

# The Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory

Christoph Schwarzweller  
 Institute of Informatics  
 University of Gdańsk  
 Poland

**Summary.** In this article we prove the Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory [6], [4], [5] using the Mizar formalism [1], [2], [3].

MSC: 68V20

Keywords:

MML identifier: GALOIS\_4, version: 8.1.15 5.100.1509

## INTRODUCTION

This article is the last in a series of five articles formalizing the Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory [6], [4], [5] using the Mizar formalism [1], [2], [3].

We first show some necessary properties of normal subgroups and normal extensions; then we state and prove that for a finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$

1. the functions  $\varphi$  mapping intermediate fields  $K$  to  $\text{Aut}(E, K)$  and  $\phi$  mapping subgroups  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$  to  $\text{Fix}(E, G)$  are inverse bijections respecting subfields and subgroups in the sense that  $K_1$  is a subfield of  $K_2$  if and only if  $\varphi(K_2)$  is a subgroup of  $\varphi(K_1)$  and  $G_1$  is a subgroup of  $G_2$  if and only if  $\phi(G_2)$  is a subfield of  $\phi(G_1)$ .
2. for all intermediate fields  $K$  the degree of  $E$  over  $K$  equals the order of  $\text{Aut}(E, K)$  and the degree of  $K$  over  $F$  equals the index of  $\text{Aut}(E, K)$  in  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ .

3. for all intermediate fields  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  we have that  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are isomorphic over  $F$  if and only if  $\text{Aut}(E, K_1)$  and  $\text{Aut}(E, K_2)$  are conjugated in  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ .
4. for all intermediate fields  $K$  we have that  $E$  is a Galois extension of  $K$  and that  $K$  is a Galois extension of  $F$  if and only if  $K$  is a normal extension of  $F$ .
5. an intermediate field  $K$  is a normal extension of  $F$  if and only if for all  $F$ -fixing automorphisms  $f \in \text{Aut}(E, F)$  we have  $f(K) = K$  if and only if  $\text{Aut}(E, K)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ . In this case  $\text{Aut}(K, F)$  and  $\text{Aut}(E, F)/\text{Aut}(E, K)$  are isomorphic.

We also prove that for finite Galois extensions the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  respect the lattice operations  $\bigwedge$  and  $\bigvee$ : for subsets  $M$  of intermediate fields and subsets  $N$  of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$  we have

6.  $\text{Aut}(E, \bigvee M) = \bigwedge \{ \varphi(K) \mid K \in M \} = \bigwedge \{ \text{Aut}(E, K) \mid K \in M \}$  and  $\text{Aut}(E, \bigwedge M) = \bigvee \{ \varphi(K) \mid K \in M \} = \bigvee \{ \text{Aut}(E, K) \mid K \in M \}$ .
7.  $\text{Fix}(E, \bigvee N) = \bigwedge \{ \phi(G) \mid G \in N \} = \bigwedge \{ \text{Fix}(E, G) \mid G \in N \}$  and  $\text{Fix}(E, \bigwedge N) = \bigvee \{ \phi(G) \mid G \in N \} = \bigvee \{ \text{Fix}(E, G) \mid G \in N \}$ .

## 1. ON NORMAL SUBGROUPS

Now we state the proposition:

- (1) Let us consider a group  $G$ , and a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . Then  $H$  is normal if and only if for every elements  $g, h$  of  $G$  such that  $h \in H$  holds  $h^g \in H$ .

Let us consider a group  $G$  and a strict subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (2)  $H$  is normal if and only if for every element  $g$  of  $G$ ,  $H^g = H$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1).
- (3)  $H$  is normal if and only if there exists a group  $G_1$  and there exists a homomorphism  $f$  from  $G$  to  $G_1$  such that  $\text{Ker } f = H$ .

Let  $G, H$  be groups. Assume  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . The functor  $\text{Index}(H, G)$  yielding a cardinal number is defined by

(Def. 1) there exists a subgroup  $H_1$  of  $G$  such that  $H_1 = H$  and  $it = |\bullet : H_1|$ .

Let  $G, H_1, H_2$  be groups. We say that  $H_1, H_2$  are conjugated in  $G$  if and only if

(Def. 2) there exist subgroups  $H_3, H_4$  of  $G$  such that  $H_3 = H_1$  and  $H_4 = H_2$  and  $H_3$  and  $H_4$  are conjugated.

## 2. ON NORMAL EXTENSIONS

Let  $F$  be a field,  $E$  be an extension of  $F$ ,  $h$  be a homomorphism of  $E$ , and  $K$  be an intermediate field of  $E, F$ . The functor  $\text{rng}(h, K)$  yielding a subset of  $E$  is defined by the term

(Def. 3)  $\text{rng}(h \upharpoonright (\text{the carrier of } K))$ .

One can verify that  $\text{rng}(h, K)$  is inducing subfield.

The functor  $h^\circ K$  yielding a subfield of  $E$  is defined by the term

(Def. 4)  $\text{InducedSubfield}(\text{rng}(h, K))$ .

Let  $f$  be an  $F$ -fixing automorphism of  $E$ . Let us note that  $f^\circ K$  is  $F$ -extending.

Now we state the propositions:

- (4) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite extension  $E$  of  $F$ , an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E, F$ , and an  $F$ -fixing automorphism  $f$  of  $E$ . Suppose  $K$  is  $F$ -normal. Then  $f \upharpoonright (\text{the carrier of } K)$  is an  $F$ -fixing automorphism of  $K$ .
- (5) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E, F$ . Suppose  $K$  is  $F$ -normal. Let us consider  $F$ -fixing automorphisms  $f_1, f_2$  of  $E$ . Then  $f_1 \cdot f_2 \upharpoonright (\text{the carrier of } K) = (f_1 \upharpoonright (\text{the carrier of } K)) \cdot (f_2 \upharpoonright (\text{the carrier of } K))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (4).
- (6) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E, F$ . Suppose  $K$  is  $F$ -normal. Let us consider an element  $f$  of the carrier of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ . Then  $f^\circ K = K$ . The theorem is a consequence of (4).
- (7) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E, F$ . Suppose  $K$  is  $F$ -normal. Then  $\text{Fix}(K, \text{Aut}(K, F))$  is a subfield of  $\text{Fix}(E, \text{Aut}(E, F))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (4).
- (8) Let us consider a field  $F$ , a  $F$ -normal extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and an  $F$ -algebraic element  $a$  of  $E$ . Suppose  $E \approx \text{FAdj}(F, \{a\})$ . Then  $E$  is a splitting field of  $\text{MinPoly}(a, F)$ .
- (9) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , intermediate fields  $K_1, K_2$  of  $E, F$ , and a function  $h$  from  $K_1$  into  $K_2$ . Suppose  $h$  is  $F$ -fixing and isomorphism. Then there exists an  $F$ -fixing automorphism  $f$  of  $E$  such that  $f \upharpoonright K_1 = h$ .

PROOF: Consider  $a$  being an element of  $E$  such that  $E \approx \text{FAdj}(F, \{a\})$ . Set  $p = \text{MinPoly}(a, F)$ . Reconsider  $p_1 = p$  as an element of the carrier

- of Polynom-Ring  $K_1$ .  $E$  is splitting field of  $p$  and  $K_1$ -extending. Reconsider  $L = E$  as a splitting field of  $p_1$ . Reconsider  $K_3 = K_2$  as an  $K_1$ -isomorphic,  $K_1$ -homomorphic field. Reconsider  $h_1 = h$  as an isomorphism between  $K_1$  and  $K_3$ .  $(\text{PolyHom}(h_1))(p_1) = p_1$ .  $L$  is a splitting field of  $(\text{PolyHom}(h_1))(p_1)$  by [9, (4)], [7, (7)], (8), [8, (29)]. Consider  $f$  being a function from  $L$  into  $L$  such that  $f$  is  $h_1$ -extending and isomorphism.  $\square$
- (10) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an extension  $E$  of  $F$ , an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E$ ,  $F$ , and an element  $f$  of the carrier of  $\text{Aut}(E)$ . Then
- (i)  $(\text{Aut}(E, (f^\circ K)))^f = \text{Aut}(E, K)$ , and
  - (ii)  $(\text{Aut}(E, K))^{f^{-1}} = \text{Aut}(E, (f^\circ K))$ .
- (11) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an extension  $E$  of  $F$ , a subgroup  $H$  of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ , and an element  $f$  of the carrier of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ . Then  $f^\circ(\text{Fix}(E, H)) = \text{Fix}(E, H^{f^{-1}})$ .

### 3. THE THEOREM

Now we state the propositions:

- (12) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E$ ,  $F$ . Then  $(\Psi_E)(K) = \text{Aut}(E, K)$ .
- (13) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and a SubGroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ . Then  $(\Phi(E))(G) = \text{Fix}(E, G)$ .

Let us consider a field  $F$  and an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (14)  $\Psi_E$  is a bijection of  $\text{IntermediateFields}(E, F)$ ,  $\text{SubGr Aut}(E, F)$ .
- (15)  $\Phi(E)$  is a bijection of  $\text{SubGr Aut}(E, F)$ ,  $\text{IntermediateFields}(E, F)$ .
- (16) (i)  $(\Psi_E)^{-1} = \Phi(E)$ , and
- (ii)  $(\Phi(E))^{-1} = \Psi_E$ .

Let  $F$  be a field and  $E$  be an  $F$ -finite Galois extension of  $F$ . Let us note that  $\text{IntermediateFields}(E, F)$  is  $(\text{SubGr Aut}(E, F))$ -bijective and  $\text{SubGr Aut}(E, F)$  is  $(\text{IntermediateFields}(E, F))$ -bijective.

Now we state the propositions:

- (17) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E$ ,  $F$ . Then  $K = \text{Fix}(E, \text{Aut}(E, K))$ .
- (18) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and a SubGroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ . Then  $G = \text{Aut}(E, (\text{Fix}(E, G)))$ .
- (19) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and intermediate fields  $K_1, K_2$  of  $E$ ,  $F$ . Then  $K_1$  is a subfield of  $K_2$  if and only

if  $\text{Aut}(E, K_2)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(E, K_1)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (17).

(20) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and SubGroups  $G_1, G_2$  of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ . Then  $G_1$  is a subgroup of  $G_2$  if and only if  $\text{Fix}(E, G_2)$  is a subfield of  $\text{Fix}(E, G_1)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (18).

(21) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E, F$ . Then

- (i)  $\deg(E, K) = \text{order Aut}(E, K)$ , and
- (ii)  $\deg(K, F) = \text{Index}(\text{Aut}(E, K), \text{Aut}(E, F))$ .

(22) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and intermediate fields  $K_1, K_2$  of  $E, F$ . Then  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are isomorphic over  $F$  if and only if  $\text{Aut}(E, K_1), \text{Aut}(E, K_2)$  are conjugated in  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (9), (17), and (11).

Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E, F$ . Now we state the propositions:

(23)  $E$  is a Galois extension of  $K$ .

(24)  $K$  is a Galois extension of  $F$  if and only if  $K$  is  $F$ -normal.

(25)  $K$  is  $F$ -normal if and only if for every element  $f$  of the carrier of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ ,  $f^\circ K = K$ . The theorem is a consequence of (6).

(26)  $K$  is  $F$ -normal if and only if  $\text{Aut}(E, K)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (6), (10), (2), (14), and (25).

Let  $F$  be a field,  $E$  be an  $F$ -finite extension of  $F$ , and  $K$  be an intermediate field of  $E, F$ . Assume  $K$  is  $F$ -normal. The functor  $\text{Phi.}(K)$  yielding a homomorphism from  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$  to  $\text{Aut}(K, F)$  is defined by

(Def. 5) for every  $F$ -fixing automorphism  $f$  of  $E$ ,  $it(f) = f|(\text{the carrier of } K)$ .

Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E, F$ . Now we state the propositions:

(27) If  $K$  is  $F$ -normal, then  $\text{Fix}(K, \text{Im Phi.}(K))$  is a subfield of  $\text{Fix}(E, \text{Aut}(E, F))$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (4).

(28) If  $K$  is  $F$ -normal, then  $\text{Ker Phi.}(K) = \text{Aut}(E, K)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(29) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E, F$ . Suppose  $K$  is  $F$ -normal. Then  $\text{Im Phi.}(K) = \text{Aut}(K, F)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (24), (27), and (15).

(30) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , an intermediate field  $K$  of  $E, F$ , and a normal subgroup  $H$  of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ . Suppose

$H = \text{Aut}(E, K)$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(K, F)$  and  $\text{Aut}(E, F)/_H$  are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (26), (28), and (29).

#### 4. SOME LATTICE PROPERTIES

Now we state the propositions:

- (31) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and intermediate fields  $K_1, K_2$  of  $E, F$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Aut}(E, K_1) \sqcup \text{Aut}(E, K_2)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(E, (K_1 \sqcap K_2))$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{Aut}(E, (K_1 \sqcup K_2))$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(E, K_1) \cap \text{Aut}(E, K_2)$ .
- (32) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and subgroups  $G_1, G_2$  of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Fix}(E, G_1) \sqcup \text{Fix}(E, G_2)$  is a subfield of  $\text{Fix}(E, G_1 \cap G_2)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{Fix}(E, G_1 \sqcup G_2)$  is a subfield of  $\text{Fix}(E, G_1) \sqcap \text{Fix}(E, G_2)$ .
- (33) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and intermediate fields  $K_1, K_2$  of  $E, F$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Aut}(E, (K_1 \sqcap K_2)) = \text{Aut}(E, K_1) \sqcup \text{Aut}(E, K_2)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{Aut}(E, (K_1 \sqcup K_2)) = \text{Aut}(E, K_1) \cap \text{Aut}(E, K_2)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (31), (18), (17), and (32).

- (34) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and SubGroups  $G_1, G_2$  of  $\text{Aut}(E, F)$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Fix}(E, G_1 \cap G_2) = \text{Fix}(E, G_1) \sqcup \text{Fix}(E, G_2)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{Fix}(E, G_1 \sqcup G_2) = \text{Fix}(E, G_1) \sqcap \text{Fix}(E, G_2)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (17), (18), (31), and (32).

Let  $F$  be a field,  $E$  be an extension of  $F$ , and  $M$  be a non empty subset of  $\text{IntermediateFields}(E, F)$ . The functor  $\text{Psi.}(M)$  yielding a non empty subset of  $\text{SubGr Aut}(E, F)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 6)  $\{(\Psi_E)(K), \text{ where } K \text{ is an element of } \text{IntermediateFields}(E, F) : K \in M\}.$

Let  $M$  be a non empty subset of  $\text{SubGr Aut}(E, F)$ . The functor the UNKNOWN of  $M$  yielding a non empty subset of  $\text{IntermediateFields}(E, F)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 7)  $\{(\Phi(E))(G), \text{ where } G \text{ is an element of } \text{SubGr Aut}(E, F) : G \in M\}.$

Now we state the propositions:

- (35) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and a non empty subset  $M$  of  $\text{IntermediateFields}(E, F)$ . Then  $\text{Psi.}(M) = \{\text{Aut}(E, K), \text{ where } K \text{ is an element of } \text{IntermediateFields}(E, F) : K \in M\}$ .
- (36) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and a non empty subset  $M$  of  $\text{SubGr Aut}(E, F)$ . Then the UNKNOWN of  $M = \{\text{Fix}(E, G), \text{ where } G \text{ is an element of } \text{SubGr Aut}(E, F) : G \in M\}$ .
- (37) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and a non empty subset  $M$  of  $\text{IntermediateFields}(E, F)$ . Then the UNKNOWN of  $\text{Psi.}(M) = M$ . The theorem is a consequence of (35), (36), and (17).
- (38) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and a non empty subset  $M$  of  $\text{SubGr Aut}(E, F)$ . Then  $\text{Psi.}(\text{the UNKNOWN of } M) = M$ . The theorem is a consequence of (36), (35), and (18).
- (39) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and a non empty subset  $M$  of  $\text{IntermediateFields}(E, F)$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Aut}(E, (\bigcup M))$  is a subgroup of  $\bigcap \text{Psi.}(M)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\bigcup \text{Psi.}(M)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(E, (\bigcap M))$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (35).

- (40) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and a non empty subset  $M$  of  $\text{SubGr Aut}(E, F)$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Fix}(E, \bigcup M)$  is a subfield of  $\bigcap (\text{the UNKNOWN of } M)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\bigcup (\text{the UNKNOWN of } M)$  is a subfield of  $\text{Fix}(E, \bigcap M)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (36).

- (41) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and a non empty subset  $M$  of  $\text{IntermediateFields}(E, F)$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Aut}(E, (\bigcup M)) = \bigcap \text{Psi.}(M)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{Aut}(E, (\bigcap M)) = \bigcup \text{Psi.}(M)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (39), (40), (37), and (18).

- (42) Let us consider a field  $F$ , an  $F$ -finite Galois extension  $E$  of  $F$ , and a non empty subset  $M$  of  $\text{SubGr Aut}(E, F)$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Fix}(E, \bigcup M) = \bigcap (\text{the UNKNOWN of } M)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{Fix}(E, \bigcap M) = \bigcup (\text{the UNKNOWN of } M)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (39), (38), (17), and (40).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [3] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Christoph Schwarzweller. On algebraic hierarchies in mathematical repository of Mizar. In M. Ganzha, L. Maciaszek, and M. Paprzycki, editors, *Proceedings of the 2016 Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems (FedCSIS)*, volume 8 of *Annals of Computer Science and Information Systems*, pages 363–371, 2016. doi:10.15439/2016F520.
- [4] I. Martin Isaacs. *Algebra: A Graduate Course*. Wadsworth Inc., 1994.
- [5] Serge Lang. *Algebra (Revised Third Edition)*. Springer Verlag, 2002.
- [6] Knut Radbruch. *Algebra I*. Lecture Notes, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany, 1991.
- [7] Christoph Schwarzweller. Field extensions and Kronecker’s construction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 27(3):229–235, 2019. doi:10.2478/forma-2019-0022.
- [8] Christoph Schwarzweller. Splitting fields. *Formalized Mathematics*, 29(3):129–139, 2021. doi:10.2478/forma-2021-0013.
- [9] Christoph Schwarzweller and Agnieszka Rowińska-Schwarzweller. Simple extensions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 31(1):287–298, 2023. doi:10.2478/forma-2023-0023.

Received January 24, 2026

---