

# Altitude, Orthocenter of a Triangle and Triangulation

Roland Coghetto  
Rue de la Brasserie 5  
7100 La Louvière, Belgium

**Summary.** We introduce the altitudes of a triangle (the cevians perpendicular to the opposite sides). Using the generalized Ceva's Theorem, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the orthocenter of a triangle [7]. Finally, we formalize in Mizar [1] some formulas [2] to calculate distance using triangulation.

MSC: 51M04 03B35

Keywords: Euclidean geometry; trigonometry; altitude; orthocenter; triangulation; distance

MML identifier: EUCLID13, version: 8.1.04 5.36.1267

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

From now on  $n$  denotes a natural number,  $i$  denotes an integer,  $r, s, t$  denote real numbers,  $A_1, B_1, C_1, D_1$  denote points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $L_1, L_2$  denote elements of  $\text{Lines}(\mathcal{R}^n)$ , and  $A, B, C$  denote points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) If  $0 < i \cdot r < r$ , then  $i = 1$ .
- (2) Let us consider an integer  $i$ . If  $\frac{-3}{2} < i < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $i = 0$  or  $i = -1$ .
- (3) Suppose  $r$  is not zero and  $s$  is not zero and  $t$  is not zero. Then  $(\frac{-r}{s}) \cdot (\frac{-t}{-r}) \cdot (\frac{-s}{-t}) = 1$ .
- (4) If  $0 < r < 2 \cdot \pi$ , then  $\sin(\frac{r}{2}) \neq 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1).
- (5) If  $-2 \cdot \pi < r < 0$ , then  $\sin(\frac{r}{2}) \neq 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (4).
- (6)  $\tan(2 \cdot \pi - r) = -\tan r$ .
- (7) If  $A_1 \in \text{Line}(B_1, C_1)$  and  $A_1 \neq C_1$ , then  $\text{Line}(B_1, C_1) = \text{Line}(A_1, C_1)$ .

- (8) If  $A_1 \neq C_1$  and  $A_1 \in \text{Line}(B_1, C_1)$ , then  $B_1 \in \text{Line}(A_1, C_1)$ .
- (9) Suppose  $A_1 \neq B_1$  and  $A_1 \neq C_1$  and  $|(A_1 - B_1, A_1 - C_1)| = 0$  and  $L_1 = \text{Line}(A_1, B_1)$  and  $L_2 = \text{Line}(A_1, C_1)$ . Then  $L_1 \perp L_2$ .
- (10) If  $B_1 \neq C_1$  and  $|(B_1 - A_1, B_1 - C_1)| = 0$ , then  $A_1 \neq C_1$ .
- (11)  $|(A_1 - B_1, A_1 - C_1)| = |(B_1 - A_1, C_1 - A_1)|$ .
- (12) Suppose  $B_1 \neq C_1$  and  $r = -\left(\frac{|(B_1, C_1)| - |(C_1, C_1)| - |(A_1, B_1)| + |(A_1, C_1)|}{|(B_1 - C_1, B_1 - C_1)|}\right)$  and  $D_1 = r \cdot B_1 + (1 - r) \cdot C_1$ . Then  $|(D_1 - A_1, D_1 - C_1)| = 0$ .
- (13) If  $A_1 \neq B_1$  and  $C_1 = r \cdot A_1 + (1 - r) \cdot B_1$  and  $C_1 = B_1$ , then  $r = 0$ .
- (14) (i)  $|(B_1, C_1)| - |(C_1, C_1)| - |(A_1, B_1)| + |(A_1, C_1)| = |(C_1 - A_1, B_1 - C_1)|$ ,  
and  
(ii)  $|(B_1 - C_1, B_1 - C_1)| + |(C_1 - A_1, B_1 - C_1)| = |(B_1 - C_1, B_1 - A_1)|$ .
- (15)  $|(A_1 - B_1, A_1 - C_1)| = -|(A_1 - B_1, C_1 - A_1)|$ .
- (16)  $|(B_1 - A_1, C_1 - A_1)| = |(A_1 - B_1, A_1 - C_1)|$ .
- (17)  $|(B_1 - A_1, C_1 - A_1)| = -|(B_1 - A_1, A_1 - C_1)|$ . The theorem is a consequence of (16) and (15).
- (18) Suppose  $B_1 \neq C_1$  and  $C_1 \neq A_1$  and  $A_1 \neq B_1$  and  $|(C_1 - A_1, B_1 - C_1)|$  is not zero and  $|(B_1 - C_1, A_1 - B_1)|$  is not zero and  $|(C_1 - A_1, A_1 - B_1)|$  is not zero and  $r = -\left(\frac{|(B_1, C_1)| - |(C_1, C_1)| - |(A_1, B_1)| + |(A_1, C_1)|}{|(B_1 - C_1, B_1 - C_1)|}\right)$  and  $s = -\left(\frac{|(C_1, A_1)| - |(A_1, A_1)| - |(B_1, C_1)| + |(B_1, A_1)|}{|(C_1 - A_1, C_1 - A_1)|}\right)$  and  $t = -\left(\frac{|(A_1, B_1)| - |(B_1, B_1)| - |(C_1, A_1)| + |(C_1, B_1)|}{|(A_1 - B_1, A_1 - B_1)|}\right)$ . Then  $\frac{\left(\frac{r}{1-s}\right) \cdot s}{1-t} = 1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (14), (15), and (3).
- (19) If  $C_1 = r \cdot A_1 + (1 - r) \cdot B_1$  and  $r = 1$ , then  $C_1 = A_1$ .
- (20) If  $C_1 = r \cdot A_1 + (1 - r) \cdot B_1$  and  $r = 0$ , then  $C_1 = B_1$ .
- (21) If  $|(B_1 - C_1, B_1 - C_1)| = -|(C_1 - A_1, B_1 - C_1)|$ , then  $|(B_1 - C_1, A_1 - B_1)| = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (15).
- (22) Suppose  $B_1 \neq C_1$  and  $r = -\left(\frac{|(B_1, C_1)| - |(C_1, C_1)| - |(A_1, B_1)| + |(A_1, C_1)|}{|(B_1 - C_1, B_1 - C_1)|}\right)$  and  $r = 1$ . Then  $|(B_1 - C_1, A_1 - B_1)| = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (21).
- (23) If  $A \neq B$  and  $A \neq C$ , then  $|A - B| + |A - C| \neq 0$ .
- (24) If  $A, B, C$  form a triangle, then  $A \notin \text{Line}(B, C)$ .
- (25) If  $A \neq B$  and  $B \neq C$  and  $|(B - A, B - C)| = 0$ , then  $\angle(A, B, C) = \frac{\pi}{2}$  or  $\angle(A, B, C) = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \cdot \pi$ .
- (26) If  $A, B, C$  form a triangle, then  $\sin\left(\frac{\angle(A, B, C)}{2}\right) > 0$ .
- (27) If  $\angle(B, A, C) \neq \angle(C, B, A)$ , then  $\sin\left(\frac{\angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A)}{2}\right) \neq 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (5) and (4).

(28) If  $A, B, C$  form a triangle, then  $\sin \angle(A, B, C) \neq 0$ .

Let us assume that  $A, C, B$  form a triangle and  $\angle(A, C, B) < \pi$ . Now we state the propositions:

(29)  $\angle(A, C, B) = \pi - (\angle(C, B, A) + \angle(B, A, C))$ .

(30)  $\angle(B, A, C) + \angle(C, B, A) = \pi - \angle(A, C, B)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (29).

Let us assume that  $A, B, C$  form a triangle. Now we state the propositions:

(31)  $\angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A) \neq \pi$ .

(32)  $\angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A) \neq -\pi$ .

Let us assume that  $A, B, C$  form a triangle. Now we state the propositions:

(33)  $(-2) \cdot \pi < \angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A) < 2 \cdot \pi$ .

(34)  $-\pi < \frac{\angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A)}{2} < \pi$ . The theorem is a consequence of (33).

Let us assume that  $A, B, C$  form a triangle and  $\angle(B, A, C) < \pi$ . Now we state the propositions:

(35)  $-\pi < \angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A) < \pi$ .

(36)  $-(\frac{\pi}{2}) < \frac{\angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A)}{2} < \frac{\pi}{2}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (35).

## 2. ORTHOCENTER

From now on  $D$  denotes a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  and  $a, b, c, d$  denote real numbers.

Let  $A, B, C$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Assume  $B \neq C$ . The functor  $\text{Alt} \Delta(A, B, C)$  yielding an element of  $\text{Lines}(\mathcal{R}^2)$  is defined by

(Def. 1) there exist elements  $L_1, L_2$  of  $\text{Lines}(\mathcal{R}^2)$  such that  $it = L_1$  and  $L_2 = \text{Line}(B, C)$  and  $A \in L_1$  and  $L_1 \perp L_2$ .

Let us assume that  $B \neq C$ . Now we state the propositions:

(37)  $A \in \text{Alt} \Delta(A, B, C)$ .

(38)  $\text{Alt} \Delta(A, B, C)$  is a line.

(39)  $\text{Alt} \Delta(A, B, C) = \text{Alt} \Delta(A, C, B)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(40) If  $B \neq C$  and  $D \in \text{Alt} \Delta(A, B, C)$ , then

$$\text{Alt} \Delta(D, B, C) = \text{Alt} \Delta(A, B, C).$$

(41) If  $B \neq C$  and  $D \in \text{Line}(B, C)$  and  $D \neq C$ , then  $\text{Alt} \Delta(A, B, C) = \text{Alt} \Delta(A, D, C)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7).

Let  $A, B, C$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Assume  $B \neq C$ . The functor  $\text{FootAlt} \Delta(A, B, C)$  yielding a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is defined by

(Def. 2) there exists a point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $it = P$  and  $\text{Alt}it \triangle(A, B, C) \cap \text{Line}(B, C) = \{P\}$ .

Let us assume that  $B \neq C$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (42)  $\text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C) = \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, C, B)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (39).
- (43) (i)  $\text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C) \in \text{Line}(B, C)$ , and  
(ii)  $\text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C) \in \text{Alt}it \triangle(A, B, C)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (44) If  $B \neq C$  and  $A \notin \text{Line}(B, C)$ , then  $\text{Alt}it \triangle(A, B, C) = \text{Line}(A, \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (43).
- (45) If  $B \neq C$  and  $A \in \text{Line}(B, C)$ , then  $\text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C) = A$ .
- (46) If  $B \neq C$  and  $\text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C) = A$ , then  $A \in \text{Line}(B, C)$ .

Let us assume that  $B \neq C$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (47)  $|(A - \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C), B - C)| = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (44) and (45).
- (48)  $|(A - \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C), B - \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C))| = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (43), (44), and (45).
- (49)  $|(A - \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C), C - \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C))| = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (42) and (48).

Now we state the propositions:

- (50) If  $B \neq C$  and  $B = \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C)$ , then  $|(B - A, B - C)| = 0$ . The theorem is a consequence of (49), (11), and (43).
- (51) If  $B \neq C$  and  $D \in \text{Line}(B, C)$  and  $D \neq C$ , then  $\text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C) = \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, D, C)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7) and (41).
- (52) If  $B \neq C$  and  $|(B - A, B - C)| = 0$ , then  $B = \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (9) and (45).
- (53) If  $B \neq C$  and  $B \neq A$  and  $\angle(A, B, C) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then  $\text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C) = B$ . The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (52).
- (54) If  $A, B, C$  form a triangle, then  $A \neq \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (43).
- (55) If  $A, B, C$  form a triangle and  $|(B - A, B - C)| \neq 0$ , then  $\text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C), B, A$  form a triangle.

PROOF: Set  $p = \text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C)$ . Consider  $P$  being a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that  $\text{FootAlt}it \triangle(A, B, C) = P$  and  $\text{Alt}it \triangle(A, B, C) \cap \text{Line}(B, C) = \{P\}$ . Consider  $L_1, L_2$  being elements of  $\text{Lines}(\mathcal{R}^2)$  such that  $\text{Alt}it \triangle(A, B, C) = L_1$  and  $L_2 = \text{Line}(B, C)$  and  $A \in L_1$  and  $L_1 \perp L_2$ .  $P \neq B$ .  $p \neq A$ .  $p, B, A$  are mutually different.  $P \in \text{Line}(B, C)$ .  $B, C \in \text{Line}(B, P)$ .  $\angle(p, B, A) \neq \pi$

by [11, (11)], [12, (12)], (50), (8).  $\angle(B, A, p) \neq \pi$  by [11, (11)], [12, (12)].  
 $\angle(A, p, B) \neq \pi$  by [11, (11)], [12, (12)], (8), (54).  $\square$

Let  $A, B, C$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Assume  $B \neq C$ . The functor  $|\text{Alt} \triangle(A, B, C)|$  yielding a real number is defined by the term

(Def. 3)  $|A - \text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C)|$ .

Let us assume that  $B \neq C$ . Now we state the propositions:

(56)  $0 \leq |\text{Alt} \triangle(A, B, C)|$ .

(57)  $|\text{Alt} \triangle(A, B, C)| = |\text{Alt} \triangle(A, C, B)|$ . The theorem is a consequence of (42).

Now we state the propositions:

(58) If  $B \neq C$  and  $|(B - A, B - C)| = 0$ , then  $|\text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C) - A| = |A - B|$ . The theorem is a consequence of (52).

(59) Suppose  $B \neq C$  and  $r = -\left(\frac{|(B,C)| - |(C,C)| - |(A,B)| + |(A,C)|}{|(B-C, B-C)|}\right)$  and  $D = r \cdot B + (1 - r) \cdot C$  and  $D \neq C$ . Then  $D = \text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C)$ .

PROOF:  $|(D - A, D - C)| = 0$ .  $D = \text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, D, C)$ .  $D \in \text{Line}(B, C)$  by [6, (4)].  $\square$

(60) Suppose  $B \neq C$  and  $r = -\left(\frac{|(B,C)| - |(C,C)| - |(A,B)| + |(A,C)|}{|(B-C, B-C)|}\right)$  and  $D = r \cdot B + (1 - r) \cdot C$  and  $D = C$ . Then  $C = \text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (13), (14), (15), (52), and (42).

(61) Suppose  $A, B, C$  form a triangle and  $|(C - A, B - C)|$  is not zero and  $|(B - C, A - B)|$  is not zero and  $|(C - A, A - B)|$  is not zero. Then  $\text{Line}(A, \text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C))$ ,  $\text{Line}(C, \text{FootAlt} \triangle(C, A, B))$ ,  $\text{Line}(B, \text{FootAlt} \triangle(B, C, A))$  are concurrent. The theorem is a consequence of (60), (17), (47), (59), (18), and (22).

(62) If  $A, B, C$  form a triangle and  $|(C - A, B - C)|$  is zero, then  $\text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C) = C$  and  $\text{FootAlt} \triangle(B, C, A) = C$ . The theorem is a consequence of (15), (52), and (42).

(63) Suppose  $A, B, C$  form a triangle and  $C \in \text{Alt} \triangle(A, B, C)$  and  $C \in \text{Alt} \triangle(B, C, A)$ . Then  $\text{Alt} \triangle(A, B, C) \cap \text{Alt} \triangle(B, C, A)$  is a point.

PROOF: Consider  $L_1, L_2$  being elements of  $\text{Lines}(\mathcal{R}^2)$  such that  $\text{Alt} \triangle(A, B, C) = L_1$  and  $L_2 = \text{Line}(B, C)$  and  $A \in L_1$  and  $L_1 \perp L_2$ . Consider  $L_3, L_4$  being elements of  $\text{Lines}(\mathcal{R}^2)$  such that  $\text{Alt} \triangle(B, C, A) = L_3$  and  $L_4 = \text{Line}(C, A)$  and  $B \in L_3$  and  $L_3 \perp L_4$ .  $L_1 \nparallel L_3$  by [9, (41)], [6, (16)], [8, (108)], [12, (13)].  $L_1$  is not a point and  $L_3$  is not a point.  $\square$

(64) Suppose  $B, C, A$  form a triangle and  $C \in \text{Alt} \triangle(B, C, A)$  and  $C \in \text{Alt} \triangle(C, A, B)$ . Then  $\text{Alt} \triangle(B, C, A) \cap \text{Alt} \triangle(C, A, B)$  is a point.

PROOF: Consider  $L_1, L_2$  being elements of  $\text{Lines}(\mathcal{R}^2)$  such that

Altit  $\Delta(B, C, A) = L_1$  and  $L_2 = \text{Line}(C, A)$  and  $B \in L_1$  and  $L_1 \perp L_2$ . Consider  $L_3, L_4$  being elements of  $\text{Lines}(\mathcal{R}^2)$  such that Altit  $\Delta(C, A, B) = L_3$  and  $L_4 = \text{Line}(A, B)$  and  $C \in L_3$  and  $L_3 \perp L_4$ .  $L_1 \nparallel L_3$  by [8, (71), (111)], [6, (16)], [9, (41)].  $L_1$  is not a point and  $L_3$  is not a point.  $\square$

- (65) Suppose  $C, A, B$  form a triangle and  $C \in \text{Altit} \Delta(C, A, B)$  and  $C \in \text{Altit} \Delta(A, B, C)$ . Then  $\text{Altit} \Delta(C, A, B) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(A, B, C)$  is a point.

PROOF: Consider  $L_1, L_2$  being elements of  $\text{Lines}(\mathcal{R}^2)$  such that Altit  $\Delta(C, A, B) = L_1$  and  $L_2 = \text{Line}(A, B)$  and  $C \in L_1$  and  $L_1 \perp L_2$ . Consider  $L_3, L_4$  being elements of  $\text{Lines}(\mathcal{R}^2)$  such that Altit  $\Delta(A, B, C) = L_3$  and  $L_4 = \text{Line}(B, C)$  and  $A \in L_3$  and  $L_3 \perp L_4$ .  $L_1 \nparallel L_3$  by [8, (71), (111)], [6, (16)], [9, (41)].  $L_1$  is not a point and  $L_3$  is not a point.  $\square$

- (66) Suppose  $A, B, C$  form a triangle and  $|(C - A, B - C)| = 0$ . Then

- (i)  $\text{Altit} \Delta(A, B, C) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(B, C, A) = \{C\}$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{Altit} \Delta(B, C, A) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(C, A, B) = \{C\}$ , and
- (iii)  $\text{Altit} \Delta(C, A, B) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(A, B, C) = \{C\}$ .

PROOF:  $A \notin \text{Line}(B, C)$  and  $B \notin \text{Line}(C, A)$ . FootAltit  $\Delta(A, B, C) = C$  and FootAltit  $\Delta(B, C, A) = C$ . Altit  $\Delta(A, B, C) = \text{Line}(A, C)$  and Altit  $\Delta(B, C, A) = \text{Line}(B, C)$ .  $C \in \text{Altit} \Delta(C, A, B)$ . Altit  $\Delta(A, B, C) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(B, C, A) = \{C\}$  by [6, (22)], (63). Altit  $\Delta(B, C, A) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(C, A, B) = \{C\}$  by [12, (15)], (37), (64), [6, (22)]. Altit  $\Delta(C, A, B) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(A, B, C) = \{C\}$  by [12, (15)], (37), (65), [6, (22)].  $\square$

- (67) Suppose  $A, B, C$  form a triangle. Then there exists a point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  such that

- (i)  $\text{Altit} \Delta(A, B, C) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(B, C, A) = \{P\}$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{Altit} \Delta(B, C, A) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(C, A, B) = \{P\}$ , and
- (iii)  $\text{Altit} \Delta(C, A, B) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(A, B, C) = \{P\}$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (66), (61), (24), (44), and (38).

Let  $A, B, C$  be points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$ . Assume  $A, B, C$  form a triangle. The functor Orthocenter  $\Delta(A, B, C)$  yielding a point of  $\mathcal{E}_T^2$  is defined by

- (Def. 4) Altit  $\Delta(A, B, C) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(B, C, A) = \{it\}$  and Altit  $\Delta(B, C, A) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(C, A, B) = \{it\}$  and Altit  $\Delta(C, A, B) \cap \text{Altit} \Delta(A, B, C) = \{it\}$ .

### 3. TRIANGULATION

Let us assume that  $B \neq A$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (68)  $(\sin \angle(B, A, C) + \sin \angle(C, B, A)) \cdot (|C - B| - |C - A|) = (\sin \angle(B, A, C) - \sin \angle(C, B, A)) \cdot (|C - B| + |C - A|)$ .

$$(69) \quad \sin\left(\frac{\angle(B,A,C)+\angle(C,B,A)}{2}\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\angle(B,A,C)-\angle(C,B,A)}{2}\right) \cdot (|C-B| - |C-A|) = \sin\left(\frac{\angle(B,A,C)-\angle(C,B,A)}{2}\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\angle(B,A,C)+\angle(C,B,A)}{2}\right) \cdot (|C-B| + |C-A|). \text{ The theorem is a consequence of (68).}$$

Now we state the proposition:

$$(70) \quad \text{Suppose } A, B, C \text{ form a triangle and } \angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A) \neq \pi \text{ and } \angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A) \neq -\pi. \text{ Then } \cos\left(\frac{\angle(B,A,C)-\angle(C,B,A)}{2}\right) \neq 0. \text{ The theorem is a consequence of (2).}$$

Let us assume that  $A, C, B$  form a triangle and  $\angle(A, C, B) < \pi$ . Now we state the propositions:

$$(71) \quad \tan\left(\frac{\angle(B,A,C)-\angle(C,B,A)}{2}\right) = \cot\left(\frac{\angle(A,C,B)}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{|C-B|-|C-A|}{|C-B|+|C-A|}\right).$$

PROOF:  $\angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A) \neq \pi$  and  $\angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A) \neq -\pi$ . Set  $\alpha = \frac{\angle(B,A,C)-\angle(C,B,A)}{2}$ . Set  $\beta = \frac{\angle(B,A,C)+\angle(C,B,A)}{2}$ .  $\angle(A, C, B) = \pi - (\angle(C, B, A) + \angle(B, A, C))$ . Set  $\alpha_1 = \frac{\angle(A,C,B)}{2}$ .  $\sin \alpha_1 \neq 0$ .  $|C-B|+|C-A| \neq 0$  by [11, (42)].  $\sin \beta \cdot \cos \alpha \cdot (|C-B| - |C-A|) = \sin \alpha \cdot \cos \beta \cdot (|C-B| + |C-A|)$ .  $(|C-B| - |C-A|) \cdot \cos \alpha_1 \cdot 1 = (|C-B| + |C-A|) \cdot \sin \alpha_1 \cdot \left(\frac{\sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha}\right)$ .  $\square$

$$(72) \quad \frac{\angle(B,A,C)-\angle(C,B,A)}{2} = \arctan\left(\cot\left(\frac{\angle(A,C,B)}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{|C-B|-|C-A|}{|C-B|+|C-A|}\right)\right). \text{ The theorem is a consequence of (71) and (36).}$$

$$(73) \quad \angle(B, A, C) - \angle(C, B, A) = 2 \cdot \arctan\left(\cot\left(\frac{\angle(A,C,B)}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{|C-B|-|C-A|}{|C-B|+|C-A|}\right)\right). \text{ The theorem is a consequence of (72).}$$

$$(74) \quad \text{(i) } \angle(B, A, C) = \arctan\left(\cot\left(\frac{\angle(A,C,B)}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{|C-B|-|C-A|}{|C-B|+|C-A|}\right)\right) + \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{\angle(A,C,B)}{2}\right), \text{ and}$$

$$\text{(ii) } \angle(C, B, A) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{\angle(A,C,B)}{2}\right) - \arctan\left(\cot\left(\frac{\angle(A,C,B)}{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{|C-B|-|C-A|}{|C-B|+|C-A|}\right)\right).$$

The theorem is a consequence of (73) and (30).

$$(75) \quad |B-C| = \frac{|A-B| \cdot \sin \angle(B,A,C)}{\sin(\angle(B,A,C)+\angle(C,B,A))}.$$

PROOF:  $|B-C| = \frac{|A-B| \cdot \sin \angle(B,A,C)}{\sin \angle(A,C,B)}$  by [11, (6), (43)], (28).  $\angle(A, C, B) = \pi - (\angle(C, B, A) + \angle(B, A, C))$ .  $\square$

$$(76) \quad |A-C| = \frac{|A-B| \cdot \sin \angle(C,B,A)}{\sin(\angle(B,A,C)+\angle(C,B,A))}.$$

PROOF:  $|A-C| = \frac{|A-B| \cdot \sin \angle(C,B,A)}{\sin \angle(A,C,B)}$  by [11, (6)], (28).  $\angle(A, C, B) = \pi - (\angle(C, B, A) + \angle(B, A, C))$  by [11, (20)], [10, (47)].  $\square$

Now we state the propositions:

$$(77) \quad \text{Suppose } A, C, B \text{ form a triangle and } \angle(C, A, B) = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Then  $|\text{Alt} \triangle(C, A, B)| = |A-B| \cdot \tan \angle(A, B, C)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (58).

$$(78) \quad \text{Suppose } A, B, C \text{ form a triangle and } \angle(C, A, B) = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \cdot \pi.$$

Then  $|\text{Alt} \triangle(C, A, B)| = |A - B| \cdot \tan \angle(C, B, A)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (58).

(79) Suppose  $A, C, B$  form a triangle and  $|(A - C, A - B)| = 0$ . Then  $|\text{Alt} \triangle(C, A, B)| = |A - B| \cdot |\tan \angle(A, B, C)|$ . The theorem is a consequence of (11), (77), (56), (6), and (78).

(80) Suppose  $B \neq C$  and  $\text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C), B, A$  form a triangle. Then

(i)  $|A - B| \cdot \sin \angle(A, B, \text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C)) = |\text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C) - A|$ , or

(ii)  $|A - B| \cdot (-\sin \angle(A, B, \text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C))) = |\text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C) - A|$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (48).

(81) Suppose  $A, B, C$  form a triangle and  $|(B - A, B - C)| \neq 0$ . Then

(i)  $|A - B| \cdot \sin \angle(A, B, \text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C)) = |\text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C) - A|$ , or

(ii)  $|A - B| \cdot (-\sin \angle(A, B, \text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C))) = |\text{FootAlt} \triangle(A, B, C) - A|$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (80) and (55).

(82) Suppose  $A, C, B$  form a triangle and  $\angle(A, C, B) < \pi$  and  $|(A - C, A - B)| \neq 0$ . Then  $|\text{Alt} \triangle(C, A, B)| = |A - B| \cdot |(\frac{\sin \angle(C, B, A)}{\sin(\angle(B, A, C) + \angle(C, B, A))}) \cdot \sin \angle(C, A, \text{FootAlt} \triangle(C, A, B))|$ . The theorem is a consequence of (76), (55), and (80).

(83) Suppose  $0 < \angle(B, A, D) < \pi$  and  $0 < \angle(D, A, C) < \pi$  and  $D, A, C$  are mutually different and  $B, A, D$  are mutually different. Then  $\angle(A, C, D) + \angle(D, B, A) = 2 \cdot \pi - (\angle(B, A, C) + \angle(A, D, B) + \angle(C, D, A))$ .

PROOF:  $\angle(B, A, D) + \angle(D, A, C) = \angle(B, A, C)$  by [5, (2)], [11, (4)].  $\angle(A, C, D) = \pi - (\angle(C, D, A) + \angle(D, A, C))$  by [10, (47)].  $\angle(D, B, A) = \pi - (\angle(A, D, B) + \angle(B, A, D))$  by [10, (47)].  $\square$

(84) Suppose  $A, C, B$  form a triangle and  $\angle(A, C, B) < \pi$  and  $A, D, B$  form a triangle and  $\angle(A, D, B) < \pi$  and  $a = \angle(C, B, A)$  and  $b = \angle(B, A, C)$  and  $c = \angle(D, B, A)$  and  $d = \angle(C, A, D)$ . Then  $|D - C|^2 = |A - B|^2 \cdot ((\frac{\sin a}{\sin(a+b)})^2 + (\frac{\sin c}{\sin(b+d+c)})^2 - 2 \cdot (\frac{\sin a}{\sin(b+a)}) \cdot (\frac{\sin c}{\sin(b+d+c)}) \cdot \cos d)$ .

PROOF: Set  $e = b + d$ .  $\sin(e + c) = \sin(\angle(B, A, D) + \angle(D, B, A))$  by [14, (79)].  $\square$

(85) Suppose  $\sin(2 \cdot s) \cdot \cos d = \cos(2 \cdot t)$ . Then  $(r \cdot \cos s)^2 + (r \cdot \sin s)^2 - 2 \cdot (r \cdot \cos s) \cdot (r \cdot \sin s) \cdot \cos d = 2 \cdot r^2 \cdot (\sin t)^2$ .

(86) Let us consider real numbers  $R, \vartheta$ . Suppose  $D \neq C$  and  $0 \leq R$  and  $A, C, B$  form a triangle and  $\angle(A, C, B) < \pi$  and  $A, D, B$  form a triangle



and  $\angle(A, D, B) < \pi$  and  $a = \angle(C, B, A)$  and  $b = \angle(B, A, C)$  and  $c = \angle(D, B, A)$  and  $d = \angle(C, A, D)$  and  $R \cdot \cos s = \frac{\sin a}{\sin(a+b)}$  and  $R \cdot \sin s = \frac{\sin c}{\sin(b+d+c)}$  and  $0 < \vartheta < \pi$  and  $\sin(2 \cdot s) \cdot \cos d = \cos(2 \cdot \vartheta)$ . Then  $|D - C| = |A - B| \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot R \cdot \sin \vartheta$ .

PROOF:  $|D - C|^2 = |A - B|^2 \cdot ((R \cdot \cos s)^2 + (R \cdot \sin s)^2 - 2 \cdot (R \cdot \cos s) \cdot (R \cdot \sin s) \cdot \cos d)$ .  $|D - C| \neq -|A - B| \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot R \cdot \sin \vartheta$  by [13, (25)], [11, (42)].

□

- (87) Suppose  $A, C, B$  form a triangle and  $\angle(A, C, B) < \pi$  and  $D, A, C$  form a triangle and  $\angle(A, D, C) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Then  $|D - C| = \left(\frac{|A-B| \cdot \sin \angle(C, B, A)}{\sin(\angle(B, A, C) + \angle(C, B, A))}\right) \cdot \sin \angle(C, A, D)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (76).
- (88) Suppose  $B, C, A$  form a triangle and  $\angle(B, C, A) < \pi$  and  $D, C, A$  form a triangle and  $\angle(C, D, A) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Then  $|D - C| = \left(\frac{|A-B| \cdot \sin \angle(A, B, C)}{\sin(\angle(A, B, C) + \angle(C, A, B))}\right) \cdot \sin \angle(D, A, C)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (75).
- (89) Suppose  $A, C, B$  form a triangle and  $\angle(A, C, B) < \pi$  and  $D, A, C$  form a triangle and  $\angle(A, D, C) = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(B, D)$  and  $A \neq D$ . Then  $|D - C| = \left(\frac{|A-B| \cdot \sin \angle(C, B, A)}{\sin(\angle(C, A, D) - \angle(C, B, A))}\right) \cdot \sin \angle(C, A, D)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (87).
- (90) Suppose  $B, C, A$  form a triangle and  $\angle(B, C, A) < \pi$  and  $D, C, A$  form a triangle and  $\angle(C, D, A) = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(D, B)$  and  $A \neq D$ . Then  $|D - C| = \left(\frac{|A-B| \cdot \sin \angle(A, B, C)}{\sin(\angle(D, A, C) - \angle(A, B, C))}\right) \cdot \sin \angle(D, A, C)$ .  
 PROOF:  $\sin(\angle(C, A, B) + \angle(A, B, C)) = \sin(\angle(D, A, C) - \angle(A, B, C))$  by [4, (1)], [3, (8)]. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pał, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [2] R. Campbell. *La trigonométrie*. Que sais-je? Presses universitaires de France, 1956.
- [3] Wenpai Chang, Yatsuka Nakamura, and Piotr Rudnicki. Inner products and angles of complex numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(3):275–280, 2003.
- [4] Roland Coghetto. Some facts about trigonometry and Euclidean geometry. *Formalized Mathematics*, 22(4):313–319, 2014. doi:10.2478/forma-2014-0031.
- [5] Roland Coghetto. Morley’s trisector theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 23(2):75–79, 2015. doi:10.1515/forma-2015-0007.
- [6] Roland Coghetto. Circumcenter, circumcircle and centroid of a triangle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 24(1):19–29, 2016. doi:10.1515/forma-2016-0002.
- [7] H.S.M. Coxeter and S.L. Greitzer. *Geometry Revisited*. The Mathematical Association of America (Inc.), 1967.
- [8] Akihiro Kubo. Lines on planes in  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(3):389–397, 2005.

- [9] Akihiro Kubo. Lines in  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4): 371–376, 2003.
- [10] Akihiro Kubo and Yatsuka Nakamura. Angle and triangle in Euclidean topological space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(3):281–287, 2003.
- [11] Marco Riccardi. Heron’s formula and Ptolemy’s theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 16(2):97–101, 2008. doi:10.2478/v10037-008-0014-2.
- [12] Boris A. Shminke. Routh’s, Menelaus’ and generalized Ceva’s theorems. *Formalized Mathematics*, 20(2):157–159, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0018-9.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [14] Yuguang Yang and Yasunari Shidama. Trigonometric functions and existence of circle ratio. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(2):255–263, 1998.

*Received December 30, 2015*

---