

Tietze Extension Theorem for n -dimensional Spaces¹

Karol Pałk
Institute of Informatics
University of Białystok
Sosnowa 64, 15-887 Białystok
Poland

Summary. In this article we prove the Tietze extension theorem for an arbitrary convex compact subset of \mathcal{E}^n with a non-empty interior. This theorem states that, if T is a normal topological space, X is a closed subset of T , and A is a convex compact subset of \mathcal{E}^n with a non-empty interior, then a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow A$ can be extended to a continuous function $g : T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^n$. Additionally we show that a subset A is replaceable by an arbitrary subset of a topological space that is homeomorphic with a convex compact subset of \mathcal{E}^n with a non-empty interior. This article is based on [20]; [23] and [22] can also serve as reference books.

MSC: 54A05 03B35

Keywords: Tietze extension; hypercube

MML identifier: TIETZE_2, version: 8.1.02 5.22.1199

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [8], [36], [24], [30], [1], [15], [21], [16], [25], [6], [9], [17], [37], [10], [11], [3], [34], [5], [12], [26], [33], [35], [41], [42], [13], [40], [19], [31], [28], [43], [18], [44], [29], and [14].

1. CLOSED HYPERCUBE

From now on n, m, i denote natural numbers, p, q denote points of \mathcal{E}_T^n , r, s denote real numbers, and R denotes a real-valued finite sequence.

Note that every finite sequence which is empty is also non-negative yielding.

¹The paper has been financed by the resources of the Polish National Science Centre granted by decision no DEC-2012/07/N/ST6/02147.

Let n be a non zero natural number, X be a set, and F be an element of $((\text{the carrier of } \mathbb{R}^1)^X)^n$. Let us note that the functor $\prod^* F$ yields a function from X into \mathcal{E}_T^n . Now we state the proposition:

- (1) Let us consider sets X, Y , a function yielding function F , and objects x, y . Suppose
 - (i) F is (Y^X) -valued, or
 - (ii) $y \in \text{dom } \prod^* F$.

Then $F(x)(y) = (\prod^* F)(y)(x)$.

Let us consider n, p , and r . The functor $\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$ yielding an open subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n is defined by

(Def. 1) There exists a point e of \mathcal{E}^n such that

- (i) $p = e$, and
- (ii) $it = \text{OpenHypercube}(e, r)$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (2) If $q \in \text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$ and $s \in]p(i) - r, p(i) + r[$, then $q + \cdot (i, s) \in \text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$. PROOF: Consider e being a point of \mathcal{E}^n such that $p = e$ and $\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r) = \text{OpenHypercube}(e, r)$. Set $I = \text{Intervals}(e, r)$. Set $q_3 = q + \cdot (i, s)$. For every object x such that $x \in \text{dom } I$ holds $q_3(x) \in I(x)$ by [2, (9)], [7, (31), (32)]. \square
- (3) If $i \in \text{Seg } n$, then $(\text{PROJ}(n, i))^\circ(\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)) =]p(i) - r, p(i) + r[$. The theorem is a consequence of (2).
- (4) $q \in \text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$ if and only if for every i such that $i \in \text{Seg } n$ holds $q(i) \in]p(i) - r, p(i) + r[$. The theorem is a consequence of (3).

Let us consider n, p , and R . The functor $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ yielding a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n is defined by

(Def. 2) $q \in it$ if and only if for every i such that $i \in \text{Seg } n$ holds $q(i) \in [p(i) - R(i), p(i) + R(i)]$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (5) If there exists i such that $i \in \text{Seg } n \cap \text{dom } R$ and $R(i) < 0$, then $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ is empty.
- (6) If for every i such that $i \in \text{Seg } n \cap \text{dom } R$ holds $R(i) \geq 0$, then $p \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$.

Let us consider n and p . Let R be a non-negative yielding real-valued finite sequence. One can check that $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ is non empty.

Let us consider R . Let us observe that $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ is convex and compact.

Now we state the propositions:

- (7) If $i \in \text{Seg } n$ and $q \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ and $r \in [p(i) - R(i), p(i) + R(i)]$, then $q + \cdot(i, r) \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$. PROOF: Set $p_4 = q + \cdot(i, r)$. For every natural number j such that $j \in \text{Seg } n$ holds $p_4(j) \in [p(j) - R(j), p(j) + R(j)]$ by [7, (32), (31)]. \square
- (8) Suppose $i \in \text{Seg } n$ and $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ is not empty. Then $(\text{PROJ}(n, i))^\circ(\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)) = [p(i) - R(i), p(i) + R(i)]$. The theorem is a consequence of (5), (7), and (6).
- (9) If $n \leq \text{len } R$ and $r \leq \text{inf rng } R$, then $\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r) \subseteq \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$.
- (10) $q \in \text{Fr ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ if and only if $q \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ and there exists i such that $i \in \text{Seg } n$ and $q(i) = p(i) - R(i)$ or $q(i) = p(i) + R(i)$. PROOF: Set $T_4 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$. If $q \in \text{Fr ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$, then $q \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ and there exists i such that $i \in \text{Seg } n$ and $q(i) = p(i) - R(i)$ or $q(i) = p(i) + R(i)$ by [16, (22)], [32, (105)], [14, (33)], [6, (3)]. For every subset S of T_4 such that S is open and $q \in S$ holds $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ meets S and $(\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R))^c$ meets S by [16, (67)], [43, (23)], [38, (5)], [31, (13)]. \square
- (11) If $r \geq 0$, then $p \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r)$.
- (12) If $r > 0$, then $\text{Int ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r) = \text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$. PROOF: Set $O = \text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$. Set $C = \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r)$. Set $T_4 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$. Set $R = n \mapsto r$. Consider e being a point of \mathcal{E}^n such that $p = e$ and $\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r) = \text{OpenHypercube}(e, r)$. $\text{Int } C \subseteq O$ by [43, (39)], [9, (57)], (10), [39, (29)]. Reconsider $q = x$ as a point of T_4 . For every i such that $i \in \text{Seg } n$ holds $q(i) \in [p(i) - R(i), p(i) + R(i)]$ by [9, (57)], (3). Consider i such that $i \in \text{Seg } n$ and $q(i) = p(i) - R(i)$ or $q(i) = p(i) + R(i)$. $(\text{PROJ}(n, i))^\circ O =]e(i) - r, e(i) + r[$. \square
- (13) $\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r) \subseteq \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r)$.
- (14) If $r < s$, then $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r) \subseteq \text{OpenHypercube}(p, s)$. The theorem is a consequence of (4).

Let us consider n and p . Let r be a positive real number. Let us note that $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r)$ is non boundary.

2. PROPERTIES OF THE PRODUCT OF CLOSED HYPERCUBE

From now on T_1, T_2, S_1, S_2 denote non empty topological spaces, t_1 denotes a point of T_1 , t_2 denotes a point of T_2 , p_2, q_2 denote points of \mathcal{E}_T^n , and p_1, q_1 denote points of \mathcal{E}_T^m .

Now we state the propositions:

- (15) Let us consider a function f from T_1 into T_2 and a function g from S_1 into S_2 . Suppose

- (i) f is a homeomorphism, and
- (ii) g is a homeomorphism.

Then $f \times g$ is a homeomorphism.

- (16) Suppose $r > 0$ and $s > 0$. Then there exists a function h from $(\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_2, n \mapsto r)) \times (\mathcal{E}_T^m \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_1, m \mapsto s))$ into $\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m} \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, (n+m) \mapsto 1)$ such that

- (i) h is a homeomorphism, and
- (ii) $h^\circ(\text{OpenHypercube}(p_2, r) \times \text{OpenHypercube}(p_1, s)) = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, 1)$.

PROOF: Set $T_6 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$. Set $T_5 = \mathcal{E}_T^m$. Set $n_1 = n+m$. Set $T_7 = \mathcal{E}_T^{n_1}$. Set $R_2 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_6}, n \mapsto 1)$. Set $R_4 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_2, n \mapsto r)$. Set $R_5 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_1, m \mapsto s)$. Set $R_1 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_5}, m \mapsto 1)$. Set $R_3 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_7}, n_1 \mapsto 1)$. Reconsider $R_{10} = R_5$, $R_6 = R_1$ as a non empty subset of T_5 . Consider h_3 being a function from $T_5 \upharpoonright R_{10}$ into $T_5 \upharpoonright R_6$ such that h_3 is a homeomorphism and $h_3^\circ(\text{Fr } R_{10}) = \text{Fr } R_6$. Reconsider $R_9 = R_4$, $R_7 = R_2$ as a non empty subset of T_6 . Consider h_4 being a function from $T_6 \upharpoonright R_9$ into $T_6 \upharpoonright R_7$ such that h_4 is a homeomorphism and $h_4^\circ(\text{Fr } R_9) = \text{Fr } R_7$. Set $O_8 = \text{OpenHypercube}(p_2, r)$. Set $O_9 = \text{OpenHypercube}(p_1, s)$. Set $O_6 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_7}, 1)$. $\text{Int } R_{10} = O_9$. Set $O_5 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_6}, 1)$. Set $O_7 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_5}, 1)$. Reconsider $R_8 = R_3$ as a non empty subset of T_7 . Consider f being a function from $T_6 \times T_5$ into T_7 such that f is a homeomorphism and for every element f_5 of T_6 and for every element f_6 of T_5 , $f(f_5, f_6) = f_5 \wedge f_6$. $f^\circ(R_7 \times R_6) \subseteq R_8$ by [14, (87)], [9, (57)], [6, (25)]. $R_8 \subseteq f^\circ(R_7 \times R_6)$ by [9, (23)], [27, (17)], [4, (11)], [6, (5)]. Set $h_5 = h_4 \times h_3$. h_5 is a homeomorphism. $\text{Int } R_7 = O_5$. Reconsider $f_1 = f \upharpoonright (R_7 \times R_6)$ as a function from $(T_6 \upharpoonright R_7) \times (T_5 \upharpoonright R_6)$ into $T_7 \upharpoonright R_8$. Reconsider $h = f_1 \cdot h_5$ as a function from $(T_6 \upharpoonright R_4) \times (T_5 \upharpoonright R_5)$ into $T_7 \upharpoonright R_3$. $\text{Int } R_6 = O_7$. $\text{Int } R_9 = O_8$. $h^\circ(O_8 \times O_9) \subseteq O_6$ by [14, (87)], [10, (12)], [43, (40)], [10, (49)]. Reconsider $p_3 = y$ as a point of T_7 . Consider p, q being finite sequences of elements of \mathbb{R} such that $\text{len } p = n$ and $\text{len } q = m$ and $p_3 = p \wedge q$. $q \in O_7$. $q \in R_6$. Consider x_2 being an object such that $x_2 \in \text{dom } h_3$ and $h_3(x_2) = q$. $p \in O_5$. $p \in R_7$. Consider x_1 being an object such that $x_1 \in \text{dom } h_4$ and $h_4(x_1) = p$. \square

- (17) Suppose $r > 0$ and $s > 0$. Let us consider a function f from T_1 into $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_2, n \mapsto r)$ and a function g from T_2 into $\mathcal{E}_T^m \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_1, m \mapsto s)$. Suppose

- (i) f is a homeomorphism, and
- (ii) g is a homeomorphism.

Then there exists a function h from $T_1 \times T_2$ into

$\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m} \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, (n+m) \mapsto 1)$ such that

(iii) h is a homeomorphism, and

(iv) for every t_1 and t_2 , $f(t_1) \in \text{OpenHypercube}(p_2, r)$ and $g(t_2) \in \text{OpenHypercube}(p_1, s)$ iff $h(t_1, t_2) \in \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, 1)$.

PROOF: Set $n_1 = n + m$. Set $T_6 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$. Set $T_5 = \mathcal{E}_T^m$. Set $T_7 = \mathcal{E}_T^{n_1}$. Set $R_7 = n \mapsto r$. Set $R_6 = m \mapsto s$. Set $R_8 = n_1 \mapsto 1$. Set $R_4 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_2, R_7)$. Set $R_5 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_1, R_6)$. Set $C_2 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_7}, R_8)$. Reconsider $R_{10} = R_5$ as a non empty subset of T_5 . Reconsider $R_9 = R_4$ as a non empty subset of T_6 . Set $O_8 = \text{OpenHypercube}(p_2, r)$. Set $O_9 = \text{OpenHypercube}(p_1, s)$. Set $O = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_7}, 1)$. Consider h being a function from $(T_6 \upharpoonright R_9) \times (T_5 \upharpoonright R_{10})$ into $T_7 \upharpoonright C_2$ such that h is a homeomorphism and $h^\circ(O_8 \times O_9) = O$. Reconsider $G = g$ as a function from T_2 into $T_5 \upharpoonright R_{10}$. Reconsider $F = f$ as a function from T_1 into $T_6 \upharpoonright R_9$. Reconsider $f_4 = h \cdot (F \times G)$ as a function from $T_1 \times T_2$ into $T_7 \upharpoonright C_2$. $F \times G$ is a homeomorphism. $O_9 \subseteq R_{10}$. $O_8 \subseteq R_9$. If $f(t_1) \in O_8$ and $g(t_2) \in O_9$, then $f_4(t_1, t_2) \in O$ by [14, (87)], [10, (12)]. Consider x_3 being an object such that $x_3 \in \text{dom } h$ and $x_3 \in O_8 \times O_9$ and $h(x_3) = h(\langle f(t_1), g(t_2) \rangle)$. \square

Let us consider n . One can check that there exists a subset of \mathcal{E}_T^n which is non boundary, convex, and compact.

Now we state the propositions:

(18) Let us consider a non boundary convex compact subset A of \mathcal{E}_T^n , a non boundary convex compact subset B of \mathcal{E}_T^m , a non boundary convex compact subset C of \mathcal{E}_T^{n+m} , a function f from T_1 into $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright A$, and a function g from T_2 into $\mathcal{E}_T^m \upharpoonright B$. Suppose

(i) f is a homeomorphism, and

(ii) g is a homeomorphism.

Then there exists a function h from $T_1 \times T_2$ into $\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m} \upharpoonright C$ such that

(iii) h is a homeomorphism, and

(iv) for every t_1 and t_2 , $f(t_1) \in \text{Int } A$ and $g(t_2) \in \text{Int } B$ iff $h(t_1, t_2) \in \text{Int } C$.

PROOF: Set $T_6 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$. Set $T_5 = \mathcal{E}_T^m$. Set $n_1 = n + m$. Set $T_7 = \mathcal{E}_T^{n_1}$. Set $R_7 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_6}, n \mapsto 1)$. Set $R_6 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_5}, m \mapsto 1)$. Set $R_8 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_7}, n_1 \mapsto 1)$. Consider g_1 being a function from $T_5 \upharpoonright B$ into $T_5 \upharpoonright R_6$ such that g_1 is a homeomorphism and $g_1^\circ(\text{Fr } B) = \text{Fr } R_6$. Reconsider $g_2 = g_1 \cdot g$ as a function from T_2 into $T_5 \upharpoonright R_6$. Consider f_7 being a function from $T_6 \upharpoonright A$ into $T_6 \upharpoonright R_7$ such that f_7 is a homeomorphism and $f_7^\circ(\text{Fr } A) = \text{Fr } R_7$. Reconsider $f_8 = f_7 \cdot f$ as a function from T_1 into $T_6 \upharpoonright R_7$. Set $O_3 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_6}, 1)$. Set $O_2 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_5}, 1)$. Set $O_4 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_7}, 1)$. Consider H

being a function from $T_7 \upharpoonright R_8$ into $T_7 \upharpoonright C$ such that H is a homeomorphism and $H^\circ(\text{Fr } R_8) = \text{Fr } C$. $\text{Int } R_6 = O_2$. Consider P being a function from $T_1 \times T_2$ into $T_7 \upharpoonright R_8$ such that P is a homeomorphism and for every t_1 and t_2 , $f_8(t_1) \in O_3$ and $g_2(t_2) \in O_2$ iff $P(t_1, t_2) \in O_4$. Reconsider $H_1 = H \cdot P$ as a function from $T_1 \times T_2$ into $T_7 \upharpoonright C$. $\text{Int } R_8 = O_4$. If $f(t_1) \in \text{Int } A$ and $g(t_2) \in \text{Int } B$, then $H_1(t_1, t_2) \in \text{Int } C$ by [10, (11), (12)], (12). $P(\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle) \in \text{Int } R_8$. $P(t_1, t_2) \in O_4$. $\text{Int } R_7 = O_3$. $f(t_1) \in \text{Int } A$ by [43, (40)]. \square

(19) Let us consider a point p_2 of \mathcal{E}_T^n , a point p_1 of \mathcal{E}_T^m , r , and s . Suppose

- (i) $r > 0$, and
- (ii) $s > 0$.

Then there exists a function h from $\text{Tdisk}(p_2, r) \times \text{Tdisk}(p_1, s)$ into $\text{Tdisk}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, 1)$ such that

- (iii) h is a homeomorphism, and
- (iv) $h^\circ(\text{Ball}(p_2, r) \times \text{Ball}(p_1, s)) = \text{Ball}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, 1)$.

PROOF: Set $T_6 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$. Set $T_5 = \mathcal{E}_T^m$. Set $n_1 = n + m$. Set $T_7 = \mathcal{E}_T^{n_1}$. Reconsider $C_4 = \overline{\text{Ball}}(p_2, r)$ as a non empty subset of T_6 . Reconsider $C_3 = \overline{\text{Ball}}(p_1, s)$ as a non empty subset of T_5 . Reconsider $C_5 = \overline{\text{Ball}}(0_{T_7}, 1)$ as a non empty subset of T_7 . Set $R_7 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_6}, n \mapsto 1)$. Set $R_6 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_5}, m \mapsto 1)$. Consider f_7 being a function from $T_6 \upharpoonright C_4$ into $T_6 \upharpoonright R_7$ such that f_7 is a homeomorphism and $f_7^\circ(\text{Fr } C_4) = \text{Fr } R_7$. Consider g_1 being a function from $T_5 \upharpoonright C_3$ into $T_5 \upharpoonright R_6$ such that g_1 is a homeomorphism and $g_1^\circ(\text{Fr } C_3) = \text{Fr } R_6$. Consider P being a function from $\text{Tdisk}(p_2, r) \times \text{Tdisk}(p_1, s)$ into $\text{Tdisk}(0_{T_7}, 1)$ such that P is a homeomorphism and for every point t_1 of $T_6 \upharpoonright C_4$ and for every point t_2 of $T_5 \upharpoonright C_3$, $f_7(t_1) \in \text{Int } R_7$ and $g_1(t_2) \in \text{Int } R_6$ iff $P(t_1, t_2) \in \text{Int } C_5$. $P^\circ(\text{Ball}(p_2, r) \times \text{Ball}(p_1, s)) \subseteq \text{Ball}(0_{T_7}, 1)$ by [30, (3)], [43, (40)]. Consider x being an object such that $x \in \text{dom } P$ and $P(x) = y$. Consider y_1, y_2 being objects such that $y_1 \in C_4$ and $y_2 \in C_3$ and $x = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$. \square

(20) Suppose $r > 0$ and $s > 0$ and T_1 and $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright \text{Ball}(p_2, r)$ are homeomorphic and T_2 and $\mathcal{E}_T^m \upharpoonright \text{Ball}(p_1, s)$ are homeomorphic. Then $T_1 \times T_2$ and $\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m} \upharpoonright \text{Ball}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, 1)$ are homeomorphic.

3. TIETZE EXTENSION THEOREM

In the sequel T, S denote topological spaces, A denotes a closed subset of T , and B denotes a subset of S .

Now we state the propositions:

(21) Let us consider a non zero natural number n and an element F of $((\text{the carrier of } \mathbb{R}^1)^\alpha)^n$. Suppose If $i \in \text{dom } F$, then for every function

h from T into \mathbb{R}^1 such that $h = F(i)$ holds h is continuous. Then $\prod^* F$ is continuous, where α is the carrier of T . PROOF: Set $T_4 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$. Set $F_1 = \prod^* F$. For every subset Y of T_4 such that Y is open holds $F_1^{-1}(Y)$ is open by [16, (67)], [11, (2)], (1), [19, (17)]. \square

- (22) Suppose T is normal. Let us consider a function f from $T \upharpoonright A$ into $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}, n \mapsto 1)$. Suppose f is continuous. Then there exists a function g from T into $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}, n \mapsto 1)$ such that

- (i) g is continuous, and
- (ii) $g \upharpoonright A = f$.

The theorem is a consequence of (8), (1), and (21).

- (23) Suppose T is normal. Let us consider a subset X of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Suppose X is compact, non boundary, and convex. Let us consider a function f from $T \upharpoonright A$ into $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright X$. Suppose f is continuous. Then there exists a function g from T into $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright X$ such that

- (i) g is continuous, and
- (ii) $g \upharpoonright A = f$.

The theorem is a consequence of (22).

Now we state the proposition:

- (24) THE FIRST IMPLICATION OF TIETZE EXTENSION THEOREM FOR n -DIMENSIONAL SPACES:

Suppose T is normal. Let us consider a subset X of \mathcal{E}_T^n . Suppose

- (i) X is compact, non boundary, and convex, and
- (ii) B and X are homeomorphic.

Let us consider a function f from $T \upharpoonright A$ into $S \upharpoonright B$. Suppose f is continuous. Then there exists a function g from T into $S \upharpoonright B$ such that

- (iii) g is continuous, and
- (iv) $g \upharpoonright A = f$.

The theorem is a consequence of (23).

Now we state the proposition:

- (25) THE SECOND IMPLICATION OF TIETZE EXTENSION THEOREM FOR n -DIMENSIONAL SPACES:

Let us consider a non empty topological space T and n . Suppose

- (i) $n \geq 1$, and
- (ii) for every topological space S and for every non empty closed subset A of T and for every subset B of S such that there exists a subset X of \mathcal{E}_T^n such that X is compact, non boundary, and convex and B and

X are homeomorphic for every function f from $T \upharpoonright A$ into $S \upharpoonright B$ such that f is continuous there exists a function g from T into $S \upharpoonright B$ such that g is continuous and $g \upharpoonright A = f$.

Then T is normal. PROOF: Set $C_1 = [-1, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$. For every non empty closed subset A of T and for every continuous function f from $T \upharpoonright A$ into C_1 , there exists a continuous function g from T into $[-1, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $g \upharpoonright A = f$ by [19, (18), (17)], [11, (2)], [33, (26)]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. König’s theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cartesian product of functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):547–552, 1991.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):661–668, 1990.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [17] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [18] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [19] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces – fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [20] Roman Duda. *Wprowadzenie do topologii*. PWN, 1986.
- [21] Noboru Endou, Takashi Mitsuishi, and Yasunari Shidama. Convex sets and convex combinations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(1):53–58, 2003.
- [22] Ryszard Engelking. *Dimension Theory*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [23] Ryszard Engelking. *General Topology*. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [24] Adam Grabowski. Introduction to the homotopy theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):449–454, 1997.
- [25] Artur Korniłowicz. The correspondence between n -dimensional Euclidean space and the product of n real lines. *Formalized Mathematics*, 18(1):81–85, 2010. doi:10.2478/v10037-010-0011-0.
- [26] Artur Korniłowicz. On the real valued functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(1):181–187, 2005.
- [27] Artur Korniłowicz. Homeomorphism between $[\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^i, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^j]$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}^{i+j}$. *Formalized Mathematics*

- tics*, 8(1):73–76, 1999.
- [28] Artur Kornilowicz. On the continuity of some functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 18(3): 175–183, 2010. doi:10.2478/v10037-010-0020-z.
 - [29] Artur Kornilowicz. Arithmetic operations on functions from sets into functional sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 17(1):43–60, 2009. doi:10.2478/v10037-009-0005-y.
 - [30] Artur Kornilowicz and Yasunari Shidama. Brouwer fixed point theorem for disks on the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):333–336, 2005.
 - [31] Artur Kornilowicz and Yasunari Shidama. Intersections of intervals and balls in \mathcal{E}_T^n . *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(3):301–306, 2004.
 - [32] Yatsuka Nakamura, Andrzej Trybulec, and Czesław Byliński. Bounded domains and unbounded domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):1–13, 1999.
 - [33] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
 - [34] Karol Pāk. Basic properties of metrizable topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 17(3):201–205, 2009. doi:10.2478/v10037-009-0024-8.
 - [35] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
 - [36] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4): 535–545, 1991.
 - [37] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
 - [38] Andrzej Trybulec. On the geometry of a Go-Board. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):347–352, 1996.
 - [39] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
 - [40] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
 - [41] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
 - [42] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
 - [43] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.
 - [44] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski. T_0 topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):75–77, 1996.

Received February 11, 2014
