

On the Intersection of Fields F with F[X]

Christoph Schwarzweller Institute of Informatics University of Gdańsk Poland

Summary. This is the third part of a four-article series containing a Mizar [3], [1], [2] formalization of Kronecker's construction about roots of polynomials in field extensions, i.e. that for every field F and every polynomial $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ there exists a field extension E of F such that p has a root over E. The formalization follows Kronecker's classical proof using $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ as the desired field extension E [6], [4], [5].

In the first part we show that an irreducible polynomial $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ has a root over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Note, however, that this statement cannot be true in a rigid formal sense: We do not have $F \subseteq F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ as sets, so F is not a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$, and hence formally p is not even a polynomial over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Consequently, we translate p along the canonical monomorphism $\phi: F \longrightarrow F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ and show that the translated polynomial $\phi(p)$ has a root over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$.

Because F is not a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ we construct in the second part the field $(E \setminus \phi F) \cup F$ for a given monomorphism $\phi : F \longrightarrow E$ and show that this field both is isomorphic to F and includes F as a subfield. In the literature this part of the proof usually consists of saying that "one can identify F with its image ϕF in $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ and therefore consider F as a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ ". Interestingly, to do so we need to assume that $F \cap E = \emptyset$, in particular Kronecker's construction can be formalized for fields F with $F \cap F[X] = \emptyset$.

Surprisingly, as we show in this third part, this condition is not automatically true for arbitrary fields F: With the exception of \mathbb{Z}_2 we construct for every field F an isomorphic copy F' of F with $F' \cap F'[X] \neq \emptyset$. We also prove that for Mizar's representations of \mathbb{Z}_n , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} we have $\mathbb{Z}_n \cap \mathbb{Z}_n[X] = \emptyset$, $\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}[X] = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{R}[X] = \emptyset$, respectively.

In the fourth part we finally define field extensions: E is a field extension of F iff F is a subfield of E. Note, that in this case we have $F \subseteq E$ as sets, and thus a polynomial p over F is also a polynomial over E. We then apply the construction of the second part to $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ with the canonical monomorphism

> C 2019 University of Białystok CC-BY-SA License ver. 3.0 or later ISSN 1426-2630(Print), 1898-9934(Online)

 $\phi: F \longrightarrow F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Together with the first part this gives – for fields F with $F \cap F[X] = \emptyset$ – a field extension E of F in which $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ has a root.

MSC: 12E05 12F05 68T99 03B35

Keywords: roots of polynomials; field extensions; Kronecker's construction MML identifier: FIELD.3, version: 8.1.09 5.59.1363

1. Preliminaries

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Let us consider a natural number n, and an object x. If $n = \{x\}$, then x = 0.
- (2) Let us consider a natural number n, and objects x, y. If $n = \{x, y\}$ and $x \neq y$, then x = 0 and y = 1 or x = 1 and y = 0.
- (3) Let us consider a natural number n. If 1 < n, then $0_{\mathbb{Z}/n} = 0$.
- (4) $1_{\mathbb{Z}/2} + 1_{\mathbb{Z}/2} = 0_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. The theorem is a consequence of (3).
- (5) Let us consider a ring R, and a non zero natural number n. Then power_R $(0_R, n) = 0_R$.

One can verify that $\mathbb{Z}/3$ is non degenerated and almost left invertible and there exists a field which is finite and there exists a field which is infinite.

Let L be a non empty double loop structure. We say that L is almost trivial if and only if

(Def. 1) for every element a of L, $a = 1_L$ or $a = 0_L$.

Observe that every ring which is degenerated is also almost trivial and there exists a field which is non almost trivial.

Now we state the proposition:

(6) Let us consider a ring R. Then R is almost trivial if and only if R is degenerated or R and Z/2 are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (4).

Let R be a ring and a be an element of R. We say that a is trivial if and only if

(Def. 2) $a = 1_R$ or $a = 0_R$.

Let R be a non almost trivial ring. One can verify that there exists an element of R which is non trivial.

Let R be a ring. We say that R is polynomial-disjoint if and only if (Def. 3) $\Omega_R \cap \Omega_{\text{PolyRing}(R)} = \emptyset$.

2. Some Negative Results

Let R be a non almost trivial ring, x be a non trivial element of R, and o be an object. The functor carr(x, o) yielding a non empty set is defined by the term

(Def. 4) $\Omega_R \setminus \{x\} \cup \{o\}.$

Let a, b be elements of carr(x, o). The functor addR(a, b) yielding an element of carr(x, o) is defined by the term

(the addition of R) (x, x) ,	if $a = o$ and $b = o$ and
	(the addition of R) $(x, x) \neq x$,
(the addition of R) (a, x) ,	if $a \neq o$ and $b = o$ and
	(the addition of R) $(a, x) \neq x$,
(the addition of R) (x, b) ,	if $a = o$ and $b \neq o$ and
	(the addition of R) $(x, b) \neq x$,
(the addition of R) (a, b) ,	if $a \neq o$ and $b \neq o$ and
	(the addition of R) $(a, b) \neq x$,
<i>o</i> ,	otherwise.
	(the addition of R) (x, x) , (the addition of R) (a, x) , (the addition of R) (x, b) , (the addition of R) (a, b) , o,

The functor $\operatorname{addR}(x, o)$ yielding a binary operation on $\operatorname{carr}(x, o)$ is defined by

(Def. 6) for every elements a, b of $\operatorname{carr}(x, o), it(a, b) = \operatorname{addR}(a, b)$.

Let a, b be elements of carr(x, o). The functor mult $\mathbf{R}(a, b)$ yielding an element of carr(x, o) is defined by the term

(the multiplication of R) (x, x) ,	if $a = o$ and $b = o$ and
	(the multiplication of R) $(x, x) \neq x$,
(the multiplication of R) (a, x) ,	if $a \neq o$ and $b = o$ and
	(the multiplication of R) $(a, x) \neq x$,
(the multiplication of R) (x, b) ,	if $a = o$ and $b \neq o$ and
	(the multiplication of R) $(x, b) \neq x$,
(the multiplication of R) (a, b) ,	if $a \neq o$ and $b \neq o$ and
	(the multiplication of R) $(a, b) \neq x$,
о,	otherwise.
	 (the multiplication of R)(x, x), (the multiplication of R)(a, x), (the multiplication of R)(x, b), (the multiplication of R)(a, b), o,

The functor $\operatorname{multR}(x, o)$ yielding a binary operation on $\operatorname{carr}(x, o)$ is defined by

(Def. 8) for every elements a, b of $\operatorname{carr}(x, o), it(a, b) = \operatorname{multR}(a, b)$.

Let F be a non almost trivial field and x be a non trivial element of F. The functor ExField(x, o) yielding a strict double loop structure is defined by

(Def. 9) the carrier of $it = \operatorname{carr}(x, o)$ and the addition of $it = \operatorname{addR}(x, o)$ and the multiplication of $it = \operatorname{multR}(x, o)$ and the one of $it = 1_F$ and the zero of $it = 0_F$. One can check that ExField(x, o) is non degenerated and ExField(x, o) is Abelian.

From now on o denotes an object, F denotes a non almost trivial field, and x, a denote elements of F.

Let us consider a non trivial element x of F and an object o. Now we state the propositions:

- (7) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then ExField(x, o) is right zeroed and right complementable.
- (8) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then ExField(x, o) is add-associative.

Let F be a non almost trivial field, x be a non trivial element of F, and o be an object. One can verify that ExField(x, o) is commutative.

Let us consider a non trivial element x of F and an object o. Now we state the propositions:

- (9) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then ExField(x, o) is well unital.
- (10) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then ExField(x, o) is associative.
- (11) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then ExField(x, o) is distributive.
- (12) If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then ExField(x, o) is almost left invertible.
- (13) Let us consider a non trivial element x of F, and a ring P. Suppose $P = \text{ExField}(x, \langle 0_F, 1_F \rangle)$. Then $\langle 0_F, 1_F \rangle \in \Omega_P \cap \Omega_{\text{PolyRing}(P)}$.
- (14) There exists a field K such that $\Omega_K \cap \Omega_{\text{PolyRing}(K)} \neq \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (8), (10), (9), (12), (11), and (13).

In the sequel n denotes a non zero natural number.

- (15) There exists a field K and there exists a polynomial p over K such that deg p = n and $p \in \Omega_K \cap \Omega_{\text{PolyRing}(K)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (8), (10), (9), (12), (11), and (5).
- (16) There exists a field K and there exists an object x such that $x \notin \operatorname{rng}(\text{the canonical homomorphism of } K \text{ into quotient field}) and <math>x \in \Omega_K \cap \Omega_{\operatorname{PolyRing}(K)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (8), (10), (9), (12), (11), and (13).

Let us note that there exists a field which is non polynomial-disjoint.

Let F be a non almost trivial field, x be a non trivial element of F, and o be an object. The functor isoR(x, o) yielding a function from F into ExField(x, o)is defined by

- (Def. 10) it(x) = o and for every element a of F such that $a \neq x$ holds it(a) = a. One can check that isoR(x, o) is onto. Now we state the propositions:
 - (17) Let us consider a non trivial element x of F, and an object o. If $o \notin \Omega_F$, then isoR(x, o) is one-to-one.

(18) Let us consider a non trivial element x of F, and an object u. Suppose $u \notin \Omega_F$. Then isoR(x, u) is additive, multiplicative, and unity-preserving. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (10), (8), (9), and (11).

Let us consider a non almost trivial field F. Now we state the propositions:

- (19) There exists a non polynomial-disjoint field K such that K and F are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), and (18).
- (20) There exists a non polynomial-disjoint field K and there exists a polynomial p over K such that K and F are isomorphic and deg p = n and $p \in \Omega_K \cap \Omega_{\text{PolyRing}(K)}$. The theorem is a consequence of (7), (8), (10), (9), (12), (11), (5), and (18).

3. An Intuitive "Solution"

Let R be a ring. We say that R is flat if and only if

- (Def. 11) for every elements a, b of R, rk(a) = rk(b). One can check that there exists a ring which is flat. Now we state the proposition:
 - (21) Let us consider a flat ring R, and a polynomial p over R. Then $p \notin \Omega_R$. Note that every flat ring is polynomial-disjoint.
 - (22) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R. Suppose $0 \in$ the carrier of R. Then R is not flat.

One can check that $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is non flat and $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is non flat and $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is non flat. Let *n* be a non trivial natural number. One can verify that \mathbb{Z}/n is non flat.

4. Some Positive Results

Now we state the proposition:

(23) Let us consider a ring R, a polynomial p over R, and a natural number n. Then $p \neq n$.

Let n be a non trivial natural number. Let us observe that \mathbb{Z}/n is polynomialdisjoint and there exists a finite field which is polynomial-disjoint.

(24) Let us consider a ring R, a polynomial p over R, and an integer i. Then $p \neq i$. The theorem is a consequence of (23).

One can verify that $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is polynomial-disjoint.

(25) Let us consider a ring R, a polynomial p over R, and a rational number r. Then $p \neq r$.

Observe that $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is polynomial-disjoint. Now we state the proposition:

(26) Let us consider a ring R, a polynomial p over R, and a real number r. Then $p \neq r$.

Note that \mathbb{R}_{F} is polynomial-disjoint and there exists an infinite field which is polynomial-disjoint.

Let R be a polynomial-disjoint ring. Let us observe that PolyRing(R) is polynomial-disjoint.

Let F be a field and p be an element of $\Omega_{\text{PolyRing}(F)}$. One can check that

 $\frac{\operatorname{PolyRing}(F)}{\{p\}-\operatorname{ideal}}$ is polynomial-disjoint. Let F be a polynomial-disjoint field and p be a non constant element of the carrier of $\operatorname{PolyRing}(F)$. One can check that $\operatorname{PolyRing}(p)$ is polynomialdisjoint.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pak, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, Intelligent Computer Mathematics, volume 9150 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8_17.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Ma-tuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pak. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. Journal of Automated Reasoning, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [3] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Christoph Schwarzweller. On algebraic hierarchies in mathematical repository of Mizar. In M. Ganzha, L. Maciaszek, and M. Paprzycki, editors, Proceedings of the 2016 Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems (FedCSIS), volume 8 of Annals of Computer Science and Information Systems, pages 363–371, 2016. doi:10.15439/2016F520.
- [4] Nathan Jacobson. Basic Algebra I. Dover Books on Mathematics, 1985.
- [5] Heinz Lüneburg. Gruppen, Ringe, Körper: Die grundlegenden Strukturen der Algebra. Oldenbourg Verlag, 1999.
- [6] Knut Radbruch. Algebra I. Lecture Notes, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany, 1991.

Accepted August 29, 2019