FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Vol. 33, No. 1, pp. 43–54, 2025
DOI: 10.2478/forma-2025-0004
e-ISSN: 1898–9934

sciendo.com/journal/forma

Free Product of Groups

Sebastian Koch[1]
Mainz, Germany

Summary. In this article the free product of groups is formalized in the Mizar system.

MSC: 20E06 68V20

Keywords: free groups; free-abelian groups; free product

MML identifier: GR_FREE0, version: 8.1.14 5.91.1490

Introduction

The concept of free groups and the free product of groups is widely known, cf. [1], [14], [17] for example. However, a formalization in the Mizar system (cf. [8], [12]) has not taken place until now. This article was primarily written as a necessary precursor to the formalization of the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem, hence the formalization loosely follows that of [13] and doesn't go into much detail about the properties of the free product or free groups.

After the preliminaries the *free atoms* of a family of groups $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ are introduced: they are the set of all pairs of the form (i, g) with $i \in I$ and $g \in G_i$. This choice allows for the G_i to have non-empty intersections with each other, or all be the same even. The typical reduction relation for free products is then defined on the set of all finite sequences of free atoms. Afterwards the free product naturally appears as the quotient of the finite sequences of free atoms and the equivalence closure of the reduction relation.

[1]mailto: fly.high.android@gmail.com

1. Preliminaries

Let us consider a finite sequence p. Now we state the propositions:

(1) If $\operatorname{len} p \neq 0$, then $p \restriction 1 = \langle p(1) \rangle$.

(2) If $\operatorname{len} p \neq 0$, then $p_{\lfloor \operatorname{len} p -' 1} = \langle p(\operatorname{len} p) \rangle$.

Let us consider a function f and an object x. Now we state the propositions:

(3) If $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$, then $(\operatorname{uncurry}\langle f \rangle)(1, x) = f(x)$.

(4) If $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$, then $(\operatorname{commute}(\langle f \rangle))(x) = \langle f(x) \rangle$.

Let X be a finite sequence-membered set and R be a binary relation on X. One can verify that every reduction sequence w.r.t. R which is non trivial is also finite sequence-yielding.

Now we state the proposition:

(5) Let us consider a non empty set I, an element i of I, and a group family F of I. If I is trivial, then $F(i)$ and $\prod F$ are isomorphic.

Observe that $\langle \emptyset^*, ^\frown \rangle$ is non empty and trivial and $\langle \emptyset^*, ^\frown, \varepsilon \rangle$ is non empty and trivial.

2. Free Product of Groups

From now on x, y, z denote objects, X denotes a set, I denotes a non empty set, i, j denote elements of I, M_0 denotes a multiplicative magma yielding function, M denotes a non empty, multiplicative magma yielding function, M_1, M_2, M_3 denote non empty multiplicative magmas, G denotes a group-like multiplicative magma family of I, and H denotes a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family of I.

Let us consider M_0. The functor FreeAtoms(M_0) yielding a binary relation is defined by the term

(Def. 1) G_α, where α is the support of M_0.

Now we state the propositions:

(6) $\langle x, y \rangle \in \operatorname{FreeAtoms}(M_0)$ if and only if $x \in \operatorname{dom} M_0$ and $y \in$ (the support of $M_0)(x)$.

(7) Let us consider an element i of $\operatorname{dom} M$. Then $\langle i, x \rangle \in \operatorname{FreeAtoms}(M)$ if and only if $x \in$ the carrier of $M(i)$. The theorem is a consequence of (6).

(8) Let us consider a multiplicative magma family N of I. Then $\langle i, x \rangle \in \operatorname{FreeAtoms}(N)$ if and only if $x \in$ the carrier of $N(i)$. The theorem is a consequence of (6).

(9) $M_0 = \emptyset$ if and only if $\operatorname{FreeAtoms}(M_0) = \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (7).

Observe that $\text{FreeAtoms}(\emptyset)$ is empty.

Let us consider M. One can verify that $\text{FreeAtoms}(M)$ is non empty.

Let us consider I and G. Let us observe that $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$ is non empty.

Now we state the propositions:

(10) $\text{FreeAtoms}(M) = \bigcup$ the set of all $\{i\} \times$ (the carrier of $M(i)$) where i is an element of $\operatorname{dom} M$. The theorem is a consequence of (6) and (7).

(11) $\text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1 \rangle) = \{1\} \times$ (the carrier of M_1).

(12) $\text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle) = \{1\} \times$ (the carrier of M_1) $\cup \{2\} \times$ (the carrier of M_2).

(13) $\text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2, M_3 \rangle) = (\{1\} \times$ (the carrier of M_1) $\cup \{2\} \times$ (the carrier of M_2)) $\cup \{3\} \times$ (the carrier of M_3).

(14) Let us consider an element x_1 of M_1. Then

(i) $\langle 1, x_1 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1 \rangle)$, and

(ii) $\langle 1, x_1 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle)$, and

(iii) $\langle 1, x_1 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2, M_3 \rangle)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (11), (12), and (13).

(15) Let us consider an element x_2 of M_2. Then

(i) $\langle 2, x_2 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle)$, and

(ii) $\langle 2, x_2 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2, M_3 \rangle)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (12) and (13).

(16) Let us consider an element x_3 of M_3. Then $\langle 3, x_3 \rangle \in \text{FreeAtoms}(\langle M_1, M_2, M_3 \rangle)$. The theorem is a consequence of (13).

(17) $\text{FreeAtoms}(X \longmapsto M_1) = X \times$ (the carrier of M_1).

Let us consider a multiplicative magma yielding function N_0. Now we state the propositions:

(18) $\text{FreeAtoms}(M_0 {+\cdot} N_0) \subseteq \text{FreeAtoms}(M_0) \cup \text{FreeAtoms}(N_0)$. The theorem is a consequence of (6).

(19) If M_0 tolerates N_0, then $\text{FreeAtoms}(M_0 {+\cdot} N_0) = \text{FreeAtoms}(M_0) \cup \text{FreeAtoms}(N_0)$. The theorem is a consequence of (18) and (6).

Now we state the proposition:

(20) Let us consider a finite sequence p of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$. Then there exists a finite sequence q of elements of $\text{FreeAtoms}(G)$ such that

(i) $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} q$, and

(ii) for every natural number k and for every element i of I and for every element g of $G(i)$ such that $p(k) = \langle i, g \rangle$ there exists an element h of $G(i)$ such that $g \cdot h = \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}$ and $(\text{Rev}(q))(k) = \langle i, h \rangle$.

Proof: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{object}, \text{object}] \equiv$ there exists an element i of I and there exist elements g, h of $G(i)$ such that $p(\$_1) = \langle i, g\rangle$ and $g \cdot h = \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}$ and $\$_2 = \langle i, h\rangle$. Consider q' being a finite sequence of elements of FreeAtoms(G) such that $\operatorname{dom} q' = \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{len} p$ and for every natural number k such that $k \in \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{len} p$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k, q'(k)]$ from [7, Sch. 5]. □

In the sequel p, q denote finite sequences of elements of FreeAtoms(H), g, h denote elements of $H(i)$, and k denotes a natural number.

Now we state the propositions:

(21) There exists q such that

(i) $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} q$, and

(ii) for every k, i, and g such that $p(k) = \langle i, g\rangle$ holds $(\operatorname{Rev}(q))(k) = \langle i, g^{-1}\rangle$.

The theorem is a consequence of (20).

(22) Let us consider an element g of $G(i)$. Then $\langle\langle i, g\rangle\rangle$ is a finite sequence of elements of FreeAtoms(G). The theorem is a consequence of (8).

(23) Let us consider an element g of $G(i)$, and an element h of $G(j)$. Then $\langle\langle i, g\rangle, \langle j, h\rangle\rangle$ is a finite sequence of elements of FreeAtoms(G). The theorem is a consequence of (8).

Let I be a set and G be a group-like multiplicative magma family of I. The functor ReductionRel(G) yielding a binary relation on $\langle \text{FreeAtoms}(G)^*, ^\frown, \varepsilon\rangle$ is defined by

(Def. 2) if I is empty, then $it = \emptyset$ and if I is not empty, then there exists a non empty set I' and there exists a group-like multiplicative magma family G' of I' such that $I = I'$ and $G = G'$ and for every finite sequences p, q of elements of FreeAtoms(G'), $\langle p, q\rangle \in it$ iff there exist finite sequences s, t of elements of FreeAtoms(G') and there exists an element i of I' such that $p = (s ^\frown \langle\langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G'(i)}\rangle\rangle) ^\frown t$ and $q = s ^\frown t$ or there exist finite sequences s, t of elements of FreeAtoms(G') and there exists an element i of I' and there exist elements g, h of $G'(i)$ such that $p = (s ^\frown \langle\langle i, g\rangle, \langle i, h\rangle\rangle) ^\frown t$ and $q = (s ^\frown \langle\langle i, g \cdot h\rangle\rangle) ^\frown t$.

Let us consider I and G. Note that the functor ReductionRel(G) is defined by

(Def. 3) for every finite sequences p, q of elements of FreeAtoms(G), $\langle p, q\rangle \in it$ iff there exist finite sequences s, t of elements of FreeAtoms(G) and there exists an element i of I such that $p = (s ^\frown \langle\langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}\rangle\rangle) ^\frown t$ and $q = s ^\frown t$ or there exist finite sequences s, t of elements of FreeAtoms(G) and there exists an element i of I and there exist elements g, h of $G(i)$ such that $p = (s ^\frown \langle\langle i, g\rangle, \langle i, h\rangle\rangle) ^\frown t$ and $q = (s ^\frown \langle\langle i, g \cdot h\rangle\rangle) ^\frown t$.

Now we state the propositions:

(24) Let us consider finite sequences p, q, r of elements of FreeAtoms(G). Suppose $\langle p, q\rangle \in$ ReductionRel(G). Then $\langle p \frown r, q \frown r\rangle$, $\langle r \frown p, r \frown q\rangle \in$ ReductionRel(G).

(25) Let us consider finite sequences p, q of elements of FreeAtoms(G), and elements g, h of $G(i)$. Then $\langle (p \frown \langle\langle i, g\rangle, \langle i, h\rangle\rangle) \frown q, (p \frown \langle\langle i, g \cdot h\rangle\rangle) \frown q\rangle \in$ ReductionRel(G). The theorem is a consequence of (8).

(26) Let us consider elements g, h of $G(i)$. Then $\langle\langle\langle i, g\rangle, \langle i, h\rangle\rangle, \langle\langle i, g \cdot h\rangle\rangle\rangle \in$ ReductionRel(G). The theorem is a consequence of (25).

(27) Let us consider finite sequences p, q of elements of FreeAtoms(G). Then $\langle (p \frown \langle\langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}\rangle\rangle) \frown q, p \frown q\rangle \in$ ReductionRel(G). The theorem is a consequence of (8).

(28) $\langle\langle\langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}\rangle\rangle, \emptyset\rangle \in$ ReductionRel(G). The theorem is a consequence of (27).

(29) (i) dom(ReductionRel(G)) $\subseteq$ (FreeAtoms(G))*, and

(ii) rng ReductionRel(G) $=$ (FreeAtoms(G))*, and

(iii) field ReductionRel(G) $=$ (FreeAtoms(G))*.

The theorem is a consequence of (27).

(30) Let us consider objects x, y. Suppose $\langle x, y\rangle \in$ ReductionRel(G). Then

(i) x is a finite sequence of elements of FreeAtoms(G), and

(ii) y is a finite sequence of elements of FreeAtoms(G).

The theorem is a consequence of (29).

(31) Let us consider finite sequences p, q of elements of FreeAtoms(G), and elements g, h of $G(i)$. Suppose $g \cdot h = \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}$. Then ReductionRel($G$) reduces $(p \frown \langle\langle i, g\rangle, \langle i, h\rangle\rangle) \frown q$ to $p \frown q$. The theorem is a consequence of (25) and (27).

(32) Let us consider finite sequences p, q of elements of FreeAtoms(G). Suppose $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} q$ and for every natural number k and for every element i of I and for every elements g, h of $G(i)$ such that $p(k) = \langle i, g\rangle$ and $g \cdot h = \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}$ holds $(\operatorname{Rev}(q))(k) = \langle i, h\rangle$. Then ReductionRel($G$) reduces $p \frown q$ to $\emptyset$.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{S}[\text{finite sequence}, \text{finite sequence}] \equiv$ if $\operatorname{len} \$_1 = \operatorname{len} \$_2$ and for every natural number k and for every element i of I and for every elements g, h of $G(i)$ such that $\$_1(k) = \langle i, g\rangle$ and $g \cdot h = \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}$ holds $(\operatorname{Rev}(\$_2))(k) = \langle i, h\rangle$, then ReductionRel($G$) reduces $\$_1 \frown \$_2$ to $\emptyset$. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ for every finite sequences p, q of elements of FreeAtoms(G) such that $\operatorname{len} p = \$_1$ holds $\mathcal{S}[p, q]$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [7, (34)], [6, (12)]. For every natural number n such that $\mathcal{P}[n]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[n+1]$ by [9, (19), (16)], [10, (63)], [18, (29)]. For every natural number n, $\mathcal{P}[n]$ from [5, Sch. 2]. $\square$

(33) Suppose $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} q$ and for every k, i, and g such that $p(k) = \langle i, g\rangle$ holds $(\operatorname{Rev}(q))(k) = \langle i, g^{-1}\rangle$. Then

(i) ReductionRel(H) reduces $p \frown q$ to $\emptyset$, and

(ii) ReductionRel(H) reduces $q \frown p$ to $\emptyset$.

Proof: For every k, i, and h such that $q(k) = \langle i, h\rangle$ holds $(\operatorname{Rev}(p))(k) = \langle i, h^{-1}\rangle$ by [10, (2)], [11, (3)], (6), (8). $\square$

(34) Let us consider finite sequences p, q. Suppose $\langle p, q\rangle \in$ ReductionRel(G). Then $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} q + 1$. The theorem is a consequence of (30).

(35) Let us consider finite sequences p, q of elements of FreeAtoms(G). Suppose ReductionRel(G) reduces p to q. Then

(i) $p = q$, or

(ii) $\operatorname{len} q < \operatorname{len} p$.

Proof: Consider r being a reduction sequence w.r.t. ReductionRel(G) such that $r(1) = p$ and $r(\operatorname{len} r) = q$. Define $\mathcal{P}$[natural number] $\equiv$ if $\$_1 < \operatorname{len} r$, then $\operatorname{len} r(\$_1 + 1) + \$_1 = \operatorname{len} p$. For every natural number k such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$ by [5, (13)], [18, (25)], (34). For every natural number k, $\mathcal{P}[k]$ from [5, Sch. 2]. $\square$

Let us consider I and G. Let us note that ReductionRel(G) is strongly-normalizing.

Now we state the propositions:

(36) $\emptyset$ is a normal form w.r.t. ReductionRel(G). The theorem is a consequence of (29) and (34).

(37) Let us consider an element g of $G(i)$. Suppose $g \neq \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}$. Then $\langle\langle i, g\rangle\rangle$ is a normal form w.r.t. ReductionRel(G). The theorem is a consequence of (29).

(38) Let us consider finite sequences p, q_1, q_2 of elements of FreeAtoms(G). Suppose $\langle p, q_1\rangle$, $\langle p, q_2\rangle \in$ ReductionRel(G) and $q_1 \neq q_2$. Then

(i) there exist finite sequences s, t of elements of FreeAtoms(G) and there exists an element i of I and there exist elements f, g, h of $G(i)$ such that $p = (s \frown \langle\langle i, f\rangle, \langle i, g\rangle, \langle i, h\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and ($q_1 = (s \frown \langle\langle i, f \cdot g\rangle, \langle i, h\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and $q_2 = (s \frown \langle\langle i, f\rangle, \langle i, g \cdot h\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ or $q_1 = (s \frown \langle\langle i, f\rangle, \langle i, g \cdot h\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and $q_2 = (s \frown \langle\langle i, f \cdot g\rangle, \langle i, h\rangle\rangle) \frown t$), or

(ii) there exist finite sequences r, s, t of elements of FreeAtoms(G) and there exist elements i, j of I such that $p = (((r \frown \langle\langle i, \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle j,$

$\mathbf{1}_{G(j)}\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and ($q_1 = ((r \frown s) \frown \langle\langle j,\ \mathbf{1}_{G(j)}\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and $q_2 = ((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown t$ or $q_1 = ((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ \mathbf{1}_{G(i)}\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown t$ and $q_2 = ((r \frown s) \frown \langle\langle j,\ \mathbf{1}_{G(j)}\rangle\rangle) \frown t$) or there exist elements g, h of $G(i)$ such that $p = (((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ g\rangle, \langle i,\ h\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle j,\ \mathbf{1}_{G(j)}\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and ($q_1 = (((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ g \cdot h\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle j,\ \mathbf{1}_{G(j)}\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and $q_2 = ((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ g\rangle, \langle i,\ h\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown t$ or $q_1 = ((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ g\rangle, \langle i,\ h\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown t$ and $q_2 = (((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ g \cdot h\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle j,\ \mathbf{1}_{G(j)}\rangle\rangle) \frown t$) or $p = (((r \frown \langle\langle j,\ \mathbf{1}_{G(j)}\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle i,\ g\rangle, \langle i,\ h\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and ($q_1 = (((r \frown \langle\langle j,\ \mathbf{1}_{G(j)}\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle i,\ g \cdot h\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and $q_2 = ((r \frown s) \frown \langle\langle i,\ g\rangle, \langle i,\ h\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ or $q_1 = ((r \frown s) \frown \langle\langle i,\ g\rangle, \langle i,\ h\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and $q_2 = (((r \frown \langle\langle j,\ \mathbf{1}_{G(j)}\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle i,\ g \cdot h\rangle\rangle) \frown t$) or there exist elements g', h' of $G(j)$ such that $p = (((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ g\rangle, \langle i,\ h\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle j,\ g'\rangle, \langle j,\ h'\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and ($q_1 = (((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ g \cdot h\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle j,\ g'\rangle, \langle j,\ h'\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and $q_2 = (((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ g\rangle, \langle i,\ h\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle j,\ g' \cdot h'\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ or $q_1 = (((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ g\rangle, \langle i,\ h\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle j,\ g' \cdot h'\rangle\rangle) \frown t$ and $q_2 = (((r \frown \langle\langle i,\ g \cdot h\rangle\rangle) \frown s) \frown \langle\langle j,\ g'\rangle, \langle j,\ h'\rangle\rangle) \frown t$).

Let us consider I and H. One can verify that ReductionRel(H) is subcommutative and ReductionRel(H) is complete and has unique normal form property.

Now we state the propositions:

(39) Let us consider an element g of $H(i)$, and an element h of $H(j)$. Then $\langle\langle i,\ g\rangle\rangle$ and $\langle\langle j,\ h\rangle\rangle$ are convertible w.r.t. ReductionRel(H) if and only if $g = \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}$ and $h = \mathbf{1}_{H(j)}$ or $i = j$ and $g = h$. The theorem is a consequence of (8), (35), (29), (37), and (28).

(40) Let us consider finite sequences p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 of elements of FreeAtoms(G). Suppose ReductionRel(G) reduces p_1 to q_1 and ReductionRel(G) reduces p_2 to q_2. Then ReductionRel(G) reduces $p_1 \frown p_2$ to $q_1 \frown q_2$. The theorem is a consequence of (30) and (24).

(41) Suppose I is trivial. Let us consider a non empty finite sequence p of elements of FreeAtoms(G). Then there exists an element g of $G(i)$ such that ReductionRel(G) reduces p to $\langle\langle i,\ g\rangle\rangle$.

Proof: Define $\mathcal{P}$[natural number] $\equiv$ for every non empty finite sequence p of elements of FreeAtoms(G) such that $\operatorname{len} p = \$_1 + 1$ there exists an element g of $G(i)$ such that ReductionRel(G) reduces p to $\langle\langle i,\ g\rangle\rangle$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [7, (40)], [18, (25)], [9, (11)], (6). For every natural number k such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$ by [9, (19), (16)], (6), (8). For every natural number k, $\mathcal{P}[k]$ from [5, Sch. 2]. Consider k being a natural number such that $\operatorname{len} p = 1 + k$. $\square$

(42) Let us consider finite sequences p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 of elements of FreeAtoms(H). Suppose p_1 and q_1 are convertible w.r.t. ReductionRel(H) and p_2 and q_2 are convertible w.r.t. ReductionRel(H). Then $p_1 \frown p_2$ and $q_1 \frown q_2$ are convertible w.r.t. ReductionRel(H). The theorem is a consequence of (29)

and (40).

Let I be a set and H be a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family of I. One can verify that EqCl(ReductionRel(H)) is compatible.

Now we state the proposition:

(43) Suppose $p \frown q$ is a normal form w.r.t. ReductionRel(H) and $\operatorname{len} p \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{len} q \neq 0$. Then $(p(\operatorname{len} p))_{\mathbf{1}} \neq (q(1))_{\mathbf{1}}$. The theorem is a consequence of (6), (8), (2), and (1).

Let I be a set and H be a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family of I. The functor $*H$ yielding a strict multiplicative magma is defined by the term

(Def. 4) $\langle \mathrm{FreeAtoms}(H)^*, \frown, \varepsilon \rangle /_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$.

From now on s, t denote elements of $*H$.

Now we state the propositions:

(44) Let us consider a set I, and a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family H of I. Then $\mathbf{1}_{*H} = [\emptyset]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$.

(45) Let us consider an empty set I, and a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family H of I. Then the carrier of $*H = \{\mathbf{1}_{*H}\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (44).

Let I be a set and H be a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family of I. Note that $*H$ is group-like and non empty.

One can check that the functor $*H$ yields a strict group. Let I be an empty set. One can verify that $*H$ is trivial.

Now we state the proposition:

(46) Suppose $s = [p]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$ and $t = [q]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$. Then $s \cdot t = [p \frown q]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$.

Let us consider I, H, i, and g. The functor $[i, g]$ yielding an element of $*H$ is defined by the term

(Def. 5) $[\langle \langle i, g \rangle \rangle]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$.

Now we state the propositions:

(47) $\langle \langle i, g \rangle \rangle \in [i, g]$. The theorem is a consequence of (8).

(48) $[i, \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}] = \mathbf{1}_{*H}$. The theorem is a consequence of (8), (28), and (44).

(49) Let us consider an element g of $H(i)$, and an element h of $H(j)$. Then $[i, g] = [j, h]$ if and only if $g = \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}$ and $h = \mathbf{1}_{H(j)}$ or $i = j$ and $g = h$. The theorem is a consequence of (8) and (39).

(50) $[i, g] \cdot [i, h] = [i, g \cdot h]$. The theorem is a consequence of (8), (26), and (46).

(51) $[i, g]^{-1} = [i, g^{-1}]$. The theorem is a consequence of (50) and (48).

(52) Let us consider many sorted sets f, g indexed by I. Then $\mathrm{dom}(\mathrm{commute}(\langle\langle f, g\rangle\rangle)) = I$.

(53) Let us consider an element g of $G(i)$. Then $\langle\langle i, g\rangle\rangle = (\mathrm{commute}(\langle\langle(\text{the carrier of } G(i)) \longmapsto i, \mathrm{id}_\alpha\rangle\rangle))(g)$, where α is the carrier of $G(i)$. The theorem is a consequence of (4).

(54) $\mathrm{rng}\,\mathrm{commute}(\langle\langle(\text{the carrier of } G(i)) \longmapsto i, \mathrm{id}_\alpha\rangle\rangle) = (\{i\} \times (\text{the carrier of } G(i)))^1$, where α is the carrier of $G(i)$. The theorem is a consequence of (52) and (53).

Let us consider I, H, and i. The functor $\mathrm{injection}(H, i)$ yielding a function from $H(i)$ into $*H$ is defined by the term

(Def. 6) (the projection onto Classes EqCl(ReductionRel(H)))$\cdot$(commute($\langle\langle$(the carrier of $H(i)) \longmapsto i, \mathrm{id}_\alpha\rangle\rangle$)), where α is the carrier of $H(i)$.

Now we state the proposition:

(55) $(\mathrm{injection}(H, i))(g) = [i, g]$. The theorem is a consequence of (47), (52), and (53).

Let us consider I, H, and i. Let us observe that injection(H, i) is multiplicative and one-to-one.

Now we state the propositions:

(56) If I is trivial, then injection(H, i) is bijective. The theorem is a consequence of (41), (8), (55), (44), and (48).

(57) If I is trivial, then $H(i)$ and $*H$ are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (56).

Let us consider I, H, and s. The functor $\mathrm{nf}\, s$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of FreeAtoms(H) is defined by

(Def. 7) *it* $\in s$ and *it* is a normal form w.r.t. ReductionRel(H).

Now we state the propositions:

(58) If $s = [p]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$, then $\mathrm{nf}\, s = \mathrm{nf}_{\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H)}(p)$. The theorem is a consequence of (29).

(59) If $t = [\mathrm{nf}\, s{\restriction}k]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$, then $\mathrm{nf}\, t = \mathrm{nf}\, s{\restriction}k$.
Proof: $\mathrm{nf}\, s{\restriction}k$ is a normal form w.r.t. ReductionRel(H) by [3, (61)], [15, (8)], [7, (32), (36), (75)]. $\square$

(60) If $t = [(\mathrm{nf}\, s)_{\lfloor k}]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$, then $\mathrm{nf}\, t = (\mathrm{nf}\, s)_{\lfloor k}$.
Proof: $(\mathrm{nf}\, s)_{\lfloor k}$ is a normal form w.r.t. ReductionRel(H) by [3, (61)], [15, (8)], [7, (32), (36), (75)]. $\square$

(61) $\mathrm{nf}\, \mathbf{1}_{*H} = \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (44), (58), and (36).

(62) If $\mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{nf}\, s = 0$, then $s = \mathbf{1}_{*H}$. The theorem is a consequence of (44).

(63) If $g \neq \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}$, then $\mathrm{nf}[i, g] = \langle\langle i, g\rangle\rangle$. The theorem is a consequence of (37), (8), and (47).

(64) If $\mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{nf}\, s = 1$, then there exists i and there exists g such that $g \neq \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}$ and $s = [i, g]$. The theorem is a consequence of (6), (8), and (28).

(65) Suppose $((\mathrm{nf}\, s)(\mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{nf}\, s))_{\mathbf{1}} \neq ((\mathrm{nf}\, t)(1))_{\mathbf{1}}$. Then $\mathrm{nf}\, s \cdot t = \mathrm{nf}\, s \frown \mathrm{nf}\, t$.
PROOF: Consider p being an element of $\langle \mathrm{FreeAtoms}(H)^*, \frown, \varepsilon\rangle$ such that $s = [p]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$. Consider q being an element of $\langle \mathrm{FreeAtoms}(H)^*, \frown, \varepsilon\rangle$ such that $t = [q]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$. $s \cdot t = [p \frown q]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$. $\mathrm{nf}\, s \frown \mathrm{nf}\, t \in [p \frown q]_{\mathrm{EqCl}(\mathrm{ReductionRel}(H))}$ by [16, (18)], [4, (41)], (42). $\mathrm{nf}\, s \frown \mathrm{nf}\, t$ is a normal form w.r.t. ReductionRel(H) by (61), [7, (34)], [9, (16)], [18, (25)]. □

(66) Suppose $k \leqslant \mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{nf}\, s$. Then there exist elements s_1, s_2 of $*H$ such that

(i) $s = s_1 \cdot s_2$, and

(ii) $\mathrm{nf}\, s = \mathrm{nf}\, s_1 \frown \mathrm{nf}\, s_2$, and

(iii) $\mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{nf}\, s_1 = k$.

The theorem is a consequence of (46), (59), and (60).

Let us consider I and H. Let G be a group.

A homomorphism family of H and G is a function yielding many sorted set indexed by I defined by

(Def. 8) for every element i of I, $it(i)$ is a homomorphism from $H(i)$ to G.

The functor injection(H) yielding a homomorphism family of H and $*H$ is defined by

(Def. 9) for every element i of I, $it(i) = \mathrm{injection}(H, i)$.

Let G be a group and F be a homomorphism family of H and G. Let us note that the functor uncurry F yields a function from FreeAtoms(H) into G. Let p be a finite sequence of elements of FreeAtoms(H) and F be a function from FreeAtoms(H) into G. Let us note that the functor $F \cdot p$ yields a finite sequence of elements of G. Let us consider s. The functor factorization(s) yielding a finite sequence of elements of $*H$ is defined by the term

(Def. 10) (uncurry injection(H)) $\cdot$ (nf s).

Now we state the propositions:

(67) $\mathrm{factorization}(\mathbf{1}_{*H}) = \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (61).

(68) Let us consider an element g of $H(i)$. Suppose $g \neq \mathbf{1}_{H(i)}$. Then $\mathrm{factorization}([i, g]) = \langle [i, g]\rangle$.
PROOF: $\langle i, g\rangle \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathrm{uncurry}\,\mathrm{injection}(H))$ and $(\mathrm{uncurry}\,\mathrm{injection}(H))(\langle i, g\rangle) = [i, g]$ by (8), [2, (38)], (55). □

(69) Suppose $((\mathrm{nf}\, s)(\mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{nf}\, s))_{\mathbf{1}} \neq ((\mathrm{nf}\, t)(1))_{\mathbf{1}}$. Then factorization$(s \cdot t)$ = factorization$(s) \frown$ factorization(t). The theorem is a consequence of (65).

(70) Let us consider an element s of $*H$, and a natural number k. Suppose $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \mathrm{len}\,$factorization$(s)$. Then there exists an element i of I and there exists an element g of $H(i)$ such that $(\mathrm{factorization}(s))(k) = [i, g]$. The theorem is a consequence of (6) and (8).

(71) $\prod$ factorization$(s) = s$.
PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}$[natural number] $\equiv$ for every element s of $*H$ such that $\mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{nf}\, s = \$_1$ holds $\prod$ factorization$(s) = s$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by (62), (67), [19, (8)]. For every natural number k such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$ by (66), [7, (22)], (64), (43). For every natural number k, $\mathcal{P}[k]$ from [5, Sch. 2]. $\square$

Let us consider I and H. Let s be an element of $*H$. One can check that $\prod$ factorization(s) reduces to s.

3. Additional Definitions

Let G_1, G_2 be groups. One can verify that $\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$ is group-like and associative as a multiplicative magma family of 2.

The functor $(G_1)\,^*(G_2)$ yielding a strict group is defined by

(Def. 11) there exists a group-like, associative multiplicative magma family H of 2 such that $H = \langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$ and $it = *H$.

Let G be a group. We say that G is free if and only if

(Def. 12) there exists a cardinal number c such that G and $*c \longmapsto (\mathbb{Z}^+)$ are isomorphic.

One can check that every group which is trivial is also free and $\mathbb{Z}^+$ is free.

Let c be a cardinal number. Note that $*c \longmapsto (\mathbb{Z}^+)$ is free as a group.

Now we state the proposition:

(72) Let us consider groups G, H. If G and H are isomorphic, then G is free iff H is free.

Let us observe that there exists a group which is free.

Let G be a group. We say that G is free-abelian if and only if

(Def. 13) there exists a cardinal number c such that G and $\mathrm{sum}(c \longmapsto (\mathbb{Z}^+))$ are isomorphic.

One can verify that every group which is trivial is also free-abelian and $\mathbb{Z}^+$ is free-abelian.

Let c be a cardinal number. One can check that $\mathrm{sum}(c \longmapsto (\mathbb{Z}^+))$ is free-abelian as a group.

Now we state the proposition:

(73) Let us consider groups G, H. If G and H are isomorphic, then G is free-abelian iff H is free-abelian.

Observe that there exists a group which is free-abelian.

References

[1] Mark Anthony Armstrong. *Groups and Symmetry.* Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1988. https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4757-4034-9.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Curried and uncurried functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**): 537–541, 1990.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Monoids. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(**2**):213–225, 1992.

[4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Translations, endomorphisms, and stable equational theories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**4**):553–564, 1996.

[5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):41–46, 1990.

[6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Reduction relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**4**):469–478, 1996.

[7] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):107–114, 1990.

[8] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.

[9] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):529–536, 1990.

[10] Czesław Byliński. Some properties of restrictions of finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**2**):241–245, 1996.

[11] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**): 55–65, 1990.

[12] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Adam Naumowicz. Four decades of Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 55(3):191–198, 2015. doi:10.1007/s10817-015-9345-1.

[13] Allen Hatcher. Algebraic topology, 2001.

[14] Mikhail Ivanovich Kargapolov and Yurii Ivanovich Merzljakov. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Groups.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1979. https://link.springer.com/book/9781461299660.

[15] Jarosław Kotowicz. Functions and finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(**2**):275–278, 1992.

[16] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):441–444, 1990.

[17] Derek J.S. Robinson. *A Course in the Theory of Groups.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1996. https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4419-8594-1.

[18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):569–573, 1990.

[19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Lattice of subgroups of a group. Frattini subgroup. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(**1**):41–47, 1991.

Accepted April 27, 2025