

A Formal Proof of Stirling’s Formula

Yasushige Watase
 Faculty of Data Science
 University of Rissho
 Magechi Kumagaya, Japan

Summary. In this article, we formalized the proof of the Stirling’s formula, which is considered an essential item in the field of statistics, as shown below:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{n^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n}} = \sqrt{2\pi}$$

using the Mizar formalism [3]. We referred to [9, 6].

MSC: 33B99 68V20 68V35

Keywords: Wallis product; Stirling formula

MML identifier: STIRLIN1, version: 8.1.15 5.95.1495

INTRODUCTION

The formalization of the proof of this Stirling’s formula was based on the proof by Prof. S. Kurokawa [9], which is constructed using elementary concepts. The proof is divided into two parts.

In the first part, we formalized the proof of the following lemma which is essential to compute the integral using the Riemann sum over an equal partition.

Lemma 1(STIRLIN1:11) Let $f(x)$ be a C^1 function on $[0, 1]$ (i.e., $f'(x)$ exists and is continuous). Then the following holds:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - n \int_0^1 f(x) dx \right\} = \frac{f(1) - f(0)}{2}.$$

For this lemma, we defined that the function defined on the open half-interval $] - 1, \infty[$ is of class C^1 as STIRLIN1:def 5.

In the second part, we apply the lemma to $\log(1+x)$, and obtained the result:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \log \left(1 + \frac{k}{n} \right) - n \int_0^1 \log(1+x) dx \right\} = \log \left(\frac{(2n)!}{n!} \left(\frac{e}{4n} \right)^n \right).$$

From Lemma 1, the left-hand side limit is evaluated as $\frac{\log 2}{2}$, and thus:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \left(\frac{e}{4n} \right)^n = \sqrt{2}. \quad (\text{STIRLIN1:19,22}).$$

Considering the ratio between $n!$ and $n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-n}$, then the ratio can be transformed as follows:

$$\frac{n!}{n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-n}} = \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \left(\frac{e}{4n} \right)^n \bigg/ \frac{\sqrt{n}(2n)!}{4^n(n!)^2} \quad (\text{STIRLIN1:25}).$$

The limit left hand side can be calculated, and the denominator on the right-hand side equals the square root of the Wallis product sequence. It is known that this limit equals $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ (see WALLIS01:52), so the final limit is $\sqrt{2\pi}$.

1. LEMMA ON THE RIEMANN SUM OVER AN EQUAL PARTITION

Now we state the proposition:

- (1) Let us consider a natural number n , and a natural number k . Suppose $k \in \text{Seg}(n+1)$. Then $\text{divset}(\text{EqDiv}([0,1], n+1), k) = [\frac{k-1}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1}]$.

The functor $\mathbf{D}[01]$ yielding a sequence of $\text{divs}[0,1]$ is defined by

(Def. 1) for every element n of $\mathbb{N}$, $it(n) = \text{EqDiv}([0,1], n+1)$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (2) Let us consider a natural number n . Then $\delta_{\text{EqDiv}([0,1], n+1)} = \frac{1}{n+1}$.

PROOF: Set $A = [0,1]$. Set $D = \text{EqDiv}([0,1], n+1)$. For every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } D$ holds $(\text{upper_volume}(\chi_{A,A}, D))(i) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ by [8, (20)], [7, (15)]. $\text{rng upper_volume}(\chi_{A,A}, D) = \{\frac{1}{n+1}\}$ by [12, (29)], [13, (104)], [4, (3)], [5, (31)]. $\square$

- (3) $\delta_{\mathbf{D}[01]}$ is a 0-convergent, non-zero sequence of real numbers. The theorem is a consequence of (2).

Let a, b be real numbers. The functor $\mathbf{ax_+_b(a,b)}$ yielding a partial function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 2) $\text{AffineMap}(a, b)$.

Let r be a real number. The functor $\mathbf{const } r$ yielding a partial function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 3) $\text{AffineMap}(0, r)$.

Observe that $\text{const } r$ is constant.

The functor rohl yielding a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 4) $] -1, +\infty[$.

Let f be a partial function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and A be a non empty, closed interval subset of $\mathbb{R}$. We say that f is C^1 -Class on A if and only if

(Def. 5) f is differentiable on $] -1, +\infty[$ and $f'_{|] -1, +\infty[}$ is continuous and $A \subseteq] -1, +\infty[\subseteq \text{dom } f$.

Assume f is C^1 -Class on A . The functor $@'(f, A)$ yielding a function from A into $\mathbb{R}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 6) $f'_{|] -1, +\infty[} \upharpoonright A$.

Assume $A \subseteq \text{dom } f$. The functor $@(f, A)$ yielding a function from A into $\mathbb{R}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 7) $f \upharpoonright A$.

From now on Z denotes an open subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

Now we state the proposition:

(4) Let us consider a natural number n , a natural number k , a real number x_0 , and a partial function f from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose $k \in \text{Seg}(n+1)$ and $x_0 \in [\frac{k-1}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1}]$ and f is C^1 -Class on $[0, 1]$. Then $\int_{[x_0, \frac{k}{n+1}]} f'_{|\text{rohl}}(x) dx =$

$$f(\sup[x_0, \frac{k}{n+1}]) - f(\inf[x_0, \frac{k}{n+1}]).$$

Let n be a natural number and f be a partial function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The functor $\text{UPBND_rng_d}(f, n)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{R}_F$ is defined by

(Def. 8) $\text{len } it = n+1$ and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } it$ holds $it(i) = \sup \text{rng}(f'_{|\text{rohl}} \upharpoonright [\frac{i-1}{n+1}, \frac{i}{n+1}])$.

The functor $\text{LWBND_rng_d}(f, n)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{R}_F$ is defined by

(Def. 9) $\text{len } it = n+1$ and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } it$ holds $it(i) = \inf \text{rng}(f'_{|\text{rohl}} \upharpoonright [\frac{i-1}{n+1}, \frac{i}{n+1}])$.

The functor $\text{Sum_UPBND_rng_d } f$ yielding a sequence of $\mathbb{R}$ is defined by

(Def. 10) for every natural number i , $it(i) = \frac{\sum \text{UPBND_rng_d}(f, i)}{i+1}$.

The functor $\text{Sum_LWBND_rng_d } f$ yielding a sequence of $\mathbb{R}$ is defined by

(Def. 11) for every natural number i , $it(i) = \frac{\sum \text{LWBND_rng_d}(f, i)}{i+1}$.

Let us consider a natural number n , a natural number k , a real number x_0 , and a partial function f from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Now we state the propositions:

- (5) Suppose $k \in \text{Seg}(n+1)$ and $x_0 \in [\frac{k-1}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1}]$ and f is C^1 -Class on $[0, 1]$.

Then

- (i) $\int_{x_0}^{\frac{k}{n+1}} \text{const}(\text{UPBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k)(x)dx = (\text{UPBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k) \cdot (\frac{k}{n+1} - x_0)$, and
- (ii) $\int_{x_0}^{\frac{k}{n+1}} \text{const}(\text{LWBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k)(x)dx = (\text{LWBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k) \cdot (\frac{k}{n+1} - x_0)$.

PROOF: For every real number t such that $t \in [x_0, \frac{k}{n+1}]$ holds $(\text{const}(\text{UPBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k))(t) = (\text{UPBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k)$ by [4, (3)]. For every real number t such that $t \in [x_0, \frac{k}{n+1}]$ holds $(\text{const}(\text{LWBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k))(t) = (\text{LWBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k)$ by [4, (3)]. $\square$

- (6) Suppose $k \in \text{Seg}(n+1)$ and $x_0 \in [\frac{k-1}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1}]$ and f is C^1 -Class on $[0, 1]$.

Then $\int_{x_0}^{\frac{k}{n+1}} f'_{|\text{rohl}}(x)dx \leq \int_{x_0}^{\frac{k}{n+1}} \text{const}(\text{UPBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k)(x)dx$.

- (7) Suppose $k \in \text{Seg}(n+1)$ and $x_0 \in [\frac{k-1}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1}]$ and f is C^1 -Class on $[0, 1]$.

Then $\int_{x_0}^{\frac{k}{n+1}} \text{const}(\text{LWBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k)(x)dx \leq \int_{x_0}^{\frac{k}{n+1}} f'_{|\text{rohl}}(x)dx$.

- (8) Suppose $k \in \text{Seg}(n+1)$ and $x_0 \in [\frac{k-1}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1}]$ and f is C^1 -Class on $[0, 1]$.

Then

- (i) $f(\frac{k}{n+1}) - f(x_0) \leq (\text{UPBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k) \cdot (\frac{k}{n+1} - x_0)$, and
- (ii) $(\text{LWBND_rng_d}(f, n))(k) \cdot (\frac{k}{n+1} - x_0) \leq f(\frac{k}{n+1}) - f(x_0)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (4), (5), (7), and (6).

Let n be a natural number and f be a partial function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The functor $\mathbf{F}'(f, n)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{R}_F$ is defined by

(Def. 12) $\text{dom } it = \text{Seg}(n+1)$ and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } it$

$$\text{holds } it(i) = \int_{\frac{i-1}{n+1}}^{\frac{i}{n+1}} \text{const } f(\frac{i}{n+1})(x)dx.$$

The functor $'G'(f, n)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{R}_F$ is defined by

(Def. 13) $\text{dom } it = \text{Seg}(n+1)$ and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } it$

$$\text{holds } it(i) = \int_{\frac{i-1}{n+1}}^{\frac{i}{n+1}} (-f)(x)dx.$$

The functor $\text{step}_-(f, n)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{R}_F$ is defined by

(Def. 14) $\text{dom } it = \text{Seg}(n+1)$ and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } it$

$$\text{holds } it(i) = f\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right).$$

Now we state the proposition:

(9) Let us consider a natural number n , and a partial function f from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Then $\int_0^0 (-f)(x)dx = 0$.

Let f be a partial function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The functor $\text{Sum_step } f$ yielding a sequence of real numbers is defined by

(Def. 15) for every natural number n , $it(n) = \sum \text{step}_-(f, n)$.

The functor $n_Integral f$ yielding a sequence of real numbers is defined by

(Def. 16) for every natural number n , $it(n) = (n+1) \cdot \left(\int_0^1 (-f)(x)dx \right)$.

The functor $w_seq f$ yielding a sequence of real numbers is defined by the term

(Def. 17) $\text{Sum_step } f + n_Integral f$.

Now we state the proposition:

(10) Let us consider a partial function f from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose f is C^1 -Class on $[0, 1]$. Then $\lim w_seq f = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\text{integral } f'_{|_{\text{rohl}}} \upharpoonright [0, 1])$.

2. APPLY THE LEMMA TO $\log(1+x)$

Let c be a real number. The functor $x_- + _c$ yielding a partial function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 18) $\text{AffineMap}(1, c)$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (11) (The function $\ln$) $\cdot$ $(x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl})$ is differentiable on $] -1, +\infty[$.

PROOF: Set $Z =] -1, +\infty[$. For every real number x_0 such that $x_0 \in Z$ holds (the function $\ln$) $\cdot$ $(x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl})$ is differentiable in x_0 by [10, (20)].

□

- (12) Let us consider an open subset Z of $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose $Z \subseteq \text{dom}((\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl}))$. Then

- (i) (the function $\ln$) $\cdot$ $(x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl})$ is differentiable on Z , and
- (ii) for every real number x such that $x \in Z$ holds $((\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl}))'_{|Z}(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}$.

PROOF: Set $f = x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl}$. For every real number x such that $x \in Z$ holds $f(x) = 1 + x$ and $f(x) > 0$ by [4, (49)]. □

- (13) $((\text{The function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl}))'_{|\text{rohl}} = \frac{1}{x_- + -1} \upharpoonright \text{rohl}$. The theorem is a consequence of (12).

In the sequel x, x_0, x_1, x_2 denote real numbers.

Now we state the propositions:

- (14) $(x_- + -1 \cdot (\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl}) + -1 \cdot x_- + -0)'_{|\text{rohl}} = (\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl})$.

PROOF: Set $f_1 = x_- + -1$. Set $f_2 = (\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl})$. f_2 is differentiable on rohl . $f_1 \cdot (\frac{1}{f_1} \upharpoonright \text{rohl}) = \text{rohl} \mapsto 1$ by [4, (49)], [11, (7)]. $(\text{rohl} \mapsto 1) \cdot f_2 + (\text{rohl} \mapsto 1) + (-1) \cdot (\text{rohl} \mapsto 1) = f_2$ by [11, (7)]. □

- (15) $\int_0^1 (\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl})(x) dx = \log_e \frac{4}{e}$. The theorem is a consequence of (12) and (14).

- (16) $\int_{[0,1]} ((\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl}))'_{|\text{rohl}}(x) dx = (\text{the function } \ln)(2)$.

- (17) $\lim_{w\text{-seq}} (\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + -1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl}) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\text{the function } \ln)(2)$. The theorem is a consequence of (10) and (16).

Let m be a non zero natural number and f be a partial function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The functor **Step₋(f, m)** yielding a sequence of real numbers is defined by

(Def. 19) for every natural number i , $it(i) = f(\frac{i}{m})$.

Let us consider a non zero natural number m and a partial function f from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Now we state the propositions:

- (18) $\text{XFS2FS}(\text{Step}_{-}(f, m) \upharpoonright \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}) = \langle f(0) \rangle \hat{\ } \text{step}_{-}(f, m -' 1)$.

PROOF: Reconsider $m_1 = m + 1$ as a natural number. Reconsider $\succ_1 = m -' 1$ as a natural number. For every natural number x such that $x \in \text{dom}(\text{Shift}(\text{Step}_{-}(f, m) \upharpoonright \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}, 1))$ holds $(\text{Shift}(\text{Step}_{-}(f, m) \upharpoonright \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}, 1))(x) = (\langle f(0) \rangle \hat{\ } \text{step}_{-}(f, \succ_1))(x)$ by [2, (1)], [1, (44)], [4, (49)], [1, (14)]. □

(19) Suppose f is C^1 -Class on $[0, 1]$. Then $\sum \text{XFS2FS}(\text{Step}_-(f, m) \upharpoonright \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}) = f(0) + \sum \text{step}_-(f, m - '1)$. The theorem is a consequence of (18).

Let us consider a non zero natural number m . Now we state the propositions:

(20) $\sum \text{XFS2FS}(\text{Step}_-((\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + _1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl}), m) \upharpoonright \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}) = \sum \text{step}_-((\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + _1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl}), m - '1)$. The theorem is a consequence of (19).

(21) $(\text{w_seq}(\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + _1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl}))(m - '1) = (\text{the function } \ln)(\frac{2 \cdot m!}{m!} \cdot (\frac{e}{4 \cdot m} \wedge m))$. The theorem is a consequence of (15).

The functor **W_STIRL** yielding a sequence of real numbers is defined by

(Def. 20) for every natural number n , $it(n) = \frac{2 \cdot (n+1)!}{(n+1)!} \cdot (\frac{e}{4 \cdot (n+1)} \wedge (n+1))$.

Now we state the propositions:

(22) Let us consider a natural number n . Then $(\text{w_seq}(\text{the function } \ln) \cdot (x_- + _1 \upharpoonright \text{rohl}))(n) = (\text{the function } \ln)((\text{W_STIRL})(n))$. The theorem is a consequence of (15).

(23) (i) $\lim \text{W_STIRL} = \sqrt{2}$, and

(ii) W_STIRL is convergent.

The theorem is a consequence of (17).

The functor **StirlSeq** yielding a sequence of real numbers is defined by

(Def. 21) for every natural number n , $it(n) = \frac{n!}{(n \wedge (n + \frac{1}{2})) \cdot (e \wedge (-n))}$.

Now we state the proposition:

(24) $\lim \text{StirlSeq} = \sqrt{2 \cdot \pi}$. The theorem is a consequence of (23).

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8_17.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [6] Richard Courant and Fritz John. *Introduction to Calculus and Analysis I*. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1st edition, 1965.
- [7] Noboru Endou. Relationship between the Riemann and Lebesgue integrals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 29(4):185–199, 2021. doi:10.2478/forma-2021-0018.
- [8] Noboru Endou and Artur Korniłowicz. The definition of the Riemann definite integral and some related lemmas. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):93–102, 1999.

- [9] Nobushige Kurokawa. *Modern Trigonometric Function Theory*. Iwanami Shoten, Publishers (Tokyo), 1st edition, 2013. In Japanese.
- [10] Yasunari Shidama. The Taylor expansions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(2):195–200, 2004.
- [11] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [12] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
- [13] Rafał Ziobro. Fermat’s Little Theorem via divisibility of Newton’s binomial. *Formalized Mathematics*, 23(3):215–229, 2015. doi:10.1515/forma-2015-0018.

Received September 17, 2025
