## Definition of First Order Language with Arbitrary Alphabet. Syntax of Terms, Atomic Formulas and their Subterms<sup>1</sup>

Marco B. Caminati<sup>2</sup>
Mathematics Department "G.Castelnuovo"
Sapienza University of Rome
Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

**Summary.** Second of a series of articles laying down the bases for classical first order model theory. A language is defined basically as a tuple made of an integer-valued function (adicity), a symbol of equality and a symbol for the NOR logical connective. The only requests for this tuple to be a language is that the value of the adicity in = is -2 and that its preimage (i.e. the variables set) in 0 is infinite. Existential quantification will be rendered (see [11]) by mere prefixing a formula with a letter. Then the hierarchy among symbols according to their adicity is introduced, taking advantage of attributes and clusters.

The strings of symbols of a language are depth-recursively classified as terms using the standard approach (see for example [16], definition 1.1.2); technically, this is done here by deploying the '-multiCat' functor and the 'unambiguous' attribute previously introduced in [10], and the set of atomic formulas is introduced. The set of all terms is shown to be unambiguous with respect to concatenation; we say that it is a prefix set. This fact is exploited to uniquely define the subterms both of a term and of an atomic formula without resorting to a parse tree.

MML identifier: FOMODEL1, version: 7.11.07 4.160.1126

The papers [1], [3], [18], [5], [6], [12], [10], [7], [8], [9], [19], [14], [13], [2], [17], [4], [21], [22], [15], and [20] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

We follow the rules: m, n are natural numbers,  $m_1$ ,  $n_1$  are elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and X, x, z are sets.

Let z be a zero integer number. One can check that |z| is zero.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The author wrote this paper as part of his PhD thesis research.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>I would like to thank Marco Pedicini for his encouragement and support.

Let us observe that there exists a real number which is negative and integer and every integer number which is positive is also natural.

Let S be a non degenerated zero-one structure. Observe that (the carrier of S) \ {the one of S} is non empty.

We introduce languages-like which are extensions of zero-one structure and are systems

 $\langle$  a carrier, a zero, a one, an adicity  $\rangle$ ,

where the carrier is a set, the zero and the one are elements of the carrier, and the adicity is a function from the carrier  $\{$ the one $\}$  into  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let S be a language-like. The functor AllSymbolsOf S is defined by:

(Def. 1) AllSymbolsOf S = the carrier of S.

The functor LettersOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) LettersOf  $S = (\text{the adicity of } S)^{-1}(\{0\}).$ 

The functor OpSymbolsOf S is defined by:

(Def. 3) OpSymbolsOf  $S = (\text{the adicity of } S)^{-1}(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}).$ 

The functor RelSymbolsOf S is defined by:

(Def. 4) RelSymbolsOf S =(the adicity of S)<sup>-1</sup>( $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ ).

The functor TermSymbolsOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) TermSymbolsOf  $S = (\text{the adicity of } S)^{-1}(\mathbb{N}).$ 

The functor LowerCompoundersOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 6) LowerCompoundersOf  $S = (\text{the adicity of } S)^{-1}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}).$ 

The functor The EqSymbOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) The EqSymbOf S = the zero of S.

The functor TheNorSymbOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) The NorSymbOf S = the one of S.

The functor OwnSymbolsOf S is defined by:

(Def. 9) OwnSymbolsOf S =(the carrier of S) \ {the zero of S, the one of S}. Let S be a language-like. An element of S is an element of AllSymbolsOf S. The functor AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf S is defined by:

(Def. 10) Atomic FormulaSymbolsOf S= AllSymbolsOf  $S\setminus \{\mbox{TheNorSymbOf }S\}.$ 

The functor Atomic Terms<br/>Of  ${\cal S}$  is defined by:

(Def. 11) AtomicTermsOf  $S = (\text{LettersOf } S)^1$ .

We say that S is operational if and only if:

(Def. 12) OpSymbolsOf S is non empty.

We say that S is relational if and only if:

(Def. 13) RelSymbolsOf  $S \setminus \{\text{TheEqSymbOf } S\}$  is non empty.

Let S be a language-like and let s be an element of S. We say that s is literal if and only if:

(Def. 14)  $s \in \text{LettersOf } S$ .

We say that s is low-compounding if and only if:

(Def. 15)  $s \in \text{LowerCompoundersOf } S$ .

We say that s is operational if and only if:

(Def. 16)  $s \in \text{OpSymbolsOf } S$ .

We say that s is relational if and only if:

(Def. 17)  $s \in \text{RelSymbolsOf } S$ .

We say that s is termal if and only if:

(Def. 18)  $s \in \text{TermSymbolsOf } S$ .

We say that s is own if and only if:

(Def. 19)  $s \in \text{OwnSymbolsOf } S$ .

We say that s is of-atomic-formula if and only if:

(Def. 20)  $s \in AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf S$ .

Let S be a zero-one structure and let s be an element of (the carrier of S) \ {the one of S}. The functor TrivialArity s yields an integer number and is defined by:

(Def. 21) TrivialArity 
$$s = \begin{cases} -2, & \text{if } s = \text{the zero of } S, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let S be a zero-one structure and let s be an element of (the carrier of S) \ {the one of S}. Then TrivialArity s is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let S be a non degenerated zero-one structure. The functor S TrivialArity yielding a function from (the carrier of S) \ {the one of S} into  $\mathbb{Z}$  is defined by:

(Def. 22) For every element s of (the carrier of S) \ {the one of S} holds  $(S \operatorname{TrivialArity})(s) = \operatorname{TrivialArity} s$ .

Let us observe that there exists a non degenerated zero-one structure which is infinite.

Let S be an infinite non degenerated zero-one structure.

Observe that  $(S \text{TrivialArity})^{-1}(\{0\})$  is infinite.

Let S be a language-like. We say that S is eligible if and only if:

(Def. 23) LettersOf S is infinite and (the adicity of S)(TheEqSymbOf S) = -2.

One can check that there exists a language-like which is non degenerated.

One can check that there exists a non degenerated language-like which is eligible.

A language is an eligible non degenerated language-like.

We follow the rules: S,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  are languages and s,  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$  are elements of S. Let S be a non empty language-like. Then AllSymbolsOf S is a non emp-

Let S be an eligible language-like. Note that Letters Of S is infinite. Let S be a language. Then LettersOf S is a non empty subset of AllSymbolsOf S. Note that TheEqSymbOf S is relational.

Let S be a non degenerated language-like. Then AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf S is a non empty subset of AllSymbolsOf S.

Let S be a non degenerated language-like. Then The EqSymbOf S is an element of Atomic FormulaSymbolsOf S.

We now state the proposition

(1) Let S be a language. Then LettersOf  $S \cap \operatorname{OpSymbolsOf} S = \emptyset$  and TermSymbolsOf  $S \cap \operatorname{LowerCompoundersOf} S = \operatorname{OpSymbolsOf} S$  and RelSymbolsOf  $S \setminus \operatorname{OwnSymbolsOf} S = \{\operatorname{TheEqSymbOf} S\}$  and  $\operatorname{OwnSymbolsOf} S \subseteq \operatorname{AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf} S$  and  $\operatorname{RelSymbolsOf} S \subseteq \operatorname{LowerCompoundersOf} S$  and  $\operatorname{OpSymbolsOf} S \subseteq \operatorname{TermSymbolsOf} S$  and  $\operatorname{LettersOf} S \subseteq \operatorname{TermSymbolsOf} S \subseteq \operatorname{OwnSymbolsOf} S$  and  $\operatorname{OpSymbolsOf} S \subseteq \operatorname{LowerCompoundersOf} S \subseteq \operatorname{AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf} S$ .

Let S be a language. One can verify the following observations:

- \* TermSymbolsOf S is non empty,
- \* every element of S which is own is also of-atomic-formula,
- \* every element of S which is relational is also low-compounding,
- \* every element of S which is operational is also termal,
- \* every element of S which is literal is also termal,
- \* every element of S which is termal is also own,
- \* every element of S which is operational is also low-compounding,
- \* every element of S which is low-compounding is also of-atomic-formula,
- \* every element of S which is termal is also non relational,
- \* every element of S which is literal is also non relational, and
- \* every element of S which is literal is also non operational.

Let S be a language. Note that there exists an element of S which is relational and there exists an element of S which is literal. Observe that every low-compounding element of S which is termal is also operational. One can check that there exists an element of S which is of-atomic-formula.

Let s be an of-atomic-formula element of S. The functor ar s yielding an element of  $\mathbb Z$  is defined by:

(Def. 24)  $\operatorname{ar} s = (\operatorname{the adicity of} S)(s).$ 

Let S be a language and let s be a literal element of S. Note that ar s is zero. The functor S-cons yielding a binary operation on (AllSymbolsOf S)\* is defined as follows:

(Def. 25) S-cons = the concatenation of AllSymbolsOf S.

Let S be a language.

The functor S-multiCat yields a function from  $((AllSymbolsOf S)^*)^*$  into  $(AllSymbolsOf S)^*$  and is defined by:

(Def. 26) S-multiCat = (AllSymbolsOf S)-multiCat.

Let S be a language. The functor S-firstChar yielding a function from (AllSymbolsOf S)\*  $\setminus \{\emptyset\}$  into AllSymbolsOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 27) S-firstChar = (AllSymbolsOf S)-firstChar.

Let S be a language and let X be a set. We say that X is S-prefix if and only if:

(Def. 28) X is AllSymbolsOf S-prefix.

Let S be a language. Note that every set which is S-prefix is also

AllSymbolsOf S-prefix and every set which is AllSymbolsOf S-prefix is also S-prefix. A string of S is an element of (AllSymbolsOf S)\*  $\setminus \{\emptyset\}$ .

Let us consider S. One can check that (AllSymbolsOf S)\*  $\setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is non empty. Note that every string of S is non empty.

Let us note that every language is infinite. Observe that All SymbolsOf S is infinite.

Let s be an of-atomic-formula element of S, and let  $S_3$  be a set. The functor Compound $(s, S_3)$  is defined by:

(Def. 29) Compound $(s, S_3) = \{\langle s \rangle \cap S\text{-multiCat}(S_4); S_4 \text{ ranges over elements of } ((AllSymbolsOf S)^*)^*: rng <math>S_4 \subseteq S_3 \wedge S_4$  is |ar s|-element $\}$ .

Let S be a language, let s be an of-atomic-formula element of S, and let  $S_3$  be a set. Then Compound $(s, S_3)$  is an element of  $2^{(\text{AllSymbolsOf }S)^*\setminus\{\emptyset\}}$ . The functor S-termsOfMaxDepth yields a function and is defined by the conditions (Def. 30).

- (Def. 30)(i)  $dom(S-termsOfMaxDepth) = \mathbb{N},$ 
  - (ii) S-termsOfMaxDepth(0) = AtomicTermsOf S, and
  - (iii) for every natural number n holds S-termsOfMaxDepth $(n + 1) = \bigcup \{\text{Compound}(s, S\text{-termsOfMaxDepth}(n)); s \text{ ranges over of-atomic-}$

Let us consider S. Then AtomicTermsOf S is a subset of (AllSymbolsOf S)\*. Let S be a language. The functor AllTermsOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 31) AllTermsOf  $S = \bigcup \text{rng}(S\text{-termsOfMaxDepth}).$ 

One can prove the following proposition

(2) S-termsOfMaxDepth $(m_1) \subseteq AllTermsOf <math>S$ .

Let S be a language and let w be a string of S. We say that w is termal if and only if:

(Def. 32)  $w \in AllTermsOf S$ .

Let m be a natural number, let S be a language, and let w be a string of S. We say that w is m-termal if and only if:

(Def. 33)  $w \in S$ -termsOfMaxDepth(m).

Let m be a natural number and let S be a language. Note that every string of S which is m-termal is also termal.

Let us consider S. Then S-termsOfMaxDepth is a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{(\text{AllSymbolsOf }S)^*}$ . Then AllTermsOf S is a non empty subset of  $(\text{AllSymbolsOf }S)^*$ . Note that AllTermsOf S is non empty.

Let us consider m. One can verify that S-termsOfMaxDepth(m) is non empty. Observe that every element of S-termsOfMaxDepth(m) is non empty. Observe that every element of AllTermsOf S is non empty.

Let m be a natural number and let S be a language. Note that there exists a string of S which is m-termal. Observe that every string of S which is 0-termal is also 1-element.

Let S be a language and let w be a 0-termal string of S. Observe that S-firstChar(w) is literal.

Let us consider S and let w be a termal string of S. Note that S-firstChar(w) is termal.

Let us consider S and let t be a termal string of S. The functor ar t yielding an element of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 34)  $\operatorname{ar} t = \operatorname{ar} S$ -firstChar(t).

Next we state the proposition

(3) For every  $m_1 + 1$ -termal string w of S there exists an element T of S-termsOfMaxDepth $(m_1)^*$  such that T is  $|\operatorname{ar} S$ -firstChar(w)|-element and  $w = \langle S$ -firstChar $(w) \rangle \cap S$ -multiCat(T).

Let us consider S, m. Note that S-termsOfMaxDepth(m) is S-prefix.

Let us consider S and let V be an element of (AllTermsOf S)\*. Observe that S-multiCat(V) is relation-like.

Let us consider S and let V be an element of  $(AllTermsOf S)^*$ . One can verify that S-multi(Cat(V)) is function-like.

Let us consider S and let  $p_1$  be a string of S. We say that  $p_1$  is 0-w.f.f. if and only if:

(Def. 35) There exists a relational element s of S and there exists an |ar s|-element element V of (AllTermsOf S)\* such that  $p_1 = \langle s \rangle \cap S$ -multiCat(V).

Let us consider S. Note that there exists a string of S which is 0-w.f.f..

Let  $p_1$  be a 0-w.f.f. string of S. Observe that S-firstChar $(p_1)$  is relational. The functor AtomicFormulasOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 36) AtomicFormulasOf  $S = \{p_1; p_1 \text{ ranges over strings of } S: p_1 \text{ is } 0\text{-w.f.f.}\}$ .

Let us consider S. Then AtomicFormulasOf S is a subset of (AllSymbolsOf S)\*\ $\{\emptyset\}$ . Note that AtomicFormulasOf S is non empty. Observe that every element of AtomicFormulasOf S is 0-w.f.f.. Observe that AllTermsOf S is S-prefix.

Let us consider S and let t be a termal string of S. The functor SubTerms t yields an element of (AllTermsOf S)\* and is defined by:

(Def. 37) SubTerms t is |ar S-firstChar(t)|-element and  $t = \langle S$ -firstChar $(t) \rangle \cap S$ -multiCat(SubTerms t).

Let us consider S and let t be a termal string of S. One can verify that SubTerms t is  $|\operatorname{ar} t|$ -element.

Let  $t_0$  be a 0-termal string of S. Note that SubTerms  $t_0$  is empty.

Let us consider  $m_1$ , S and let t be an  $m_1 + 1$ -termal string of S. One can verify that SubTerms t is S-termsOfMaxDepth( $m_1$ )-valued.

Let us consider S and let  $p_1$  be a 0-w.f.f. string of S. The functor SubTerms  $p_1$  yields an  $|\operatorname{ar} S$ -firstChar $(p_1)|$ -element element of (AllTermsOf S)\* and is defined as follows:

(Def. 38)  $p_1 = \langle S\text{-firstChar}(p_1) \rangle \cap S\text{-multiCat}(\text{SubTerms } p_1).$ 

Let us consider S and let  $p_1$  be a 0-w.f.f. string of S. Note that SubTerms  $p_1$  is  $|\operatorname{ar} S$ -firstChar $(p_1)|$ -element.

Then AllTermsOf S is an element of  $2^{(\text{AllSymbolsOf }S)^*\setminus\{\emptyset\}}$ . Note that every element of AllTermsOf S is termal. The functor S-subTerms yielding a function from AllTermsOf S into  $(\text{AllTermsOf }S)^*$  is defined by:

- (Def. 39) For every element t of AllTermsOf S holds S-subTerms(t) = SubTerms t. We now state several propositions:
  - (4) S-termsOfMaxDepth $(m) \subseteq S$ -termsOfMaxDepth(m+n).
  - (5) If  $x \in AllTermsOf S$ , then there exists  $n_1$  such that  $x \in S$ -termsOfMaxDepth $(n_1)$ .
  - (6) AllTermsOf  $S \subseteq (AllSymbolsOf S)^* \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$
  - (7) AllTermsOf S is S-prefix.
  - (8) If  $x \in AllTermsOf S$ , then x is a string of S.
  - (9) AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf  $S \setminus \text{OwnSymbolsOf } S = \{\text{TheEqSymbOf } S\}.$
  - (10) TermSymbolsOf  $S \setminus \text{LettersOf } S = \text{OpSymbolsOf } S$ .
  - (11) AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf  $S \setminus \text{RelSymbolsOf } S = \text{TermSymbolsOf } S$ .

Let us consider S. Observe that every of-atomic-formula element of S which is non relational is also termal.

Then OwnSymbolsOf S is a subset of AllSymbolsOf S. Observe that every termal element of S which is non literal is also operational.

Next we state three propositions:

- (12) x is a string of S iff x is a non empty element of (AllSymbolsOf S)\*.
- (13) x is a string of S iff x is a non empty finite sequence of elements of AllSymbolsOf S.
- (14) S-termsOfMaxDepth is a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{(\text{AllSymbolsOf }S)^*}$ .

Let us consider S. Note that every element of LettersOf S is literal. One can check that TheNorSymbOf S is non low-compounding.

Observe that TheNorSymbOf S is non own.

Next we state the proposition

(15) If  $s \neq \text{TheNorSymbOf } S$  and  $s \neq \text{TheEqSymbOf } S$ , then  $s \in \text{OwnSymbolsOf } S$ .

For simplicity, we use the following convention: l,  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$  denote literal elements of S, a denotes an of-atomic-formula element of S, r denotes a relational element of S, w,  $w_1$  denote strings of S, and  $t_2$  denotes an element of AllTermsOf S.

Let us consider S, t. The functor Depth t yielding a natural number is defined by:

(Def. 40) t is Depth t-termal and for every n such that t is n-termal holds Depth  $t \le n$ .

Let us consider S, let  $m_0$  be a zero number, and let t be an  $m_0$ -termal string of S. Note that Depth t is zero.

Let us consider S and let s be a low-compounding element of S. Note that ar s is non zero.

Let us consider S and let s be a termal element of S. Observe that ar s is non negative and extended real.

Let us consider S and let s be a relational element of S. Note that ar s is negative and extended real.

Next we state the proposition

(16) If t is non 0-termal, then S-firstChar(t) is operational and SubTerms  $t \neq \emptyset$ .

Let us consider S. Observe that S-multiCat is finite sequence-yielding.

Let us consider S and let W be a non empty AllSymbolsOf  $S^* \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ -valued finite sequence. One can verify that S-multiCat(W) is non empty.

Let us consider S, l. Note that  $\langle l \rangle$  is 0-termal.

Let us consider S, m, n. One can check that every string of S which is  $m + 0 \cdot n$ -termal is also m + n-termal.

Let us consider S. One can check that every own element of S which is non low-compounding is also literal.

Let us consider S, t. One can check that SubTerms t is rng  $t^*$ -valued.

Let  $p_0$  be a 0-w.f.f. string of S. Observe that SubTerms  $p_0$  is rng  $p_0^*$ -valued. Then S-termsOfMaxDepth is a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{(\text{AllSymbolsOf }S)^*\setminus\{\emptyset\}}$ .

Let us consider S,  $m_1$ . Observe that S-termsOfMaxDepth $(m_1)$  has non empty elements.

Let us consider S, m and let t be a termal string of S. One can verify that t null m is Depth t+m-termal. One can check that every string of S which is termal is also TermSymbolsOf S-valued. Observe that AllTermsOf  $S \setminus (\text{TermSymbolsOf } S)^*$  is empty.

Let  $p_0$  be a 0-w.f.f. string of S. Observe that SubTerms  $p_0$  is TermSymbolsOf  $S^*$ -valued. One can verify that every string of S which is 0-w.f.f. is also

Atomic Formula Symbols Of S-valued. One can check that OwnSymbols Of S is non empty.

In the sequel  $p_0$  is a 0-w.f.f. string of S.

The following proposition is true

(17) If S-firstChar $(p_0) \neq$  TheEqSymbOf S, then  $p_0$  is OwnSymbolsOf S-valued.

Let us observe that there exists a language-like which is strict and non empty. Let  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  be languages-like. We say that  $S_2$  is  $S_1$ -extending if and only if:

(Def. 41) The adicity of  $S_1 \subseteq$  the adicity of  $S_2$  and TheEqSymbOf  $S_1 =$  TheEqSymbOf  $S_2$  and TheNorSymbOf  $S_1 =$  TheNorSymbOf  $S_2$ .

Let us consider S. One can verify that S null is S-extending. Observe that there exists a language which is S-extending.

Let us consider  $S_1$  and let  $S_2$  be an  $S_1$ -extending language. Observe that OwnSymbolsOf  $S_1 \setminus \text{OwnSymbolsOf } S_2$  is empty.

Let f be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued function and let L be a non empty language-like. The functor L extendWith f yields a strict non empty language-like and is defined by the conditions (Def. 42).

- (Def. 42)(i) The adicity of L extendWith  $f = f \upharpoonright (\text{dom } f \setminus \{\text{the one of } L\}) + \cdot \text{the adicity of } L$ ,
  - (ii) the zero of L extendWith f = the zero of L, and
  - (iii) the one of L extendWith f = the one of L.

Let S be a non empty language-like and let f be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued function. Note that S extendWith f is S-extending.

Let S be a non degenerated language-like. Observe that every language-like which is S-extending is also non degenerated.

Let S be an eligible language-like. One can check that every language-like which is S-extending is also eligible.

Let E be an empty binary relation and let us consider X. Note that  $X \upharpoonright E$  is empty.

Let us consider X and let m be an integer number. Note that  $X \longmapsto m$  is

Let us consider S and let X be a functional set.

The functor S addLettersNotIn X yields an S-extending language and is defined as follows:

(Def. 43) S addLettersNotIn X =

S extendWith((AllSymbolsOf  $S \cup SymbolsOf X$ )-freeCountableSet  $\longmapsto$  0 **qua**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued function).

Let us consider  $S_1$  and let X be a functional set.

Note that LettersOf( $S_1$  addLettersNotIn X) \ SymbolsOf X is infinite.

Let us note that there exists a language which is countable.

Let S be a countable language. Observe that AllSymbolsOf S is countable. One can verify that  $(AllSymbolsOf S)^* \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is countable.

Let L be a non empty language-like and let f be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued function. Note that AllSymbolsOf(L extendWith f) $\dot{-}$ (dom  $f \cup$  AllSymbolsOf L) is empty.

Let S be a countable language and let X be a functional set. One can check that S addLettersNotIn X is countable.

## References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529-536, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1000
- [9] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [10] Marco B. Caminati. Preliminaries to classical first order model theory. Formalized Mathematics, 19(3):155-167, 2011, doi: 10.2478/v10037-011-0025-2.
- [11] Marco B. Caminati. First order languages: Further syntax and semantics. Formalized Mathematics, 19(3):179–192, 2011, doi: 10.2478/v10037-011-0027-0.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [13] Katarzyna Jankowska. Transpose matrices and groups of permutations. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):711-717, 1991.
- [14] Rafał Kwiatek and Grzegorz Zwara. The divisibility of integers and integer relative primes. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):829–832, 1990.
- [15] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [16] W. Pohlers and T. Glaß. An introduction to mathematical logic. Vorlesungsskriptum, WS, 93, 1992.
- [17] Marta Pruszyńska and Marek Dudzicz. On the isomorphism between finite chains. Formalized Mathematics, 9(2):429–430, 2001.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [19] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67-71, 1990.
- [21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received December 29, 2010