

# Uniform Space

Roland Coghetto Rue de la Brasserie 5 7100 La Louvière, Belgium

**Summary.** In this article, we formalize in Mizar [1] the notion of uniform space introduced by André Weil using the concepts of entourages [2].

We present some results between uniform space and pseudo metric space. We introduce the concepts of left-uniformity and right-uniformity of a topological group.

Next, we define the concept of the partition topology. Following the Vlach's works [11, 10], we define the semi-uniform space induced by a tolerance and the uniform space induced by an equivalence relation.

Finally, using mostly Gehrke, Grigorieff and Pin [4] works, a Pervin uniform space defined from the sets of the form  $((X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A)) \cup (A \times A)$  is presented.

MSC: 54E15 03B35

Keywords: uniform space; uniformity; pseudo-metric space; topological group; partition topology; Pervin uniform space

MML identifier: UNIFORM3, version: 8.1.05 5.37.1275

#### 1. Preliminaries

From now on X denotes a set, D denotes a partition of X, T denotes a non empty topological group, and A denotes a subset of X.

Now we state the propositions:

- $(1) \quad A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A) \subseteq (X \setminus A) \times X \cup X \times A.$
- $(2) \quad \{1,2,3\} \setminus \{1\} = \{2,3\}.$
- (3) Suppose  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $A = \{1\}$ . Then
  - (i)  $\langle 2, 1 \rangle \in (X \setminus A) \times X \cup X \times A$ , and
  - (ii)  $\langle 2, 1 \rangle \notin A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (2).

- (4) Let us consider a subset A of X. Then  $(A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A))^{\smile} = A \times A \cup (X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A)$ .
- (5) Let us consider subsets  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  of D. If  $\bigcup P_1 = \bigcup P_2$ , then  $P_1 = P_2$ .
- (6) Let us consider a subset P of D. Then  $\bigcup (D \setminus P) = \bigcup D \setminus \bigcup P$ .
- (7) Let us consider an upper family  $S_1$  of subsets of X, and an element S of  $S_1$ . Then  $\bigcap S_1 \subseteq S$ .
- (8) Let us consider an additive group G, and subsets A, B, C, D of G. If  $A \subseteq B$  and  $C \subseteq D$ , then  $A + C \subseteq B + D$ .

Let us consider an element e of T and a neighbourhood V of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (9)  $\{e\} \cdot V$  is a neighbourhood of e.
- (10)  $V \cdot \{e\}$  is a neighbourhood of e.
- (11) Let us consider a neighbourhood V of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ . Then  $V^{-1}$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ .

### 2. Uniform Space

A uniform space is an upper,  $\cap$ -closed uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1, axiom U2, and axiom U3. From now on Q denotes a uniform space. Now we state the propositions:

- (12) Q is a quasi-uniform space.
- (13) Q is a semi-uniform space.

Let X be a set and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a family of subsets of  $X \times X$ . We say that  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies axiom UP2 if and only if

(Def. 1) for every element  $B_1$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , there exists an element  $B_2$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(14) Let us consider an empty set X. Then every empty family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is quasi-basis and satisfies axiom UP1, axiom UP2, and axiom UP3.

One can verify that there exists a uniform space which is strict.

Now we state the proposition:

(15) Let us consider a set X, and a family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Suppose  $X = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $S_1 = \{X \times X\}$ . Then  $\langle X, S_1 \rangle$  is a uniform space.

Let us observe that there exists a strict uniform space which is non empty. Now we state the proposition:

- (16) Let us consider a set X, and a family  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is quasi-basis and satisfies axiom UP1, axiom UP2, and axiom UP3. Then there exists a strict uniform space Q such that
  - (i) the carrier of Q = X, and
  - (ii) the entourages  $Q = [\mathcal{B}]$ .

#### 3. Open Set and Uniform Space

Now we state the propositions:

- (17) Let us consider a non empty uniform space Q. Then
  - (i) the carrier of the topological space induced by Q = the carrier of Q, and
  - (ii) the topology of the topological space induced by Q = the open set family of the FMTinduced by Q.
- (18) Let us consider a non empty uniform space Q, and a subset S of the FMT-induced by Q. Then S is open if and only if for every element x of Q such that  $x \in S$  holds  $S \in \text{Neighborhood } x$ .
- (19) Let us consider a non empty uniform space Q. Then the open set family of the FMTinduced by Q = the set of all O where O is an open subset of the FMTinduced by Q.

Let us consider a non empty uniform space Q and a subset S of the FMTinduced by Q. Now we state the propositions:

- (20) S is open if and only if  $S \in$  the open set family of the FMT induced by Q.
- (21)  $S \in \text{the open set family of the FMT induced by } Q \text{ if and only if for every element } x \text{ of } Q \text{ such that } x \in S \text{ holds } S \in \text{Neighborhood } x.$

#### 4. Pseudo Metric Space and Uniform Space

Let M be a non empty metric structure and r be a positive real number. The functor ent(M,r) yielding a subset of (the carrier of M) × (the carrier of M) is defined by the term

(Def. 2)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x, y \text{ are elements of } M : \rho(x, y) \leq r\}.$ 

Let M be a non empty, reflexive metric structure. Let us observe that ent(M,r) is non empty.

Let M be a non empty metric structure. The functor  $\mathrm{ENT}(M)$  yielding a non empty family of subsets of (the carrier of M) × (the carrier of M) is defined by the term

(Def. 3) the set of all ent(M, r) where r is a positive real number.

The uniformity induced by M yielding a uniform space structure is defined by the term

(Def. 4)  $\langle$  the carrier of M,  $[ENT(M)] \rangle$ .

Let M be a pseudo metric space. The uniformity induced by M yielding a non empty, strict uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 5)  $\langle$  the carrier of M,  $[ENT(M)]\rangle$ .

(11)].  $\square$ 

Let us consider a pseudo metric space M. Now we state the propositions:

- (22) The open set family of the FMTinduced by the uniformity induced by M =the open set family of M. PROOF: Set X =the open set family of the FMTinduced by the uniformity induced by M. Set Y =the open set family of M.  $X \subseteq Y$  by (18), (20), [5, (11)]. Reconsider  $t_1 = t$  as a subset of M. For every element x of the uniformity induced by M such that  $x \in t_1$  holds  $t_1 \in$ Neighborhood x by [5,
- (23) The topological space induced by the uniformity induced by  $M = M_{\text{top}}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (22).

#### 5. Uniform Space and Topological Group

Let G be a topological group and Q be a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_G$ . The functor left  $\mathrm{U}(Q)$  yielding a subset of (the carrier of G) × (the carrier of G) is defined by the term

- (Def. 6)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle$ , where x is an element of G, y is an element of  $G: x^{-1} \cdot y \in Q\}$ . Let T be a non empty topological group. The functor SleftU(T) yielding a non empty family of subsets of (the carrier of T) × (the carrier of T) is defined by the term
- (Def. 7) the set of all left U(Q) where Q is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ . The left-uniformity T yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term
- (Def. 8)  $\langle$  the carrier of T, [SleftU(T)] $\rangle$ .

Let G be a topological group and Q be a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_G$ . The functor right  $\mathrm{U}(Q)$  yielding a subset of (the carrier of G) × (the carrier of G) is defined by the term

(Def. 9)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle$ , where x is an element of G, y is an element of  $G: y \cdot x^{-1} \in Q\}$ . Let T be a non empty topological group. The functor SrightU(T) yielding a non empty family of subsets of (the carrier of T) × (the carrier of T) is defined by the term (Def. 10) the set of all right U(Q) where Q is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ .

The right-uniformity T yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 11)  $\langle$  the carrier of T, [SrightU(T)] $\rangle$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (24) Let us consider a non empty, commutative topological group T, and a neighbourhood Q of  $\mathbf{1}_T$ . Then left  $U(Q) = \operatorname{right} U(Q)$ .
- (25) Let us consider a non empty, commutative topological group T. Then the left-uniformity T = the right-uniformity T. The theorem is a consequence of (24).

Let G be a semi additive topological group and Q be a neighbourhood of  $0_G$ . The functor left U(Q) yielding a subset of (the carrier of G) × (the carrier of G) is defined by the term

- (Def. 12)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle$ , where x is an element of G, y is an element of  $G: -x + y \in Q\}$ . Let T be a non empty semi additive topological group. The functor SleftU(T) yielding a non empty family of subsets of (the carrier of T) × (the carrier of T) is defined by the term
- (Def. 13) the set of all left U(Q) where Q is a neighbourhood of  $0_T$ . Let T be a non empty topological additive group. The left-uniformity T yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term
- (Def. 14)  $\langle$  the carrier of T,  $[SleftU(T)] \rangle$ .

Let G be a semi additive topological group and Q be a neighbourhood of  $0_G$ . The functor right U(Q) yielding a subset of (the carrier of G) × (the carrier of G) is defined by the term

- (Def. 15)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle$ , where x is an element of G, y is an element of  $G: y + -x \in Q\}$ . Let T be a non empty semi additive topological group. The functor SrightU(T) yielding a non empty family of subsets of (the carrier of T) × (the carrier of T) is defined by the term
- (Def. 16) the set of all right U(Q) where Q is a neighbourhood of  $0_T$ . Let T be a non empty topological additive group. The right-uniformity T yielding a non empty uniform space is defined by the term
- (Def. 17)  $\langle$  the carrier of T,  $[SrightU(T)] \rangle$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (26) Let us consider an Abelian semi additive topological group T, and a neighbourhood Q of  $0_T$ . Then leftU(Q) = rightU(Q).
- (27) Let us consider a non empty topological additive group T. Suppose T

- is Abelian. Then the left-uniformity T = the right-uniformity T. The theorem is a consequence of (26).
- (28) The topology of the topological space induced by the left-uniformity T =the topology of T.

PROOF: Set X = the topology of FMT2TopSpace(the FMTinduced by the left-uniformity T). Set Y = the topology of T.  $X \subseteq Y$  by (9), [6, (7)].  $Y \subseteq X$  by [9, (3)], [6, (6)], [8, (6)].  $\square$ 

(29) The topology of the topological space induced by the right-uniformity T =the topology of T.

PROOF: Set X = the topology of FMT2TopSpace(the FMTinduced by the right-uniformity T). Set Y = the topology of T.  $X \subseteq Y$  by (10), [6, (7)].  $Y \subseteq X$  by [9, (3)], [6, (6)], [8, (6)].  $\square$ 

#### 6. Function Uniformly Continuous

Let  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  be uniform space structures and f be a function from  $Q_1$  into  $Q_2$ . We say that f is uniformly continuous if and only if

(Def. 18) for every element V of the entourages  $Q_2$ , there exists an element Q of the entourages  $Q_1$  such that for every objects x, y such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in Q$  holds  $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in V$ .

Let  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  be non empty uniform space structures satisfying axiom U1. One can check that there exists a function from  $Q_1$  into  $Q_2$  which is uniformly continuous.

#### 7. Partition Topology

Now we state the propositions:

- (30) the set of all  $\bigcup P$  where P is a subset of D = UniCl(D).
- (31)  $X \in \text{UniCl}(D)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (30).
- (32) If  $D = \emptyset$ , then X is empty and UniCl $(D) = \{\emptyset\}$ .

Let X be a set and D be a partition of X. Let us note that UniCl(D) is  $\cap$ -closed and UniCl(D) is union-closed and every family of subsets of X which is union-closed is also  $\cup$ -closed.

Let D be a partition of X. Let us note that UniCl(D) is closed for complement operator and UniCl(D) is  $\cup$ -closed and  $\setminus$ -closed.

Now we state the proposition:

(33) UniCl(D) is a ring of sets. The theorem is a consequence of (30).

Let us consider X and D. One can verify that UniCl(D) has the empty element.

Let X be a set and D be a partition of X. Let us observe that UniCl(D) is non empty.

Now we state the proposition:

(34) UniCl(D) is a field of subsets of X.

Let X be a set and D be a partition of X. Observe that UniCl(D) is  $\sigma$ -additive and UniCl(D) is  $\sigma$ -multiplicative.

Now we state the proposition:

(35) UniCl(D) is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of X.

Let X be a set and D be a partition of X. Observe that UniCl(D) is closed for countable unions and closed for countable meets.

Now we state the proposition:

(36) Let us consider a non empty set  $\Omega$ , and a partition D of  $\Omega$ . Then UniCl(D) is a Dynkin system of  $\Omega$ .

Let X be a set and D be a partition of X. The partition topology D yielding a topological space is defined by the term

(Def. 19)  $\langle X, \text{UniCl}(D) \rangle$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (37) Every open subset of the partition topology D is closed.
- (38) Every closed subset of the partition topology D is open.
- (39) Let us consider a subset S of the partition topology D. Then S is open if and only if S is closed.

Let X be a non empty set and D be a partition of X. Observe that the partition topology D is non empty.

Let us consider a non empty set X and a partition D of X. Now we state the propositions:

- (40) LC(the partition topology D) = UniCl(D). The theorem is a consequence of (38) and (31).
- (41) OpenClosedSet(the partition topology D) = the topology of the partition topology D. The theorem is a consequence of (37).

#### 8. Uniform Space and Partition Topology

In the sequel R denotes a binary relation on X.

Let X be a set and R be a binary relation on X. The functor  $\rho(R)$  yielding a non empty family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 20)  $\{S, \text{ where } S \text{ is a subset of } X \times X : R \subseteq S\}.$ 

Now we state the propositions:

- $(42) \quad [\rho(R)] = \rho(R).$
- $(43) \quad [\{R\}] = \rho(R).$
- (44)  $\rho(R)$  is upper and  $\cap$ -closed.

Let us consider X and R. Observe that  $\rho(R)$  is quasi-basis.

Now we state the propositions:

- (45) Let us consider a total, reflexive binary relation R on X. Then  $\rho(R)$  satisfies axiom UP1.
- (46) Let us consider a symmetric binary relation R on X. Then  $\rho(R)$  satisfies axiom UP2.
- (47) Let us consider a total, transitive binary relation R on X. Then  $\rho(R)$  satisfies axiom UP3.

Let X be a set and R be a binary relation on X. The uniformity induced by R yielding an upper,  $\cap$ -closed, strict uniform space structure is defined by the term

(Def. 21)  $\langle X, \rho(R) \rangle$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (48) Let us consider a set X, and a total, reflexive binary relation R on X. Then the uniformity induced by R satisfies axiom U1. The theorem is a consequence of (45).
- (49) Let us consider a set X, and a symmetric binary relation R on X. Then the uniformity induced by R satisfies axiom U2. The theorem is a consequence of (46).
- (50) Let us consider a set X, and a total, transitive binary relation R on X. Then the uniformity induced by R satisfies axiom U3. The theorem is a consequence of (47).

Let X be a set and R be a tolerance of X. Note that the uniformity induced by R yields a strict semi-uniform space. Now we state the proposition:

(51) Let us consider a set X, and an equivalence relation R of X. Then the uniformity induced by R is a uniform space.

Let X be a set and R be an equivalence relation of X. Observe that the uniformity induced by R yields a strict uniform space. Let X be a non empty set and R be a tolerance of X. Let us note that the uniformity induced by R is non empty and every non empty uniform space is topological.

Let Q be a non empty uniform space. The functor  ${}^{@}Q$  yielding a topological, non empty uniform space structure satisfying axiom U1 is defined by the term

(Def. 22) Q.

Now we state the proposition:

- (52) Let us consider a non empty set X, and an equivalence relation R of X. Then the topological space induced by  $^{@}$ (the uniformity induced by R) = the partition topology Classes R. The theorem is a consequence of (30) and (18).
  - 9. Uniformity Induced by a Tolerance or by an Equivalence

Now we state the proposition:

- (53) Let us consider an upper uniform space structure Q. Suppose  $\bigcap$  (the entourages Q)  $\in$  the entourages Q. Then there exists a binary relation R on the carrier of Q such that
  - (i)  $\bigcap$  (the entourages Q) = R, and
  - (ii) the entourages  $Q = \rho(R)$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $R = \bigcap$  (the entourages Q) as a binary relation on the carrier of Q.  $\rho(R) \subseteq$  the entourages Q. The entourages  $Q \subseteq \rho(R)$  by [7, (3)].  $\square$ 

Let Q be a uniform space structure. The functor Uniformity2InternalRel(Q) yielding a binary relation on the carrier of Q is defined by the term

(Def. 23)  $\bigcap$  (the entourages Q).

The functor Uniform SpaceStr2RelStr(Q) yielding a relational structure is defined by the term

(Def. 24)  $\langle$  the carrier of Q, Uniformity2InternalRel $(Q)\rangle$ .

Let  $R_1$  be a relational structure. The functor InternalRel2Uniformity  $(R_1)$  yielding a family of subsets of (the carrier of  $R_1$ ) × (the carrier of  $R_1$ ) is defined by the term

(Def. 25)  $\{R, \text{ where } R \text{ is a binary relation on the carrier of } R_1 : \text{ the internal relation of } R_1 \subseteq R\}.$ 

The functor RelStr2UniformSpaceStr( $R_1$ ) yielding a strict uniform space structure is defined by the term

(Def. 26)  $\langle$  the carrier of  $R_1$ , InternalRel2Uniformity $\langle R_1 \rangle \rangle$ .

The functor InternalRel2Element( $R_1$ ) yielding an element of the entourages RelStr2UniformSpaceStr( $R_1$ ) is defined by the term

(Def. 27) the internal relation of  $R_1$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(54) Let us consider a binary relation R on X. Then  $\bigcap \rho(R) = R$ .

- (55) Let us consider a strict relational structure  $R_1$ . Then UniformSpaceStr2–RelStr(RelStr2UniformSpaceStr( $R_1$ )) =  $R_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (54).
- (56) Let us consider a uniform space structure Q. Then
  - (i) the carrier of RelStr2UniformSpaceStr(UniformSpaceStr2RelStr(Q)) = the carrier of Q, and
  - (ii) the entourages RelStr2UniformSpaceStr(UniformSpaceStr2RelStr (Q)) =  $\rho(\bigcap$  (the entourages Q)).
- (57) Let us consider a family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ , and a binary relation R on X. If  $S_1 = \rho(R)$ , then  $S_1 \subseteq \rho(\bigcap S_1)$ .
- (58) Let us consider an upper family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ . If  $\bigcap S_1 \in S_1$ , then  $\rho(\bigcap S_1) \subseteq S_1$ .
- (59) Let us consider an upper family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X \times X$ , and a binary relation R on X. Suppose  $R \in S_1$  and  $S_1 = \rho(R)$  and  $\bigcap S_1 \in S_1$ . Then  $\rho(\bigcap S_1) = S_1$ .
- (60) Let us consider an upper uniform space structure Q. Suppose there exists a binary relation R on the carrier of Q such that the entourages  $Q = \rho(R)$  and  $\bigcap$  (the entourages Q)  $\in$  the entourages Q. Then the entourages  $Q = \rho(\bigcap$  (the entourages Q)). The theorem is a consequence of (57) and (58).
- (61) Let us consider an upper uniform space structure Q, and a binary relation R on the carrier of Q. Suppose the entourages  $Q = \rho(R)$  and  $\bigcap$  (the entourages Q)  $\in$  the entourages Q.

Then the entourages  $Q = \rho(\bigcap(\text{the entourages } Q))$ .

Let us consider a tolerance R of X. Now we state the propositions:

- (62) (i) the uniformity induced by R is a semi-uniform space, and
  - (ii) the entourages the uniformity induced by  $R = \rho(R)$ , and
  - (iii)  $\bigcap$  (the entourages the uniformity induced by R) = R.
- (63) RelStr2UniformSpaceStr(UniformSpaceStr2RelStr(the uniformity induced by R)) = the uniformity induced by R. The theorem is a consequence of (54).
- (64) Let us consider an equivalence relation R of X. Then RelStr2UniformSpaceStr(UniformSpaceStr2RelStr(the uniformity induced by R)) = the uniformity induced by R. The theorem is a consequence of (54).

## 10. Uniform Pervin Space

Let X be a set,  $S_1$  be a family of subsets of X, and A be an element of  $S_1$ . The functor Block(A) yielding a subset of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 28) 
$$(X \setminus A) \times (X \setminus A) \cup A \times A$$
.

From now on  $S_1$  denotes a family of subsets of X and A denotes an element of  $S_1$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (65) If  $A = \emptyset$ , then Block $(A) = X \times X$ .
- (66) Suppose X is not empty. Then  $\operatorname{Block}(A) = \{\langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x, y \text{ are elements of } X : x \in A \text{ iff } y \in A\}.$

PROOF: Set  $S = \{\langle x, y \rangle, \text{ where } x, y \text{ are elements of } X : x \in A \text{ iff } y \in A\}.$ Block $(A) \subseteq S$  by [3, (87)].  $S \subseteq \text{Block}(A)$  by [3, (87)].  $\square$ 

- (67) (i)  $id_X \subseteq Block(A)$ , and
  - (ii)  $Block(A) \cdot Block(A) \subseteq Block(A)$ .

Let X be a set and  $S_1$  be a family of subsets of X. The functor Blocks $(S_1)$  yielding a family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 29) the set of all Block(A) where A is an element of  $S_1$ .

Let us observe that  $Blocks(S_1)$  is non empty.

The functor FMCBlocks( $S_1$ ) yielding a family of subsets of  $X \times X$  is defined by the term

(Def. 30)  $FinMeetCl(Blocks(S_1)).$ 

Now we state the propositions:

- (68) FMCBlocks( $S_1$ ) is  $\cap$ -closed.
- (69) FMCBlocks( $S_1$ ) is quasi-basis. The theorem is a consequence of (68).
- (70)  $FMCBlocks(S_1)$  satisfies axiom UP1.
- (71) Let us consider an element A of  $S_1$ , and a binary relation R on X. If  $R = \operatorname{Block}(A)$ , then  $R^{\smile} = \operatorname{Block}(A)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (65) and (4).
- (72) Let us consider a binary relation R on X. Suppose R is an element of Blocks $(S_1)$ . Then  $R^{\sim}$  is an element of Blocks $(S_1)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (71).

Let us consider a non empty family Y of subsets of  $X \times X$ . Now we state the propositions:

(73) If  $Y \subseteq \operatorname{Blocks}(S_1)$ , then  $Y[\sim] = Y$ . The theorem is a consequence of (71).

- (74) If  $Y \subseteq \operatorname{Blocks}(S_1)$ , then  $(\bigcap Y)^{\smile} = \bigcap Y [\sim]$ . The theorem is a consequence of (73) and (71).
- (75) If  $Y \subseteq \operatorname{Blocks}(S_1)$ , then  $\bigcap Y = (\bigcap Y)^{\smile}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (73) and (74).
- (76) FMCBlocks( $S_1$ ) satisfies axiom UP2. The theorem is a consequence of (73) and (75).
- (77) FMCBlocks( $S_1$ ) satisfies axiom UP3. The theorem is a consequence of (67).

Let X be a set and  $S_1$  be a family of subsets of X. The Pervin uniform space of  $S_1$  yielding a strict uniform space is defined by the term

(Def. 31)  $\langle X, [\text{FMCBlocks}(S_1)] \rangle$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author wants to express his gratitude to the anonymous referee for his/her work, to make the presentation more readable.

#### References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pak, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, Intelligent Computer Mathematics, volume 9150 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [2] Nicolas Bourbaki. General Topology: Chapters 1–4. Springer Science and Business Media, 2013.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [4] Mai Gehrke, Serge Grigorieff, and Jean-Éric Pin. A topological approach to recognition. In *Automata, Languages and Programming*, pages 151–162. Springer, 2010.
- [5] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):607-610, 1990.
- [6] Beata Padlewska. Locally connected spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):93–96, 1991.
- [7] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [8] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [9] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Subgroup and cosets of subgroups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5): 855–864, 1990.
- [10] Milan Vlach. Algebraic and topological aspects of rough set theory. In Fourth International Workshop on Computational Intelligence & Applications, IEEE SMC Hiroshima Chapter, Hiroshima University, Japan, December 10&11, 2008.
- [11] Milan Vlach. Topologies of approximation spaces of rough set theory. In *Inte-rval/Probabilistic Uncertainty and Non-Classical Logics*, pages 176–186. Springer, 2008.

## Received June 30, 2016



The English version of this volume of Formalized Mathematics was financed under agreement 548/P-DUN/2016 with the funds from the Polish Minister of Science and Higher Education for the dissemination of science.