

# About Supergraphs. Part II

Sebastian Koch   
Johannes Gutenberg University  
Mainz, Germany<sup>1</sup>

**Summary.** In the previous article [5] supergraphs and several specializations to formalize the process of drawing graphs were introduced. In this paper another such operation is formalized in Mizar [1], [2]: drawing a vertex and then immediately drawing edges connecting this vertex with a subset of the other vertices of the graph. In case the new vertex is joined with all vertices of a given graph  $G$ , this is known as the join of  $G$  and the trivial loopless graph  $K_1$ . While the join of two graphs is known and found in standard literature (like [9], [4], [8] and [3]), the operation described in this article is not.

Alongside the new operation a mode to reverse the directions of a subset of the edges of a graph is introduced. When all edge directions of a graph are reversed, this is commonly known as the converse of a (directed) graph.

MSC: 05C76 03B35 68T99

Keywords: supergraph; graph operations

MML identifier: GLIB\_007, version: 8.1.08 5.52.1328

## 1. REVERSING EDGE DIRECTIONS

From now on  $G, G_2$  denote graphs,  $V, E$  denote sets, and  $v$  denotes an object. Let us consider  $G$  and  $E$ .

A graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is a graph defined by

- (Def. 1) (i) the vertices of  $it =$  the vertices of  $G$  and the edges of  $it =$  the edges of  $G$  and the source of  $it =$  (the source of  $G$ ) $\cdot$ (the target of  $G$ ) $\setminus E$  and the target of  $it =$  (the target of  $G$ ) $\cdot$ (the source of  $G$ ) $\setminus E$ , if  $E \subseteq$  the edges of  $G$ ,

---

<sup>1</sup><mailto:skoch02@students.uni-mainz.de>

(ii)  $it \approx G$ , **otherwise**.

A graph given by reversing directions of the edges of  $G$  is a graph given by reversing directions of the edges of  $G$  of  $G$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Let us consider graphs  $G_1, G_2$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$ . Then  $G_1 \approx G_2$ .
- (2) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$ . Suppose  $G_1 \approx G_2$ . Then  $G_2$  is a graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$ .

Let us consider  $G_2, E$ , and a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (3)  $G_2$  is a graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_1$ .
- (4) (i) the vertices of  $G_1 =$  the vertices of  $G_2$ , and  
(ii) the edges of  $G_1 =$  the edges of  $G_2$ .
- (5) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges of  $G_2$ . Then  $G_2$  is a graph given by reversing directions of the edges of  $G_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (4) and (3).
- (6) Let us consider a trivial graph  $G_2$ , a set  $E$ , and a graph  $G_1$ . Then  $G_1 \approx G_2$  if and only if  $G_1$  is a graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ .

Let us consider  $G_2, E$ , a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ , and objects  $v_1, e, v_2$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (7) If  $E \subseteq$  the edges of  $G_2$  and  $e \in E$ , then  $e$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $G_2$  iff  $e$  joins  $v_2$  to  $v_1$  in  $G_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (4).
- (8) If  $E \subseteq$  the edges of  $G_2$  and  $e \notin E$ , then  $e$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $G_2$  iff  $e$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $G_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (4).
- (9)  $e$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_2$  if and only if  $e$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (3).
- (10) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ . Then  $v$  is a vertex of  $G_1$  if and only if  $v$  is a vertex of  $G_2$ .

Let us consider  $G_2, E, V$ , and a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (11)  $G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V) = G_2.\text{edgesBetween}(V)$ .

PROOF:

For every object  $e, e \in G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V)$  iff  $e \in G_2.\text{edgesBetween}(V)$ .

□

- (12)  $G_1.\text{edgesInOut}(V) = G_2.\text{edgesInOut}(V)$ .

PROOF: For every object  $e, e \in G_1.\text{edgesInOut}(V)$  iff  $e \in G_2.\text{edgesInOut}(V)$ .

□

- (13) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ , a vertex  $v_1$  of  $G_1$ , and a vertex  $v_2$  of  $G_2$ . If  $v_1 = v_2$ , then  $v_1.\text{edgesInOut}() = v_2.\text{edgesInOut}()$ . The theorem is a consequence of (12).

Let us consider  $G_2$ ,  $E$ , and a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (14) Every walk of  $G_2$  is a walk of  $G_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (4) and (9).

- (15) Every walk of  $G_1$  is a walk of  $G_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (14).

- (16) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ , a walk  $W_2$  of  $G_2$ , and a walk  $W_1$  of  $G_1$ . Suppose  $E \subseteq$  the edges of  $G_2$  and  $W_1 = W_2$  and  $W_2.\text{edges}() \subseteq E$ . Then  $W_1$  is directed if and only if  $W_2.\text{reverse}()$  is directed.

PROOF: For every odd element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n < \text{len } W_1$  holds  $W_1(n+1)$  joins  $W_1(n)$  to  $W_1(n+2)$  in  $G_1$  by [6, (1)], [7, (12)].  $\square$

- (17) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges of  $G_2$ , a walk  $W_2$  of  $G_2$ , and a walk  $W_1$  of  $G_1$ . Suppose  $W_1 = W_2$ . Then  $W_1$  is directed if and only if  $W_2.\text{reverse}()$  is directed. The theorem is a consequence of (16).

- (18) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ , a walk  $W_2$  of  $G_2$ , and a walk  $W_1$  of  $G_1$ . If  $W_1 = W_2$ , then  $W_1$  is chordal iff  $W_2$  is chordal. The theorem is a consequence of (3).

- (19) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ , and objects  $v_1, v_2$ . Then there exists a walk  $W_1$  of  $G_1$  such that  $W_1$  is walk from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  if and only if there exists a walk  $W_2$  of  $G_2$  such that  $W_2$  is walk from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (15) and (14).

- (20) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ , a vertex  $v_1$  of  $G_1$ , and a vertex  $v_2$  of  $G_2$ . If  $v_1 = v_2$ , then  $G_1.\text{reachableFrom}(v_1) = G_2.\text{reachableFrom}(v_2)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (19).

- (21) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ . Then

(i)  $G_1.\text{componentSet}() = G_2.\text{componentSet}()$ , and

(ii)  $G_1.\text{numComponents}() = G_2.\text{numComponents}()$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (10) and (20).

Let  $G$  be a trivial graph and  $E$  be a set. Observe that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is trivial.

Let  $G$  be a non trivial graph. Let us observe that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is non trivial.

Now we state the propositions:

- (22) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ , a set  $v$ , and a subgraph  $G_3$  of  $G_1$  with vertex  $v$  removed. Then every subgraph of  $G_2$  with vertex  $v$  removed is a graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E \setminus G_1.\text{edgesInOut}(\{v\})$  of  $G_3$ . The theorem is a consequence of (11), (2), (3), and (6).
- (23) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ , a vertex  $v_1$  of  $G_1$ , and a vertex  $v_2$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $v_1 = v_2$ . Then
- (i)  $v_1$  is isolated iff  $v_2$  is isolated, and
  - (ii)  $v_1$  is endvertex iff  $v_2$  is endvertex, and
  - (iii)  $v_1$  is cut-vertex iff  $v_2$  is cut-vertex.

The theorem is a consequence of (3).

Let us consider  $G_2$ ,  $E$ , and a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G_2$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (24) (i)  $G_1.\text{order}() = G_2.\text{order}()$ , and
- (ii)  $G_1.\text{size}() = G_2.\text{size}()$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (4).

- (25) Suppose  $E \subseteq$  the edges of  $G_2$  and  $G_2$  is non-directed-multi and for every objects  $e_1, e_2, v_1, v_2$  such that  $e_1$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_2$  and  $e_2$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_2$  holds  $e_1, e_2 \in E$  or  $e_1 \notin E$  and  $e_2 \notin E$ . Then  $G_1$  is non-directed-multi.

PROOF: For every objects  $e_1, e_2, v_1, v_2$  such that  $e_1$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $G_1$  and  $e_2$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $G_1$  holds  $e_1 = e_2$ .  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a non-directed-multi graph. Let us note that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges of  $G$  is non-directed-multi.

Let  $G$  be a non non-directed-multi graph. Observe that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges of  $G$  is non non-directed-multi.

Let  $G$  be a non-multi graph and  $E$  be a set. One can verify that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is non-multi.

Let  $G$  be a non non-multi graph. Let us note that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is non non-multi.

Let  $G$  be a loopless graph. One can check that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is loopless.

Let  $G$  be a non loopless graph. One can check that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is non loopless.

Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Let us observe that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is connected.

Let  $G$  be a non connected graph. Observe that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is non connected.

Let  $G$  be an acyclic graph. Note that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is acyclic.

Let  $G$  be a non acyclic graph. One can verify that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is non acyclic.

Let  $G$  be a complete graph. Observe that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is complete.

Let  $G$  be a non complete graph. Observe that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is non complete.

Let  $G$  be a chordal graph. Note that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is chordal.

Let  $G$  be a finite graph. Let us note that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is finite.

Let  $G$  be a non finite graph. One can verify that every graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $E$  of  $G$  is non finite.

Now we state the propositions:

- (26) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges of  $G_2$ . Then
- (i) the source of  $G_1 =$  the target of  $G_2$ , and
  - (ii) the target of  $G_1 =$  the source of  $G_2$ .
- (27) Let us consider a graph  $G_1$  given by reversing directions of the edges of  $G_2$ , and objects  $v_1, e, v_2$ . Then  $e$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $G_2$  if and only if  $e$  joins  $v_2$  to  $v_1$  in  $G_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (26).

## 2. ADDING A VERTEX AND SEVERAL EDGES TO A GRAPH

Let us consider  $G, v$ , and  $V$ .

A supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G$  is a supergraph of  $G$  defined by

- (Def. 2) (i) the vertices of  $it =$  (the vertices of  $G$ )  $\cup \{v\}$  and the edges of  $it =$  (the edges of  $G$ )  $\cup (V \mapsto$  (the edges of  $G$ )) and the source of  $it =$  (the source of  $G$ )  $+ \cdot ((V \mapsto$  (the edges of  $G$ ))  $\mapsto v)$  and the target of  $it =$  (the target of  $G$ )  $+ \cdot \pi_1(V \boxtimes \{\text{the edges of } G\})$ , if  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G$ ,
- (ii)  $it \approx G$ , **otherwise**.

A supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G$  to  $v$  is a supergraph of  $G$  defined by

- (Def. 3) (i) the vertices of  $it = (\text{the vertices of } G) \cup \{v\}$  and the edges of  $it = (\text{the edges of } G) \cup (V \mapsto (\text{the edges of } G))$  and the source of  $it = (\text{the source of } G) + \cdot \pi_1(V \boxtimes \{\text{the edges of } G\})$  and the target of  $it = (\text{the target of } G) + \cdot ((V \mapsto (\text{the edges of } G)) \mapsto v)$ , **if**  $V \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G$  and  $v \notin \text{the vertices of } G$ ,
- (ii)  $it \approx G$ , **otherwise**.

A supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to the vertices of  $G$  is a supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to the vertices of  $G$  of  $G$ .

A supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from the vertices of  $G$  to  $v$  is a supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from the vertices of  $G$  of  $G$  to  $v$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (28) Let us consider supergraphs  $G_1, G_2$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G$ . Then  $G_1 \approx G_2$ .
- (29) Let us consider supergraphs  $G_1, G_2$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G$  to  $v$ . Then  $G_1 \approx G_2$ .
- (30) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G$ . Suppose  $G_1 \approx G_2$ . Then  $G_2$  is a supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G$ .
- (31) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G$  to  $v$ . Suppose  $G_1 \approx G_2$ . Then  $G_2$  is a supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G$  to  $v$ .
- (32) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G$ , and a supergraph  $G_2$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G$  to  $v$ . Then
- (i) the vertices of  $G_1 = \text{the vertices of } G_2$ , and
- (ii) the edges of  $G_1 = \text{the edges of } G_2$ .
- (33) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G_2$  and  $v \notin \text{the vertices of } G_2$ . Then  $G_1.\text{edgesOutOf}(\{v\}) = V \mapsto (\text{the edges of } G_2)$ .  
 PROOF: For every object  $e$ ,  $e \in G_1.\text{edgesOutOf}(\{v\})$  iff  $e \in V \mapsto (\text{the edges of } G_2)$ .  $\square$
- (34) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G_2$  to  $v$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G_2$  and  $v \notin \text{the vertices of } G_2$ . Then  $G_1.\text{edgesInto}(\{v\}) = V \mapsto (\text{the edges of } G_2)$ .

PROOF: For every object  $e$ ,  $e \in G_1.\text{edgesInto}(\{v\})$  iff  $e \in V \mapsto$  (the edges of  $G_2$ ).  $\square$

(35) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G$ , and a supergraph  $G_2$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G$  to  $v$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G$ . Then

- (i)  $G_2$  is a graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $G_1.\text{edgesOutOf}(\{v\})$  of  $G_1$ , and
- (ii)  $G_1$  is a graph given by reversing directions of the edges  $G_2.\text{edgesInto}(\{v\})$  of  $G_2$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (33) and (34).

(36) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G$ , a supergraph  $G_2$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G$  to  $v$ , and objects  $v_1, e, v_2$ . Then  $e$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_1$  if and only if  $e$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (35) and (9).

(37) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G$ , a supergraph  $G_2$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G$  to  $v$ , and an object  $w$ . Then  $w$  is a vertex of  $G_1$  if and only if  $w$  is a vertex of  $G_2$ .

(38) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Let us consider objects  $e_1, u$ . Then

- (i)  $e_1$  does not join  $u$  to  $v$  in  $G_1$ , and
- (ii) if  $u \notin V$ , then  $e_1$  does not join  $v$  to  $u$  in  $G_1$ , and
- (iii) for every object  $e_2$  such that  $e_1$  joins  $v$  to  $u$  in  $G_1$  and  $e_2$  joins  $v$  to  $u$  in  $G_1$  holds  $e_1 = e_2$ .

PROOF:  $e_1$  does not join  $u$  to  $v$  in  $G_1$ . If  $u \notin V$ , then  $e_1$  does not join  $v$  to  $u$  in  $G_1$ .  $e_1 \notin$  the edges of  $G_2$  and  $e_2 \notin$  the edges of  $G_2$ . Consider  $x_1, y_1$  being objects such that  $x_1 \in V$  and  $y_1 \in \{\text{the edges of } G_2\}$  and  $e_1 = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle$ . Consider  $x_2, y_2$  being objects such that  $x_2 \in V$  and  $y_2 \in \{\text{the edges of } G_2\}$  and  $e_2 = \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle$ .  $\square$

(39) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G_2$  to  $v$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Let us consider objects  $e_1, u$ . Then

- (i)  $e_1$  does not join  $v$  to  $u$  in  $G_1$ , and
- (ii) if  $u \notin V$ , then  $e_1$  does not join  $u$  to  $v$  in  $G_1$ , and

- (iii) for every object  $e_2$  such that  $e_1$  joins  $u$  to  $v$  in  $G_1$  and  $e_2$  joins  $u$  to  $v$  in  $G_1$  holds  $e_1 = e_2$ .

PROOF:  $e_1$  does not join  $v$  to  $u$  in  $G_1$ . If  $u \notin V$ , then  $e_1$  does not join  $u$  to  $v$  in  $G_1$ .  $e_1 \notin$  the edges of  $G_2$  and  $e_2 \notin$  the edges of  $G_2$ . Consider  $x_1, y_1$  being objects such that  $x_1 \in V$  and  $y_1 \in \{\text{the edges of } G_2\}$  and  $e_1 = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle$ . Consider  $x_2, y_2$  being objects such that  $x_2 \in V$  and  $y_2 \in \{\text{the edges of } G_2\}$  and  $e_2 = \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle$ .  $\square$

- (40) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Let us consider objects  $e, v_1, v_2$ . Suppose  $v_1 \neq v$ . If  $e$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $G_1$ , then  $e$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $G_2$ .

PROOF:  $e \in$  the edges of  $G_2$ .  $\square$

- (41) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G_2$  to  $v$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Let us consider objects  $e, v_1, v_2$ . Suppose  $v_2 \neq v$ . If  $e$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $G_1$ , then  $e$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $G_2$ .

PROOF:  $e \in$  the edges of  $G_2$ .  $\square$

- (42) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G_2$ , and an object  $v_1$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v_1 \in V$ . Then  $\langle v_1, \text{the edges of } G_2 \rangle$  joins  $v$  to  $v_1$  in  $G_1$ .

- (43) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G_2$  to  $v$ , and an object  $v_1$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v_1 \in V$ . Then  $\langle v_1, \text{the edges of } G_2 \rangle$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v$  in  $G_1$ .

Let us consider  $G, v, V$ , a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G$ , and a supergraph  $G_2$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G$  to  $v$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (44) Every walk of  $G_1$  is a walk of  $G_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (35) and (14).
- (45) Every walk of  $G_2$  is a walk of  $G_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (35) and (14).

Let us consider  $G, v$ , and  $V$ .

A supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$  is a supergraph of  $G$  defined by

- (Def. 4) (i) the vertices of  $it = (\text{the vertices of } G) \cup \{v\}$  and for every object  $e$ ,  $e$  does not join  $v$  and  $v$  in  $it$  and for every object  $v_1$ , if  $v_1 \notin V$ , then  $e$  does not join  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $it$  and for every object  $v_2$  such that  $v_1 \neq v$



and  $v_2 \neq v$  and  $e$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $it$  holds  $e$  joins  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  in  $G$  and there exists a set  $E$  such that  $\overline{V} = \overline{E}$  and  $E$  misses the edges of  $G$  and the edges of  $it = (\text{the edges of } G) \cup E$  and for every object  $v_1$  such that  $v_1 \in V$  there exists an object  $e_1$  such that  $e_1 \in E$  and  $e_1$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $it$  and for every object  $e_2$  such that  $e_2$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $it$  holds  $e_1 = e_2$ , **if**  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G$ ,

(ii)  $it \approx G$ , **otherwise**.

A supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and the vertices of  $G$  is a supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and the vertices of  $G$  of  $G$ .

One can verify that a supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $v$  to  $V$  of  $G$  is a supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$ .

Note that a supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges from  $V$  of  $G$  to  $v$  is a supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (46) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $\emptyset$  of  $G_2$ . Then the edges of  $G_2 =$  the edges of  $G_1$ .
- (47) Let us consider a non empty set  $V$ , and a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Then the edges of  $G_1 \neq \emptyset$ .
- (48) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$ . Suppose  $G_1 \approx G_2$ . Then  $G_2$  is a supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$ .
- (49) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ , and objects  $v_1, e, v_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v_1 \neq v$  and  $v_2 \neq v$  and  $e$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_1$ . Then  $e$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_2$ .
- (50) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Then  $v$  is a vertex of  $G_1$ .
- (51) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ , a set  $E$ , and objects  $v_1, e, v_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and the edges of  $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E$  and  $E$  misses the edges of  $G_2$  and  $e$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_1$  and  $e \notin$  the edges of  $G_2$ . Then

(i)  $e \in E$ , and

- (ii)  $v_1 = v$  and  $v_2 \in V$  or  $v_2 = v$  and  $v_1 \in V$ .
- (52) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ , and a set  $E$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and the edges of  $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E$  and  $E$  misses the edges of  $G_2$ . Then there exist functions  $f, g$  from  $E$  into  $V \cup \{v\}$  such that
- (i) the source of  $G_1 = (\text{the source of } G_2) + \cdot f$ , and
  - (ii) the target of  $G_1 = (\text{the target of } G_2) + \cdot g$ , and
  - (iii) for every object  $e$  such that  $e \in E$  holds  $e$  joins  $f(e)$  to  $g(e)$  in  $G_1$  and  $(f(e) = v \text{ iff } g(e) \neq v)$ .

PROOF: Consider  $E_1$  being a set such that  $\overline{V} = \overline{E_1}$  and  $E_1$  misses the edges of  $G_2$  and the edges of  $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E_1$  and for every object  $v_1$  such that  $v_1 \in V$  there exists an object  $e_1$  such that  $e_1 \in E_1$  and  $e_1$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  and for every object  $e_2$  such that  $e_2$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  holds  $e_1 = e_2$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{object}, \text{object}] \equiv$  there exists an object  $v_2$  such that  $\$1$  joins  $\$2$  to  $v_2$  in  $G_1$ . For every object  $e$  such that  $e \in E$  there exists an object  $v_1$  such that  $v_1 \in V \cup \{v\}$  and  $\mathcal{P}[e, v_1]$ .

Consider  $f$  being a function from  $E$  into  $V \cup \{v\}$  such that for every object  $e$  such that  $e \in E$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[e, f(e)]$ . Define  $\mathcal{Q}[\text{object}, \text{object}] \equiv \$1$  joins  $f(\$1)$  to  $\$2$  in  $G_1$ . For every object  $e$  such that  $e \in E$  there exists an object  $v_2$  such that  $v_2 \in V \cup \{v\}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}[e, v_2]$ .

Consider  $g$  being a function from  $E$  into  $V \cup \{v\}$  such that for every object  $e$  such that  $e \in E$  holds  $\mathcal{Q}[e, g(e)]$ . For every object  $e$  such that  $e \in \text{dom}(\text{the source of } G_1)$  holds  $(\text{the source of } G_1)(e) = ((\text{the source of } G_2) + \cdot f)(e)$ . For every object  $e$  such that  $e \in \text{dom}(\text{the target of } G_1)$  holds  $(\text{the target of } G_1)(e) = ((\text{the target of } G_2) + \cdot g)(e)$ .  $\square$

- (53) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Then the edges of  $G_2 = G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(\text{the vertices of } G_2)$ .  
 PROOF: Set  $B = G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(\text{the vertices of } G_2)$ . For every object  $e$ ,  $e \in$  the edges of  $G_2$  iff  $e \in B$ .  $\square$
- (54) Let us consider a graph  $G_2$ , sets  $v, V$ , and a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Then  $G_2$  is a subgraph of  $G_1$  with vertex  $v$  removed. The theorem is a consequence of (53).
- (55) Every supergraph of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $\emptyset$  of  $G_2$  is a supergraph of  $G_2$  extended by  $v$ . The theorem is a consequence of (46).

- (56) Let us consider an object  $v_1$ , and a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $\{v_1\}$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $v_1 \in$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Then there exists an object  $e$  such that
- (i)  $e \notin$  the edges of  $G_2$ , and
  - (ii)  $G_1$  is supergraph of  $G_2$  extended by vertices  $v, v_1$  and  $e$  between them or supergraph of  $G_2$  extended by vertices  $v_1, v$  and  $e$  between them.

The theorem is a consequence of (52).

- (57) Let us consider a subset  $W$  of  $V$ , and a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Then there exists a function  $f$  from  $W$  into  $G_1$ .edgesBetween( $W, \{v\}$ ) such that
- (i)  $f$  is one-to-one and onto, and
  - (ii) for every object  $w$  such that  $w \in W$  holds  $f(w)$  joins  $w$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$ .

PROOF: Consider  $E$  being a set such that  $\overline{V} = \overline{E}$  and  $E$  misses the edges of  $G_2$  and the edges of  $G_1 =$  (the edges of  $G_2$ )  $\cup$   $E$  and for every object  $v_1$  such that  $v_1 \in V$  there exists an object  $e_1$  such that  $e_1 \in E$  and  $e_1$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  and for every object  $e_2$  such that  $e_2$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  holds  $e_1 = e_2$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}$ [object, object]  $\equiv$   $\$$ <sub>2</sub> joins  $\$$ <sub>1</sub> and  $v$  in  $G_1$ . For every object  $w$  such that  $w \in W$  there exists an object  $e$  such that  $e \in G_1$ .edgesBetween( $W, \{v\}$ ) and  $\mathcal{P}[w, e]$ .

Consider  $f$  being a function from  $W$  into  $G_1$ .edgesBetween( $W, \{v\}$ ) such that for every object  $w$  such that  $w \in W$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[w, f(w)]$ . For every objects  $w_1, w_2$  such that  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  and  $f(w_1) = f(w_2)$  holds  $w_1 = w_2$ . For every object  $e$  such that  $e \in G_1$ .edgesBetween( $W, \{v\}$ ) holds  $e \in$  rng  $f$ .  $\square$

- (58) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $E$  misses the edges of  $G_2$  and the edges of  $G_1 =$  (the edges of  $G_2$ )  $\cup$   $E$ . Then  $E = G_1$ .edgesBetween( $V, \{v\}$ ).

PROOF: Consider  $E_1$  being a set such that  $\overline{V} = \overline{E_1}$  and  $E_1$  misses the edges of  $G_2$  and the edges of  $G_1 =$  (the edges of  $G_2$ )  $\cup$   $E_1$  and for every object  $v_1$  such that  $v_1 \in V$  there exists an object  $e_1$  such that  $e_1 \in E_1$  and  $e_1$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  and for every object  $e_2$  such that  $e_2$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  holds  $e_1 = e_2$ . For every object  $e, e \in E$  iff  $e \in G_1$ .edgesBetween( $V, \{v\}$ ).  $\square$

- (59) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices

of  $G_2$ . Then

- (i)  $G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V, \{v\})$  misses the edges of  $G_2$ , and
- (ii) the edges of  $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V, \{v\})$ .

PROOF:  $G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V, \{v\}) \cap (\text{the edges of } G_2) = \emptyset$ . For every object  $e$  such that  $e \in \text{the edges of } G_1$  holds  $e \in (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V, \{v\})$ .  $\square$

- (60) Let us consider a graph  $G_3$ , an object  $v$ , sets  $V_1, V_2$ , a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_3$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V_1 \cup V_2$  of  $G_3$ , and a subgraph  $G_2$  of  $G_1$  with edges  $G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V_2, \{v\})$  removed. Suppose  $V_1 \cup V_2 \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G_3$  and  $v \notin \text{the vertices of } G_3$  and  $V_1$  misses  $V_2$ . Then  $G_2$  is a supergraph of  $G_3$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V_1$  of  $G_3$ .

PROOF: Consider  $E$  being a set such that  $\overline{V_1 \cup V_2} = \overline{E}$  and  $E$  misses the edges of  $G_3$  and the edges of  $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_3) \cup E$  and for every object  $v_1$  such that  $v_1 \in V_1 \cup V_2$  there exists an object  $e_1$  such that  $e_1 \in E$  and  $e_1$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  and for every object  $e_2$  such that  $e_2$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  holds  $e_1 = e_2$ .  $E = G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V_1 \cup V_2, \{v\})$ . For every object  $e$  such that  $e \in \text{the edges of } G_3$  holds  $e \in (\text{the edges of } G_3) \setminus G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(V_2, \{v\})$ .  $G_2$  is a supergraph of  $G_3$ .  $\square$

- (61) Let us consider a graph  $G_3$ , an object  $v$ , a set  $V$ , a vertex  $v_1$  of  $G_3$ , and a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_3$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V \cup \{v_1\}$  of  $G_3$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G_3$  and  $v \notin \text{the vertices of } G_3$  and  $v_1 \notin V$ .

Then there exists a supergraph  $G_2$  of  $G_3$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_3$  and there exists an object  $e$  such that  $e \notin \text{the edges of } G_3$  and  $G_1$  is supergraph of  $G_2$  extended by  $e$  between vertices  $v$  and  $v_1$  or supergraph of  $G_2$  extended by  $e$  between vertices  $v_1$  and  $v$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $W = \{v_1\}$  as a subset of  $V \cup \{v_1\}$ . Consider  $f$  being a function from  $W$  into  $G_1.\text{edgesBetween}(W, \{v\})$  such that  $f$  is one-to-one and onto and for every object  $w$  such that  $w \in W$  holds  $f(w)$  joins  $w$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$ .  $f(v_1) \notin \text{the edges of } G_3$ .  $v$  is a vertex of  $G_1$ .  $\square$

- (62) Let us consider a graph  $G_3$ , an object  $v$ , a set  $V$ , a vertex  $v_1$  of  $G_3$ , an object  $e$ , and a supergraph  $G_2$  of  $G_3$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_3$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq \text{the vertices of } G_3$  and  $v \notin \text{the vertices of } G_3$  and  $v_1 \notin V$  and  $e \notin \text{the edges of } G_2$ .

Let us consider a graph  $G_1$ . Suppose  $G_1$  is supergraph of  $G_2$  extended by  $e$  between vertices  $v_1$  and  $v$  or supergraph of  $G_2$  extended by  $e$  between

vertices  $v$  and  $v_1$ . Then  $G_1$  is a supergraph of  $G_3$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V \cup \{v_1\}$  of  $G_3$ .

PROOF: Consider  $E$  being a set such that  $\overline{V} = \overline{E}$  and  $E$  misses the edges of  $G_3$  and the edges of  $G_2 = (\text{the edges of } G_3) \cup E$  and for every object  $v_1$  such that  $v_1 \in V$  there exists an object  $e_1$  such that  $e_1 \in E$  and  $e_1$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_2$  and for every object  $e_2$  such that  $e_2$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_2$  holds  $e_1 = e_2$ . Consider  $f$  being a function such that  $f$  is one-to-one and  $\text{dom } f = E$  and  $\text{rng } f = V$ . Set  $f_1 = f + \cdot(e_1 \rightarrow v_1)$ .  $\text{rng } f \cap \text{rng}(e_1 \rightarrow v_1) = \emptyset$ . For every object  $w$  such that  $w \in \text{rng } f \cup \text{rng}(e_1 \rightarrow v_1)$  holds  $w \in \text{rng } f_1$ .  $v$  is a vertex of  $G_2$  and  $v_1$  is a vertex of  $G_3$ .  $\square$

Let us consider  $G_2$ ,  $v$ ,  $V$ , a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ , and a walk  $W$  of  $G_1$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (63) Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Then
- (i) if  $W.\text{edges}() \subseteq$  the edges of  $G_2$  and  $W$  is not trivial, then  $v \notin W.\text{vertices}()$ , and
  - (ii) if  $v \notin W.\text{vertices}()$ , then  $W.\text{edges}() \subseteq$  the edges of  $G_2$ .

PROOF: Consider  $E$  being a set such that  $\overline{V} = \overline{E}$  and  $E$  misses the edges of  $G_2$  and the edges of  $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E$  and for every object  $v_1$  such that  $v_1 \in V$  there exists an object  $e_1$  such that  $e_1 \in E$  and  $e_1$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  and for every object  $e_2$  such that  $e_2$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  holds  $e_1 = e_2$ . For every object  $e$  such that  $e \in W.\text{edges}()$  holds  $e \in$  the edges of  $G_2$ .  $\square$

- (64) Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $(W.\text{edges}() \subseteq$  the edges of  $G_2$  and  $W$  is not trivial or  $v \notin W.\text{vertices}())$ . Then  $W$  is a walk of  $G_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (63).
- (65) If  $W.\text{vertices}() \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$ , then  $W.\text{edges}() \subseteq$  the edges of  $G_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (63).
- (66) Let us consider supergraphs  $G_1, G_2$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$ . Then
- (i) the vertices of  $G_1 =$  the vertices of  $G_2$ , and
  - (ii) every vertex of  $G_1$  is a vertex of  $G_2$ .

PROOF: The vertices of  $G_1 =$  the vertices of  $G_2$ .  $\square$

- (67) Let us consider supergraphs  $G_1, G_2$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$ , and objects  $v_1, e_1, v_2$ . Suppose  $e_1$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_1$ . Then there exists an object  $e_2$  such that  $e_2$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_2$ .

- (68) Let us consider supergraphs  $G_1, G_2$  of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$ . Then there exists a function  $f$  from the edges of  $G_1$  into the edges of  $G_2$  such that
- (i)  $f \upharpoonright (\text{the edges of } G) = \text{id}_\alpha$ , and
  - (ii)  $f$  is one-to-one and onto, and
  - (iii) for every objects  $v_1, e, v_2$  such that  $e$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_1$  holds  $f(e)$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G_2$ ,

where  $\alpha$  is the edges of  $G$ . The theorem is a consequence of (67), (47), and (51).

Let  $G$  be a loopless graph. Let us consider  $v$  and  $V$ . Observe that every supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$  is loopless.

Let  $G$  be a non-directed-multi graph. Let us note that every supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$  is non-directed-multi.

Let  $G$  be a non-multi graph. Note that every supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$  is non-multi.

Let  $G$  be a directed-simple graph. One can verify that every supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$  is directed-simple.

Let  $G$  be a simple graph. Let us observe that every supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$  is simple.

Now we state the proposition:

- (69) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ , a walk  $W$  of  $G_1$ , and vertices  $v_1, v_2$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $W.\text{first}() = v_1$  and  $W.\text{last}() = v_2$  and  $v_2 \notin G_2.\text{reachableFrom}(v_1)$ . Then  $v \in W.\text{vertices}()$ . The theorem is a consequence of (64).

Let us consider  $G_2, v, V$ , and a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (70) Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $G_2$  is acyclic and for every component  $G_3$  of  $G_2$  and for every vertices  $w_1, w_2$  of  $G_3$  such that  $w_1, w_2 \in V$  holds  $w_1 = w_2$ . Then  $G_1$  is acyclic.  
 PROOF: Consider  $E$  being a set such that  $\overline{V} = \overline{E}$  and  $E$  misses the edges of  $G_2$  and the edges of  $G_1 = (\text{the edges of } G_2) \cup E$  and for every object  $v_1$  such that  $v_1 \in V$  there exists an object  $e_1$  such that  $e_1 \in E$  and  $e_1$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  and for every object  $e_2$  such that  $e_2$  joins  $v_1$  and  $v$  in  $G_1$  holds  $e_1 = e_2$ . There exists no walk  $W$  of  $G_1$  such that  $W$  is cycle-like.  $\square$
- (71) Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and ( $G_2$  is not acyclic or there exists a component  $G_3$  of  $G_2$  and there exist vertices  $w_1, w_2$  of  $G_3$  such that  $w_1, w_2 \in V$  and  $w_1 \neq w_2$ ). Then  $G_1$  is not acyclic.

(72) Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and for every component  $G_3$  of  $G_2$ , there exists a vertex  $w$  of  $G_3$  such that  $w \in V$ . Then  $G_1$  is connected.

PROOF: For every vertex  $u$  of  $G_1$  such that  $u \neq v$  there exists a walk  $W_1$  of  $G_1$  such that  $W_1$  is walk from  $u$  to  $v$ . For every vertices  $u, w$  of  $G_1$ , there exists a walk  $W_1$  of  $G_1$  such that  $W_1$  is walk from  $u$  to  $w$ .  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a connected graph,  $v$  be an object, and  $V$  be a non empty set. Note that every supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$  is connected.

Let us consider  $G_2, v, V$ , and a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Now we state the propositions:

(73) Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and there exists a component  $G_3$  of  $G_2$  such that for every vertex  $w$  of  $G_3, w \notin V$ . Then  $G_1$  is not connected.

PROOF: Consider  $G_3$  being a component of  $G_2$  such that for every vertex  $w$  of  $G_3, w \notin V$ . Set  $v_1 =$  the vertex of  $G_3$ . There exists no walk  $W$  of  $G_1$  such that  $W$  is walk from  $v_1$  to  $v$ .  $\square$

(74) Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and there exists a component  $G_3$  of  $G_2$  such that the vertices of  $G_3$  misses  $V$ . Then  $G_1$  is not connected. The theorem is a consequence of (73).

Let  $G$  be a non connected graph and  $v$  be an object. One can check that every supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $\emptyset$  of  $G$  is non connected.

(75) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Then  $G_1$  is complete if and only if  $G_2$  is complete and  $V =$  the vertices of  $G_2$ .

PROOF: For every vertices  $u, v$  of  $G_1$  such that  $u \neq v$  holds  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a complete graph. Observe that every supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex the vertices of  $G$  and edges between the vertices of  $G$  and the vertices of  $G$  is complete.

Now we state the propositions:

(76) Let us consider a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Then

(i)  $G_1.order() = G_2.order() + 1$ , and

(ii)  $G_1.size() = G_2.size() + \overline{V}$ .

- (77) Let us consider a finite graph  $G_2$ , an object  $v$ , a set  $V$ , and a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Then  $G_1.\text{order}() = G_2.\text{order}() + 1$ .
- (78) Let us consider a finite graph  $G_2$ , an object  $v$ , a finite set  $V$ , and a supergraph  $G_1$  of  $G_2$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G_2$ . Suppose  $V \subseteq$  the vertices of  $G_2$  and  $v \notin$  the vertices of  $G_2$ . Then  $G_1.\text{size}() = G_2.\text{size}() + \overline{V}$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite graph,  $v$  be an object, and  $V$  be a set. One can verify that every supergraph of  $G$  extended by vertex  $v$  and edges between  $v$  and  $V$  of  $G$  is finite.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Kornilowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [3] Lowell W. Beineke and Robin J. Wilson, editors. *Selected Topics in Graph Theory*. Academic Press, London, 1978. ISBN 0-12-086250-6.
- [4] John Adrian Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. *Graph Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 244. Springer, New York, 2008. ISBN 978-1-84628-969-9.
- [5] Sebastian Koch. About supergraphs. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 26(2):101–124, 2018. doi:10.2478/forma-2018-0009.
- [6] Gilbert Lee. Walks in graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):253–269, 2005.
- [7] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Abian’s fixed point theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(3):335–338, 1997.
- [8] Klaus Wagner. *Graphentheorie*. B.I-Hochschultaschenbücher; 248. Bibliograph. Inst., Mannheim, 1970. ISBN 3-411-00248-4.
- [9] Robin James Wilson. *Introduction to Graph Theory*. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, 1972. ISBN 0-05-002534-1.

Accepted June 29, 2018

---