \text{Subformulae } H$  iff there exists  $F$  such that  $F = a$  and  $F$  is a subformula of  $H$ .

One can prove the following proposition

- (45)  $G \in \text{Subformulae } H$  iff  $G$  is a subformula of  $H$ .

Let us consider  $H$ . Observe that  $\text{Subformulae } H$  is non empty.

Next we state two propositions:

- (46) If  $F$  is a subformula of  $H$ , then  $\text{Subformulae } F \subseteq \text{Subformulae } H$ .
- (47) If  $a$  is a subset of  $\text{Subformulae } H$ , then  $a$  is a subset of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$ .

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme  $\text{LTLInd}$  concerns a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

For every  $H$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[H]$

provided the following conditions are satisfied:

- For every  $H$  such that  $H$  is atomic holds  $\mathcal{P}[H]$ ,
- For every  $H$  such that  $H$  is either negative or has *next* operator and  $\mathcal{P}[\text{Arg}(H)]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[H]$ , and
- Let given  $H$ . Suppose  $H$  is either conjunctive or disjunctive or has *until* operator or *release* operator and  $\mathcal{P}[\text{LeftArg}(H)]$  and  $\mathcal{P}[\text{RightArg}(H)]$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}[H]$ .

The scheme  $\text{LTLCompInd}$  concerns a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

For every  $H$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[H]$

provided the following condition is met:

- For every  $H$  such that for every  $F$  such that  $F$  is a proper subformula of  $H$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[F]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[H]$ .

Let  $x$  be a set. The functor  $\text{Cast}_{\text{LTL}} x$  yielding an LTL-formula is defined by:

- (Def. 25)  $\text{Cast}_{\text{LTL}} x = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}, \\ \text{atom. } 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

We introduce LTL-model structures which are systems  $\langle$  assignments, basic assignments, a conjunction, a disjunction, a negation, a next-operation, an until-operation, a release-operation  $\rangle$ , where the assignments constitute a non empty set, the basic assignments constitute a non empty subset of the assignments, the conjunction is a binary operation on the assignments, the disjunction is a binary operation on the assignments, the negation is a unary operation on the assignments, the next-operation is a unary operation on the assignments, the until-operation is a binary operation on the assignments, and the release-operation is a binary operation on the assignments.

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure. An assignment of  $V$  is an element of the assignments of  $V$ .

The subset  $\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$  of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  is defined by:

(Def. 26)  $\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}} = \{x; x \text{ ranges over LTL-formulae: } x \text{ is atomic}\}$ .

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure, let  $K_1$  be a function from  $\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the basic assignments of  $V$ , and let  $f$  be a function from  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the assignments of  $V$ . We say that  $f$  is an evaluation for  $K_1$  if and only if the condition (Def. 27) is satisfied.

(Def. 27) Let  $H$  be an LTL-formula. Then

- (i) if  $H$  is atomic, then  $f(H) = K_1(H)$ ,
- (ii) if  $H$  is negative, then  $f(H) = (\text{the negation of } V)(f(\text{Arg}(H)))$ ,
- (iii) if  $H$  is conjunctive, then  $f(H) = (\text{the conjunction of } V)(f(\text{LeftArg}(H)), f(\text{RightArg}(H)))$ ,
- (iv) if  $H$  is disjunctive, then  $f(H) = (\text{the disjunction of } V)(f(\text{LeftArg}(H)), f(\text{RightArg}(H)))$ ,
- (v) if  $H$  has *next* operator, then  $f(H) = (\text{the next-operation of } V)(f(\text{Arg}(H)))$ ,
- (vi) if  $H$  has *until* operator, then  $f(H) = (\text{the until-operation of } V)(f(\text{LeftArg}(H)), f(\text{RightArg}(H)))$ , and
- (vii) if  $H$  has *release* operator, then  $f(H) = (\text{the release-operation of } V)(f(\text{LeftArg}(H)), f(\text{RightArg}(H)))$ .

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure, let  $K_1$  be a function from  $\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the basic assignments of  $V$ , let  $f$  be a function from  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the assignments of  $V$ , and let  $n$  be a natural number. We say that  $f$  is a  $n$ -pre-evaluation for  $K_1$  if and only if the condition (Def. 28) is satisfied.

(Def. 28) Let  $H$  be an LTL-formula such that  $\text{len } H \leq n$ . Then

- (i) if  $H$  is atomic, then  $f(H) = K_1(H)$ ,
- (ii) if  $H$  is negative, then  $f(H) = (\text{the negation of } V)(f(\text{Arg}(H)))$ ,
- (iii) if  $H$  is conjunctive, then  $f(H) = (\text{the conjunction of } V)(f(\text{LeftArg}(H)), f(\text{RightArg}(H)))$ ,

- (iv) if  $H$  is disjunctive, then  $f(H) = (\text{the disjunction of } V)(f(\text{LeftArg}(H)), f(\text{RightArg}(H)))$ ,
- (v) if  $H$  has *next* operator, then  $f(H) = (\text{the next-operation of } V)(f(\text{Arg}(H)))$ ,
- (vi) if  $H$  has *until* operator, then  $f(H) = (\text{the until-operation of } V)(f(\text{LeftArg}(H)), f(\text{RightArg}(H)))$ , and
- (vii) if  $H$  has *release* operator, then  $f(H) = (\text{the release-operation of } V)(f(\text{LeftArg}(H)), f(\text{RightArg}(H)))$ .

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure, let  $K_1$  be a function from  $\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the basic assignments of  $V$ , let  $f, h$  be functions from  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the assignments of  $V$ , let  $n$  be a natural number, and let  $H$  be an LTL-formula. The functor  $\text{GraftEval}(V, K_1, f, h, n, H)$  yields a set and is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{Def. 29}) \quad & \text{GraftEval}(V, K_1, f, h, n, H) \\
 & = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 f(H), \text{ if } \text{len } H > n + 1, \\
 K_1(H), \text{ if } \text{len } H = n + 1 \text{ and } H \text{ is atomic,} \\
 (\text{the negation of } V)(h(\text{Arg}(H))), \text{ if } \text{len } H = n + 1 \text{ and } H \text{ is negative,} \\
 (\text{the conjunction of } V)(h(\text{LeftArg}(H)), h(\text{RightArg}(H))), \\
 \quad \text{if } \text{len } H = n + 1 \text{ and } H \text{ is conjunctive,} \\
 (\text{the disjunction of } V)(h(\text{LeftArg}(H)), h(\text{RightArg}(H))), \\
 \quad \text{if } \text{len } H = n + 1 \text{ and } H \text{ is disjunctive,} \\
 (\text{the next-operation of } V)(h(\text{Arg}(H))), \\
 \quad \text{if } \text{len } H = n + 1 \text{ and } H \text{ has } \textit{next} \text{ operator,} \\
 (\text{the until-operation of } V)(h(\text{LeftArg}(H)), h(\text{RightArg}(H))), \\
 \quad \text{if } \text{len } H = n + 1 \text{ and } H \text{ has } \textit{until} \text{ operator,} \\
 (\text{the release-operation of } V)(h(\text{LeftArg}(H)), h(\text{RightArg}(H))), \\
 \quad \text{if } \text{len } H = n + 1 \text{ and } H \text{ has } \textit{release} \text{ operator,} \\
 h(H), \text{ if } \text{len } H < n + 1, \\
 \emptyset, \text{ otherwise.}
 \end{array} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

We adopt the following convention:  $V$  denotes an LTL-model structure,  $K_1$  denotes a function from  $\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the basic assignments of  $V$ , and  $f, f_1, f_2$  denote functions from  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the assignments of  $V$ .

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure, let  $K_1$  be a function from  $\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the basic assignments of  $V$ , and let  $n$  be a natural number. The functor  $\text{EvalSet}(V, K_1, n)$  yields a non empty set and is defined by:

$$(\text{Def. 30}) \quad \text{EvalSet}(V, K_1, n) = \{h; h \text{ ranges over functions from } \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}} \text{ into the assignments of } V: h \text{ is a } n\text{-pre-evaluation for } K_1\}.$$

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure, let  $v_0$  be an element of the assignments of  $V$ , and let  $x$  be a set. The functor  $\text{CastEval}(V, x, v_0)$  yielding a function from  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the assignments of  $V$  is defined by:

$$(\text{Def. 31}) \quad \text{CastEval}(V, x, v_0) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in (\text{the assignments of } V)^{\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}}, \\ \text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}} \mapsto v_0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure and let  $K_1$  be a function from  $\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the basic assignments of  $V$ . The functor  $\text{EvalFamily}(V, K_1)$  yielding a non empty set is defined by the condition (Def. 32).

(Def. 32) Let  $p$  be a set. Then  $p \in \text{EvalFamily}(V, K_1)$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $p \in 2^{(\text{the assignments of } V)^{\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}}}$ , and
- (ii) there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $p = \text{EvalSet}(V, K_1, n)$ .

We now state two propositions:

- (48) There exists  $f$  which is an evaluation for  $K_1$ .
- (49) If  $f_1$  is an evaluation for  $K_1$  and  $f_2$  is an evaluation for  $K_1$ , then  $f_1 = f_2$ .

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure, let  $K_1$  be a function from  $\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the basic assignments of  $V$ , and let  $H$  be an LTL-formula. The functor  $\text{Evaluate}(H, K_1)$  yields an assignment of  $V$  and is defined by:

(Def. 33) There exists a function  $f$  from  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the assignments of  $V$  such that  $f$  is an evaluation for  $K_1$  and  $\text{Evaluate}(H, K_1) = f(H)$ .

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure and let  $f$  be an assignment of  $V$ . The functor  $\neg f$  yielding an assignment of  $V$  is defined by:

(Def. 34)  $\neg f = (\text{the negation of } V)(f)$ .

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure and let  $f, g$  be assignments of  $V$ . The functor  $f \wedge g$  yields an assignment of  $V$  and is defined by:

(Def. 35)  $f \wedge g = (\text{the conjunction of } V)(f, g)$ .

The functor  $f \vee g$  yields an assignment of  $V$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 36)  $f \vee g = (\text{the disjunction of } V)(f, g)$ .

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure and let  $f$  be an assignment of  $V$ . The functor  $\mathcal{X}f$  yielding an assignment of  $V$  is defined by:

(Def. 37)  $\mathcal{X}f = (\text{the next-operation of } V)(f)$ .

Let  $V$  be an LTL-model structure and let  $f, g$  be assignments of  $V$ . The functor  $f \mathcal{U} g$  yielding an assignment of  $V$  is defined by:

(Def. 38)  $f \mathcal{U} g = (\text{the until-operation of } V)(f, g)$ .

The functor  $f \mathcal{R} g$  yields an assignment of  $V$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 39)  $f \mathcal{R} g = (\text{the release-operation of } V)(f, g)$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (50)  $\text{Evaluate}(\neg H, K_1) = \neg \text{Evaluate}(H, K_1)$ .
- (51)  $\text{Evaluate}(H_1 \wedge H_2, K_1) = \text{Evaluate}(H_1, K_1) \wedge \text{Evaluate}(H_2, K_1)$ .
- (52)  $\text{Evaluate}(H_1 \vee H_2, K_1) = \text{Evaluate}(H_1, K_1) \vee \text{Evaluate}(H_2, K_1)$ .
- (53)  $\text{Evaluate}(\mathcal{X} H, K_1) = \mathcal{X} \text{Evaluate}(H, K_1)$ .
- (54)  $\text{Evaluate}(H_1 \mathcal{U} H_2, K_1) = \text{Evaluate}(H_1, K_1) \mathcal{U} \text{Evaluate}(H_2, K_1)$ .
- (55)  $\text{Evaluate}(H_1 \mathcal{R} H_2, K_1) = \text{Evaluate}(H_1, K_1) \mathcal{R} \text{Evaluate}(H_2, K_1)$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set. The infinite sequences of  $S$  yielding a non empty set is defined by:

(Def. 40) The infinite sequences of  $S = S^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set and let  $t$  be a sequence of  $S$ . The functor  $\text{CastSeq } t$  yields an element of the infinite sequences of  $S$  and is defined by:

(Def. 41)  $\text{CastSeq } t = t$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set and let  $t$  be a set. Let us assume that  $t$  is an element of the infinite sequences of  $S$ . The functor  $\text{CastSeq}(t, S)$  yielding a sequence of  $S$  is defined by:

(Def. 42)  $\text{CastSeq}(t, S) = t$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set, let  $t$  be a sequence of  $S$ , and let  $k$  be a natural number. The functor  $\text{Shift}(t, k)$  yielding a sequence of  $S$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 43) For every natural number  $n$  holds  $(\text{Shift}(t, k))(n) = t(n + k)$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set, let  $t$  be a set, and let  $k$  be a natural number. The functor  $\text{Shift}(t, k, S)$  yielding an element of the infinite sequences of  $S$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 44)  $\text{Shift}(t, k, S) = \text{CastSeq } \text{Shift}(\text{CastSeq}(t, S), k)$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set, let  $t$  be an element of the infinite sequences of  $S$ , and let  $k$  be a natural number. The functor  $\text{Shift}(t, k)$  yielding an element of the infinite sequences of  $S$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 45)  $\text{Shift}(t, k) = \text{Shift}(t, k, S)$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set and let  $f$  be a set. The functor  $\text{Not}_0(f, S)$  yields an element of  $\text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) and is defined by the condition (Def. 46).

(Def. 46) Let  $t$  be a set. Suppose  $t \in$  the infinite sequences of  $S$ . Then  $\neg \text{Castboolean}(\text{Fid}(f, \text{the infinite sequences of } S))(t) = \text{true}$  if and only if  $(\text{Fid}(\text{Not}_0(f, S), \text{the infinite sequences of } S))(t) = \text{true}$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set. The functor  $\text{Not } S$  yielding a unary operation on  $\text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) is defined by:

(Def. 47) For every set  $f$  such that  $f \in \text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) holds  $(\text{Not } S)(f) = \text{Not}_0(f, S)$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set, let  $f$  be a function from the infinite sequences of  $S$  into  $\text{Boolean}$ , and let  $t$  be a set. The functor  $\text{Next-univ}(t, f)$  yields an element of  $\text{Boolean}$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 48)  $\text{Next-univ}(t, f) = \begin{cases} \text{true}, & \text{if } t \text{ is an element of the infinite sequences} \\ & \text{of } S \text{ and } f(\text{Shift}(t, 1, S)) = \text{true}, \\ \text{false}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let  $S$  be a non empty set and let  $f$  be a set. The functor  $\text{Next}_0(f, S)$  yielding an element of  $\text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) is defined by the condition

(Def. 49).

(Def. 49) Let  $t$  be a set. Suppose  $t \in$  the infinite sequences of  $S$ . Then  $\text{Next-univ}(t, \text{Fid}(f, \text{the infinite sequences of } S)) = \text{true}$  if and only if  $(\text{Fid}(\text{Next}_0(f, S), \text{the infinite sequences of } S))(t) = \text{true}$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set. The functor  $\text{Next } S$  yields a unary operation on  $\text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) and is defined as follows:

(Def. 50) For every set  $f$  such that  $f \in \text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) holds  $(\text{Next } S)(f) = \text{Next}_0(f, S)$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set and let  $f, g$  be sets. The functor  $\text{And}_0(f, g, S)$  yields an element of  $\text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) and is defined by the condition (Def. 51).

(Def. 51) Let  $t$  be a set. Suppose  $t \in$  the infinite sequences of  $S$ . Then  $\text{Castboolean}(\text{Fid}(f, \text{the infinite sequences of } S))(t) \wedge \text{Castboolean}(\text{Fid}(g, \text{the infinite sequences of } S))(t) = \text{true}$  if and only if  $(\text{Fid}(\text{And}_0(f, g, S), \text{the infinite sequences of } S))(t) = \text{true}$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set. The functor  $\text{And } S$  yielding a binary operation on  $\text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) is defined by the condition (Def. 52).

(Def. 52) Let  $f, g$  be sets. Suppose  $f \in \text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) and  $g \in \text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ). Then  $(\text{And } S)(f, g) = \text{And}_0(f, g, S)$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set, let  $f, g$  be functions from the infinite sequences of  $S$  into  $\text{Boolean}$ , and let  $t$  be a set. The functor  $\text{Until-univ}(t, f, g, S)$  yields an element of  $\text{Boolean}$  and is defined as follows:

(Def. 53) 
$$\text{Until-univ}(t, f, g, S) = \begin{cases} \text{true, if } t \text{ is an element of the infinite sequences} \\ \text{of } S \text{ and there exists a natural number } m \\ \text{such that for every natural number } j \\ \text{such that } j < m \text{ holds } f(\text{Shift}(t, j, S)) = \\ \text{true and } g(\text{Shift}(t, m, S)) = \text{true,} \\ \text{false, otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $S$  be a non empty set and let  $f, g$  be sets. The functor  $\text{Until}_0(f, g, S)$  yields an element of  $\text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) and is defined by the condition (Def. 54).

(Def. 54) Let  $t$  be a set. Suppose  $t \in$  the infinite sequences of  $S$ . Then  $\text{Until-univ}(t, \text{Fid}(f, \text{the infinite sequences of } S), \text{Fid}(g, \text{the infinite sequences of } S), S) = \text{true}$  if and only if  $(\text{Fid}(\text{Until}_0(f, g, S), \text{the infinite sequences of } S))(t) = \text{true}$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set. The functor  $\text{Until } S$  yielding a binary operation on  $\text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) is defined by the condition (Def. 55).

(Def. 55) Let  $f, g$  be sets. Suppose  $f \in \text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) and  $g \in \text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ). Then  $(\text{Until } S)(f, g) =$

$\text{Until}_0(f, g, S)$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set. The functor  $\vee_S$  yields a binary operation on ModelSP (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) and is defined by the condition (Def. 56).

(Def. 56) Let  $f, g$  be sets. Suppose  $f \in \text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) and  $g \in \text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ). Then  $\vee_S(f, g) = (\text{Not } S)((\text{And } S)((\text{Not } S)(f), (\text{Not } S)(g)))$ .

The functor  $\text{Release } S$  yields a binary operation on ModelSP (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) and is defined by the condition (Def. 57).

(Def. 57) Let  $f, g$  be sets. Suppose  $f \in \text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ) and  $g \in \text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ). Then  $(\text{Release } S)(f, g) = (\text{Not } S)((\text{Until } S)((\text{Not } S)(f), (\text{Not } S)(g)))$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set and let  $B_1$  be a non empty subset of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ). The functor  $\text{Model}_{\text{LTL}}(S, B_1)$  yields an LTL-model structure and is defined as follows:

(Def. 58)  $\text{Model}_{\text{LTL}}(S, B_1) = \langle \text{ModelSP (the infinite sequences of } S), B_1, \text{And } S, \vee_S, \text{Not } S, \text{Next } S, \text{Until } S, \text{Release } S \rangle$ .

In the sequel  $B_1$  denotes a non empty subset of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ),  $t$  denotes an element of the infinite sequences of  $S$ , and  $f, g$  denote assignments of  $\text{Model}_{\text{LTL}}(S, B_1)$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set, let  $B_1$  be a non empty subset of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ), let  $t$  be an element of the infinite sequences of  $S$ , and let  $f$  be an assignment of  $\text{Model}_{\text{LTL}}(S, B_1)$ . The predicate  $t \models f$  is defined by:

(Def. 59)  $(\text{Fid}(f, \text{the infinite sequences of } S))(t) = \text{true}$ .

Let  $S$  be a non empty set, let  $B_1$  be a non empty subset of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of  $S$ ), let  $t$  be an element of the infinite sequences of  $S$ , and let  $f$  be an assignment of  $\text{Model}_{\text{LTL}}(S, B_1)$ . We introduce  $t \not\models f$  as an antonym of  $t \models f$ .

The following propositions are true:

$$(56) \quad f \vee g = \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g) \text{ and } f \mathcal{R} g = \neg(\neg f \mathcal{U} \neg g).$$

$$(57) \quad t \models \neg f \text{ iff } t \not\models f.$$

$$(58) \quad t \models f \wedge g \text{ iff } t \models f \text{ and } t \models g.$$

$$(59) \quad t \models \mathcal{X} f \text{ iff } \text{Shift}(t, 1) \models f.$$

$$(60) \quad t \models f \mathcal{U} g \text{ if and only if there exists a natural number } m \text{ such that for every natural number } j \text{ such that } j < m \text{ holds } \text{Shift}(t, j) \models f \text{ and } \text{Shift}(t, m) \models g.$$

$$(61) \quad t \models f \vee g \text{ iff } t \models f \text{ or } t \models g.$$

$$(62) \quad t \models f \mathcal{R} g \text{ if and only if for every natural number } m \text{ such that for every natural number } j \text{ such that } j < m \text{ holds } \text{Shift}(t, j) \models \neg f \text{ holds } \text{Shift}(t, m) \models g.$$

The non empty set  $\text{AtomicFamily}$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 60)  $\text{AtomicFamily} = 2^{\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}}$ .

Let  $a, t$  be sets. The functor  $\text{AtomicFunc}(a, t)$  yielding an element of  $\text{Boolean}$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 61) 
$$\text{AtomicFunc}(a, t) = \begin{cases} \text{true}, & \text{if } t \in \text{the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily} \\ & \text{and } a \in (\text{CastSeq}(t, \text{AtomicFamily}))(0), \\ \text{false}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $a$  be a set. The functor  $\text{AtomicAsgn } a$  yields an element of  $\text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $\text{AtomicFamily}$ ) and is defined by:

(Def. 62) For every set  $t$  such that  $t \in \text{the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily}$  holds  $(\text{Fid}(\text{AtomicAsgn } a, \text{the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily}))(t) = \text{AtomicFunc}(a, t)$ .

The non empty subset  $\text{AtomicBasicAsgn}$  of  $\text{ModelSP}$  (the infinite sequences of  $\text{AtomicFamily}$ ) is defined by:

(Def. 63)  $\text{AtomicBasicAsgn} = \{x \in \text{ModelSP}(\text{the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily}): \bigvee_{a:\text{set}} x = \text{AtomicAsgn } a\}$ .

The function  $\text{AtomicKai}$  from  $\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$  into the basic assignments of  $\text{Model}_{\text{LTL}}(\text{AtomicFamily}, \text{AtomicBasicAsgn})$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 64) For every set  $a$  such that  $a \in \text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$  holds  $(\text{AtomicKai})(a) = \text{AtomicAsgn } a$ .

Let  $r$  be an element of the infinite sequences of  $\text{AtomicFamily}$  and let  $H$  be an LTL-formula. The predicate  $r \models H$  is defined by:

(Def. 65)  $r \models \text{Evaluate}(H, \text{AtomicKai})$ .

Let  $r$  be an element of the infinite sequences of  $\text{AtomicFamily}$  and let  $H$  be an LTL-formula. We introduce  $r \not\models H$  as an antonym of  $r \models H$ .

Let  $r$  be an element of the infinite sequences of  $\text{AtomicFamily}$  and let  $W$  be a subset of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$ . The predicate  $r \models W$  is defined by:

(Def. 66) For every LTL-formula  $H$  such that  $H \in W$  holds  $r \models H$ .

Let  $r$  be an element of the infinite sequences of  $\text{AtomicFamily}$  and let  $W$  be a subset of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$ . We introduce  $r \not\models W$  as an antonym of  $r \models W$ .

Let  $W$  be a subset of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$ . The functor  $\mathcal{X}W$  yielding a subset of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 67)  $\mathcal{X}W = \{x; x \text{ ranges over LTL-formulae}: \bigvee_{u:\text{LTL-formula}} (u \in W \wedge x = \mathcal{X}u)\}$ .

In the sequel  $r$  denotes an element of the infinite sequences of  $\text{AtomicFamily}$ .

We now state a number of propositions:

(63) If  $H$  is atomic, then  $r \models H$  iff  $H \in (\text{CastSeq}(r, \text{AtomicFamily}))(0)$ .

(64)  $r \models \neg H$  iff  $r \not\models H$ .

(65)  $r \models H_1 \wedge H_2$  iff  $r \models H_1$  and  $r \models H_2$ .

- (66)  $r \models H_1 \vee H_2$  iff  $r \models H_1$  or  $r \models H_2$ .
- (67)  $r \models \mathcal{X} H$  iff  $\text{Shift}(r, 1) \models H$ .
- (68)  $r \models H_1 \mathcal{U} H_2$  if and only if there exists a natural number  $m$  such that for every natural number  $j$  such that  $j < m$  holds  $\text{Shift}(r, j) \models H_1$  and  $\text{Shift}(r, m) \models H_2$ .
- (69)  $r \models H_1 \mathcal{R} H_2$  if and only if for every natural number  $m$  such that for every natural number  $j$  such that  $j < m$  holds  $\text{Shift}(r, j) \models \neg H_1$  holds  $\text{Shift}(r, m) \models H_2$ .
- (70)  $r \models \neg(H_1 \vee H_2)$  iff  $r \models \neg H_1 \wedge \neg H_2$ .
- (71)  $r \models \neg(H_1 \wedge H_2)$  iff  $r \models \neg H_1 \vee \neg H_2$ .
- (72)  $r \models H_1 \mathcal{R} H_2$  iff  $r \models \neg(\neg H_1 \mathcal{U} \neg H_2)$ .
- (73)  $r \not\models \neg H$  iff  $r \models H$ .
- (74)  $r \models \mathcal{X} \neg H$  iff  $r \models \neg \mathcal{X} H$ .
- (75)  $r \models H_1 \mathcal{U} H_2$  iff  $r \models H_2 \vee H_1 \wedge \mathcal{X}(H_1 \mathcal{U} H_2)$ .
- (76)  $r \models H_1 \mathcal{R} H_2$  iff  $r \models H_1 \wedge H_2 \vee H_2 \wedge \mathcal{X}(H_1 \mathcal{R} H_2)$ .

In the sequel  $W$  is a subset of  $\text{WFF}_{\text{LTL}}$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (77)  $r \models \mathcal{X} W$  iff  $\text{Shift}(r, 1) \models W$ .
- (78)(i) If  $H$  is atomic, then  $H$  is not negative and  $H$  is not conjunctive and  $H$  is not disjunctive and  $H$  does not have *next* operator and  $H$  does not have *until* operator and  $H$  does not have *release* operator,
- (ii) if  $H$  is negative, then  $H$  is not atomic and  $H$  is not conjunctive and  $H$  is not disjunctive and  $H$  does not have *next* operator and  $H$  does not have *until* operator and  $H$  does not have *release* operator,
- (iii) if  $H$  is conjunctive, then  $H$  is not atomic and  $H$  is not negative and  $H$  is not disjunctive and  $H$  does not have *next* operator and  $H$  does not have *until* operator and  $H$  does not have *release* operator,
- (iv) if  $H$  is disjunctive, then  $H$  is not atomic and  $H$  is not negative and  $H$  is not conjunctive and  $H$  does not have *next* operator and  $H$  does not have *until* operator and  $H$  does not have *release* operator,
- (v) if  $H$  has *next* operator, then  $H$  is not atomic and  $H$  is not negative and  $H$  is not conjunctive and  $H$  is not disjunctive and  $H$  does not have *until* operator and  $H$  does not have *release* operator,
- (vi) if  $H$  has *until* operator, then  $H$  is not atomic and  $H$  is not negative and  $H$  is not conjunctive and  $H$  is not disjunctive and  $H$  does not have *next* operator and  $H$  does not have *release* operator, and
- (vii) if  $H$  has *release* operator, then  $H$  is not atomic and  $H$  is not negative and  $H$  is not conjunctive and  $H$  is not disjunctive and  $H$  does not have *next* operator and  $H$  does not have *until* operator.
- (79) For every element  $t$  of the infinite sequences of  $S$  holds  $\text{Shift}(t, 0) = t$ .

- (80) For every element  $s_1$  of the infinite sequences of  $S$  holds  $\text{Shift}(\text{Shift}(s_1, k), n) = \text{Shift}(s_1, n + k)$ .
- (81) For every sequence  $s_1$  of  $S$  holds  $\text{CastSeq}(\text{CastSeq } s_1, S) = s_1$ .
- (82) For every element  $s_1$  of the infinite sequences of  $S$  holds  $\text{CastSeq CastSeq}(s_1, S) = s_1$ .
- (83) If  $H, \neg H \in W$ , then  $r \neq W$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. A model of ZF set theory language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):131–145, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Models and satisfiability. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):191–199, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [9] E. M. Clarke, O. Grumberg, and D. Peled. *Model Checking*. MIT Press, 2000.
- [10] Kazuhisa Ishida. Model checking. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 14(4):171–186, 2006.
- [11] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [12] Edmund Woronowicz. Many-argument relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):733–737, 1990.

*Received April 21, 2008*

---