

Lagrange's Four-Square Theorem

Yasushige Watase
 Suginami-ku Matsunoki 6
 3-21 Tokyo, Japan

Summary. This article provides a formalized proof of the so-called “the four-square theorem”, namely any natural number can be expressed by a sum of four squares, which was proved by Lagrange in 1770. An informal proof of the theorem can be found in the number theory literature, e.g. in [14], [1] or [23].

This theorem is item #19 from the “Formalizing 100 Theorems” list maintained by Freek Wiedijk at <http://www.cs.ru.nl/F.Wiedijk/100/>.

MSC: 11P99 03B35

Keywords: Lagrange's four-square theorem

MML identifier: LAGRA4SQ, version: 8.1.03 5.23.1207

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [19], [2], [7], [6], [12], [8], [9], [21], [17], [4], [15], [16], [5], [10], [13], [24], [25], [22], and [11].

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let n be a natural number. We say that n is a sum of four squares if and only if

(Def. 1) There exist natural numbers n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 such that $n = n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + n_4^2$.

Note that there exists a natural number which is a sum of four squares.

Let y be an integer object. Let us note that $|y|$ is natural.

Now we state the proposition:

- (1) Let us consider natural numbers $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$. Then $(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + n_4^2) \cdot (m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + m_4^2) = (n_1 \cdot m_1 - n_2 \cdot m_2 - n_3 \cdot m_3 - n_4 \cdot m_4)^2 + (n_1 \cdot m_2 + n_2 \cdot m_1 + n_3 \cdot m_4 - n_4 \cdot m_3)^2 + (n_1 \cdot m_3 - n_2 \cdot m_4 + n_3 \cdot m_1 + n_4 \cdot m_2)^2 + (n_1 \cdot m_4 + n_2 \cdot m_3 - n_3 \cdot m_2 + n_4 \cdot m_1)^2$.

Let m, n be natural numbers. Let us note that $m \cdot n$ is a sum of four squares and there exists a prime natural number which is odd.

From now on i, j, k, v, w denote natural numbers, $j_1, j_2, m, n, s, t, x, y$ denote integers, and p denotes an odd prime natural number.

Let us consider p . The functor $\text{ModMap}(p)$ yielding a function from \mathbb{Z} into \mathbb{Z}_p is defined by

(Def. 2) Let us consider an element x of \mathbb{Z} . Then $it(x) = x \bmod p$.

Let us consider v . The functor $\text{Lag4SqF}(v)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{Z} is defined by

(Def. 3) (i) $\text{len } it = v$, and

(ii) for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } it$ holds $it(i) = (i-1)^2$.

The functor $\text{Lag4SqG}(v)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of \mathbb{Z} is defined by

(Def. 4) (i) $\text{len } it = v$, and

(ii) for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } it$ holds $it(i) = -1 - (i-1)^2$.

Now we state the propositions:

(2) $\text{Lag4SqF}(v)$ is one-to-one.

(3) $\text{Lag4SqG}(v)$ is one-to-one.

In the sequel a denotes a real number and b denotes an integer.

Let us consider an odd prime natural number p , a natural number s, j_1 , and j_2 . Now we state the propositions:

(4) If $2 \cdot s = p + 1$ and $j_1, j_2 \in \text{rng } \text{Lag4SqF}(s)$, then $j_1 = j_2$ or $j_1 \bmod p \neq j_2 \bmod p$. PROOF: Consider s such that $p+1 = 2 \cdot s$. For every integers j_1, j_2 such that $j_1, j_2 \in \text{rng } \text{Lag4SqF}(s)$ and $j_1 \neq j_2$ holds $j_1 \bmod p \neq j_2 \bmod p$ by [21, (3), (55)], [16, (80)], [18, (22)]. \square

(5) If $2 \cdot s = p + 1$ and $j_1, j_2 \in \text{rng } \text{Lag4SqG}(s)$, then $j_1 = j_2$ or $j_1 \bmod p \neq j_2 \bmod p$. PROOF: Consider s such that $p+1 = 2 \cdot s$. For every j_1 and j_2 such that $j_1, j_2 \in \text{rng } \text{Lag4SqG}(s)$ and $j_1 \neq j_2$ holds $j_1 \bmod p \neq j_2 \bmod p$ by [21, (3), (55)], [16, (80)], [20, (7)]. \square

2. ANY PRIME NUMBER CAN BE EXPRESSED AS A SUM OF FOUR SQUARES

Now we state the propositions:

(6) There exist natural numbers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, h such that

(i) $0 < h < p$, and

(ii) $h \cdot p = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$.

PROOF: Consider s such that $2 \cdot s = p + 1$. Set $f = \text{Lag4SqF}(s)$. Set $g = \text{Lag4SqG}(s)$. f is one-to-one. g is one-to-one. $\text{rng } f$ misses $\text{rng } g$. $\overline{\text{rng}(g \wedge f)} = p + 1$ by [2, (70)], [6, (57), (31)], [3, (35), (36)]. Set $A = \text{dom}(\text{ModMap}(p) \upharpoonright \text{rng}(g \wedge f))$. Set $B = \text{rng}(\text{ModMap}(p) \upharpoonright \text{rng}(g \wedge f))$. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{object}, \text{object}] \equiv$ there exists an element m_1 of \mathbb{Z} such that $\$1 \in A$ and $\$2 = m_1$ and $(\text{ModMap}(p) \upharpoonright \text{rng}(g \wedge f))(\$1) = m_1$. For every object x such that $x \in A$ there exists an object y such that $y \in B$ and $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$ by [8, (3)]. Consider h being a function from A into B such that for every object x such that $x \in A$ holds $\mathcal{P}[x, h(x)]$ from [9, Sch. 1]. Consider m_1, m_2 being objects such that $m_1 \in A$ and $m_2 \in A$ and $m_1 \neq m_2$ and $h(m_1) = h(m_2)$. If $m_1 \in \text{rng } f$, then $m_2 \in \text{rng } g$. If $m_1 \in \text{rng } g$, then $m_2 \in \text{rng } f$. There exist natural numbers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, h such that $h > 0$ and $h < p$ and $h \cdot p = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$ by [20, (7)], [21, (3)]. \square

(7) Let us consider natural numbers x_1, h . Suppose $1 < h$. Then there exists an integer y_1 such that

- (i) $x_1 \bmod h = y_1 \bmod h$, and
- (ii) $-h < 2 \cdot y_1 \leq h$, and
- (iii) $x_1^2 \bmod h = y_1^2 \bmod h$.

PROOF: Consider q_1, r_1 being integers such that $x_1 = h \cdot q_1 + r_1$ and $0 \leq r_1$ and $r_1 < h$. There exists an integer y_1 such that $x_1 \bmod h = y_1 \bmod h$ and $-h < 2 \cdot y_1 \leq h$ and $x_1^2 \bmod h = y_1^2 \bmod h$ by [21, (3)], [18, (23)]. \square

(8) Let us consider natural numbers i_1, i_2, c . If $i_1 \leq c$ and $i_2 \leq c$, then $i_1 + i_2 < 2 \cdot c$ or $i_1 = c$ and $i_2 = c$.

(9) Let us consider natural numbers i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, c . Suppose

- (i) $i_1 \leq c$, and
- (ii) $i_2 \leq c$, and
- (iii) $i_3 \leq c$, and
- (iv) $i_4 \leq c$.

Then

- (v) $i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4 < 4 \cdot c$, or
- (vi) $i_1 = c$ and $i_2 = c$ and $i_3 = c$ and $i_4 = c$.

The theorem is a consequence of (8).

Let us consider natural numbers x_1, h and an integer y_1 . Now we state the propositions:

(10) Suppose $1 < h$ and $x_1 \bmod h = y_1 \bmod h$ and $-h < 2 \cdot y_1$ and $(2 \cdot y_1)^2 = h^2$. Then

- (i) $2 \cdot y_1 = h$, and

(ii) there exists a natural number m_1 such that $2 \cdot x_1 = (2 \cdot m_1 + 1) \cdot h$.

- (11) If $1 < h$ and $x_1 \bmod h = y_1 \bmod h$ and $y_1 = 0$, then there exists an integer m_1 such that $x_1 = h \cdot m_1$.

Now we state the proposition:

- (12) Let us consider an odd prime number p and natural numbers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, h . Suppose
- (i) $1 < h < p$, and
 - (ii) $h \cdot p = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$.

Then there exist integers y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 and there exists a natural number r such that $0 < r < h$ and $r \cdot p = y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + y_4^2$. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (9), (10), and (11).

Let us consider a prime number p . Now we state the propositions:

- (13) If p is even, then $p = 2$.
- (14) There exist natural numbers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 such that $p = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$.

Now we state the proposition:

- (15) Let us consider prime numbers p_1, p_2 . Then there exist natural numbers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 such that $p_1 \cdot p_2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$. The theorem is a consequence of (14).

Let p_1, p_2 be prime numbers. Let us observe that $p_1 \cdot p_2$ is a sum of four squares.

Now we state the proposition:

- (16) Let us consider a prime number p and a natural number n . Then there exist natural numbers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 such that $p^n = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$.
 PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ there exist natural numbers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 such that $p^{\$1} = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$. For every natural number n such that $\mathcal{P}[n]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[n+1]$ by (14), [7, (75)], [16, (6)]. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [16, (4)]. For every natural number n , $\mathcal{P}[n]$ from [4, Sch. 2]. \square

Let p be a prime number and n be a natural number. Observe that p^n is a sum of four squares.

3. PROOF OF LAGRANGE'S THEOREM

Now we state the proposition:

- (17) Let us consider a non zero natural number n . Then there exist natural numbers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 such that $\prod \text{PPF}(n) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$.
 PROOF: Define $\overline{\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}]} \equiv$ for every non zero natural number n such that $\overline{\text{support PPF}(n)} = \1 there exist natural numbers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 such that $\prod \text{PPF}(n) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [15, (20)]. For

every natural number k such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k + 1]$ by [15, (34), (28), (25)]. For every natural number k , $\mathcal{P}[k]$ from [4, Sch. 2]. \square

Now we state the proposition:

(18) LAGRANGE'S FOUR-SQUARE THEOREM:

Let us consider a natural number n . Then there exist natural numbers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 such that $n = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$. The theorem is a consequence of (17).

One can verify that every natural number is a sum of four squares.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alan Baker. *A Concise Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*. Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):543–547, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. The complex numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):507–513, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [13] Yoshinori Fujisawa, Yasushi Fuwa, and Hidetaka Shimizu. Public-key cryptography and Pepin's test for the primality of Fermat numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):317–321, 1998.
- [14] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright. *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*. Oxford University Press, 1980.
- [15] Artur Korniłowicz and Piotr Rudnicki. Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic. *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(2):179–186, 2004.
- [16] Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):887–890, 1990.
- [17] Rafał Kwiatek and Grzegorz Zwara. The divisibility of integers and integer relatively primes. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):829–832, 1990.
- [18] Xiquan Liang, Li Yan, and Junjie Zhao. Linear congruence relation and complete residue systems. *Formalized Mathematics*, 15(4):181–187, 2007. doi:10.2478/v10037-007-0022-7.
- [19] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Abian's fixed point theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):335–338, 1997.
- [20] Christoph Schwarzweiler. Modular integer arithmetic. *Formalized Mathematics*, 16(3):247–252, 2008. doi:10.2478/v10037-008-0029-8.
- [21] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [22] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [23] Hideo Wada. *The World of Numbers (in Japanese)*. Iwanami Shoten, 1984.

- [24] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [25] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received June 4, 2014
