

An Explicit Construction of Free Modules in Mizar

Yasushige Watase
 Faculty of Data Science
 University of Rissho
 Magechi Kumagaya, Japan

Summary. In this paper, we present a standard method in Mizar for explicitly constructing a free left R -module from a given set of generators. For a general set X of generators, infinite linear combinations cannot be formed in a purely algebraic setting. We therefore impose the finite-support condition and realize the free left R -module on X as the module of all finitely supported functions from X to R . When X is empty, this construction yields the trivial module.

Although the module structure itself can be defined over an arbitrary ring, the results concerning bases require the ring to be non-degenerated. We formalize this construction and prove that, when X is non-empty, it satisfies the universal mapping property (UMP), a useful property for subsequent applications. Furthermore, we show that, over a non-degenerated ring, $\mathbf{Free_LMod}(X, R)$ is a free module for every set X . This formalization provides an important tool for the further development of algebra and commutative algebra in the Mizar Mathematical Library.

MSC: 13C05 68V20

Keywords: free module; finitely supported functions; universal mapping property

MML identifier: LMODFREE, version: 8.1.15 5.104.1513

INTRODUCTION

In standard textbooks, a free module is often presented as a direct sum $\bigoplus R$ with only finitely many non-zero components (see, for example, [1]). Such

presentations emphasize essential properties, while the underlying construction is left implicit.

In this paper, we treat free modules generated by an arbitrary set constructively, without assuming the existence of a basis, and derive the universal mapping property (UMP) from the construction itself. For a general set X , linear combinations of generators would involve infinite sums, which are not defined in a purely algebraic setting. The finite-support condition resolves this point: the functions from X to R with finite support form a free R -module with basis X . The construction follows [6] and a lecture note by T. Ozawa [14], in which the free module on X is realized explicitly as the module of finitely supported functions from X to R . In the Mizar Mathematical Library, a free module is defined by the existence of a basis (see [13]), whereas our approach starts from a given generating set and obtains a basis as a theorem.

As a brief remark, in Lean/mathlib, functions with finite support (`finsupp`) are used to handle linear combinations over infinite bases (see [11]).

This paper consists of five sections. The contents of each section are as follows. In Section 1 we work with an arbitrary set X and an arbitrary ring R ; from Section 2 onward we assume that X is non-empty and that R is non-degenerated, and the case $X = \emptyset$ is treated separately in Section 5. All modules are assumed to be left R -modules.

Section 1. Function spaces and free modules In Section 1, we consider the left R -module consisting of all functions from X to R , and construct the submodule of finitely supported functions. We regard this submodule as a candidate for the free left R -module on X . In the next section, we introduce a standard set of generators and use the finite-support condition to show that every element can be written as a finite linear combination of these generators. This module is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\text{LMod}}(X)$. In the Mizar code, it is defined as `Free_LMod(X,R)` (`LModFREE: def 14`).

Section 2. Basis map and reconstruction Let X be a non-empty set, and consider the left R -module $\mathcal{F}_{\text{LMod}}(X)$ consisting of finitely supported functions from X to R . We define a map

$$\iota_X : X \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text{LMod}}(X),$$

which assigns the standard generator $\iota_X(x)$ to each $x \in X$. We call this map the basis map. In the Mizar code, it is written as `IotaMap(X,R)`. The notation ι follows [14]; in [6], the corresponding map is denoted by $f(s)$. For each $x \in X$,

the function $\iota_X(x)$ is defined by

$$(\iota_X(x))(y) = \begin{cases} 1_R, & y = x, \\ 0_R, & y \neq x. \end{cases}$$

For $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{LMod}}(X)$ and $x \in X$, we define the evaluation map by

$$\text{ev}(x)(f) = f(x).$$

With this notation, the following reconstruction formula holds:

$$\sum_{x \in X} (\text{ev}(x)(f)) \cdot \iota_X(x) = f.$$

In other words, $\text{ev}(x)(f)$ gives the coefficient of f at the standard generator $\iota_X(x)$, and the formula expresses f as a finite linear combination of the standard generators. Since f has finite support, only finitely many terms in the sum are non-zero. To handle this finite sum formally, the elements of the support of f are numbered and arranged as a finite sequence. For this purpose, $\text{idxseq}(\mathbf{f})$ lists the elements of the support of f in a standard order determined by canFS . The sequence $\text{F_idxseq}(\mathbf{f})$ lists the corresponding terms

$$(\text{ev}(x)(f)) \cdot \iota_X(x),$$

with its i -th term corresponding to the i -th element of $\text{idxseq}(\mathbf{f})$. This common numbering allows the reconstruction theorem to be proved by induction on the length of the sequence. It follows that every element f has a representation as a finite linear combination of the standard generators. The reconstruction formula is formalized as

$$\mathbf{f} = \text{SumF_idxseq}(\mathbf{f})$$

(LMOFFREE:16).

Section 3. Universal mapping property Let X be a non-empty set. A pair (M, μ) , where M is a left R -module and $\mu : X \rightarrow M$ is a map, is called universal (LMOFFREE:def 33) if, for every left R -module N and every map $\nu : X \rightarrow N$, there exists a unique R -linear map $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ such that $\varphi \circ \mu = \nu$. The free left R -module $\mathcal{F}_{\text{LMod}}(X)$ constructed in Section 2, together with the basis map ι_X , has this universal mapping property. In other words, once the image of each generator $\iota_X(x)$ is given, there is a unique linear map extending this assignment. Therefore, to construct a linear map from $\mathcal{F}_{\text{LMod}}(X)$ to any left R -module, it is enough to specify the images of the generators; and if two linear maps agree on all generators, they agree on the whole module. This universal mapping property follows from the reconstruction formula in Section 2 and is formalized as LMOFFREE:27.

Section 4. Linear independence and basis In Section 4, we show that the image of the basis map ι_X is linearly independent and forms a basis of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{LMod}}(X)$. Consequently, $\mathcal{F}_{\text{LMod}}(X)$ is a free left R -module. Neither fact is assumed: linear independence is verified by reading off coefficients with the evaluation maps, and the spanning property follows from the reconstruction formula. This derivation is fully formalized in Mizar [4], [5].

Section 5. The free module generated by the empty set The construction in Section 1 also applies to an arbitrary set X , including the empty set. In Section 5 we show that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{LMod}}(\emptyset)$ coincides, as a structure, with the trivial left R -module $\text{TrivialLMod}(R)$ of [12], and that it is free: the empty subset is vacuously linearly independent and forms a basis of the trivial module. Combining this with Section 4, we obtain the general result that $\text{FreeLMod}(X, R)$ is free for every set X over a non-degenerated ring; this fact is also registered as a cluster, so that the Mizar system recognizes the freeness of the term automatically.

In this way, the assignment

$$X \longmapsto \mathcal{F}_{\text{LMod}}(X)$$

is defined on all sets and can be viewed as the object assignment of the free-module functor from sets to left R -modules.

1. FUNCTION SPACES AND FREE MODULES

From now on R denotes a ring, X denotes a set, o, x denote objects, a, b denote elements of the carrier of R , M, N denote left modules over R , and i denotes a natural number.

Let us consider R, X , and N . The functor $0.\text{Funcs}(X, N)$ yielding an element of $(\text{the carrier of } N)^X$ is defined by the term

(Def. 1) $X \longmapsto 0_N$.

One can verify that $(\text{the carrier of } N)^X$ is non empty.

The functor $\text{ADD}(X, N)$ yielding a binary operation on $(\text{the carrier of } N)^X$ is defined by

(Def. 2) for every elements f, g of $(\text{the carrier of } N)^X$, $it(f, g) = (\text{the addition of } N)^\circ(f, g)$.

From now on f, g, h denote elements of $(\text{the carrier of } N)^X$.

Let us consider R, X , and N . Let F be a function from $(\text{the carrier of } R) \times (\text{the carrier of } N)$ into the carrier of N , a be an element of the carrier of R , and f be a function from X into N . One can check that the functor $F^\circ(a, f)$ yields an element of $(\text{the carrier of } N)^X$. The functor $\text{LMULT}(X, N)$ yielding

a function from $(\text{the carrier of } R) \times (\text{the carrier of } N)^X$ into $(\text{the carrier of } N)^X$ is defined by

- (Def. 3) for every element a of the carrier of R and for every element f of $(\text{the carrier of } N)^X$ and for every object x such that $x \in X$ holds $it(a, f)(x) = (\text{the left multiplication of } N)(a, f(x))$.

The functor **Func-Mod(X, N)** yielding a non empty vector space structure over R is defined by the term

- (Def. 4) $\langle (\text{the carrier of } N)^X, \text{ADD}(X, N), 0_Funcs(X, N), \text{LMULT}(X, N) \rangle$.

Module structure on function spaces and on finitely supported functions.

Let us consider R and X . The functor $0_Funcs(X, R)$ yielding an element of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$ is defined by the term

- (Def. 5) $X \mapsto 0_R$.

The functor $\text{ADD}(X, R)$ yielding a binary operation on $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$ is defined by

- (Def. 6) for every elements f, g of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$, $it(f, g) = (\text{the addition of } R)^\circ(f, g)$.

In the sequel f, g, h denote elements of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$.

Now we state the proposition:

- (1) $h = (\text{ADD}(X, R))(f, g)$ if and only if for every object x such that $x \in X$ holds $h(x) = (\text{the addition of } R)(f(x), g(x))$.

PROOF: If $h = (\text{ADD}(X, R))(f, g)$, then for every object x such that $x \in X$ holds $h(x) = (\text{the addition of } R)(f(x), g(x))$ by [15, (22)]. For every object x such that $x \in \text{dom } h$ holds $h(x) = (\text{ADD}(X, R))(f, g)(x)$ by [15, (22)].
□

Let us consider R and X . Let F be a function from $(\text{the carrier of } R) \times (\text{the carrier of } R)$ into the carrier of R , a be an element of the carrier of R , and f be a function from X into R . Let us note that the functor $F^\circ(a, f)$ yields an element of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$. The functor $\text{LMULT}(X, R)$ yielding a function from $(\text{the carrier of } R) \times (\text{the carrier of } R)^X$ into $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$ is defined by

- (Def. 7) for every element a of the carrier of R and for every element f of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$ and for every object x such that $x \in X$ holds $it(a, f)(x) = (\text{the multiplication of } R)(a, f(x))$.

Now we state the proposition:

- (2) Let us consider an element a of the carrier of R , and elements f, h of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$. Then $h = (\text{LMULT}(X, R))(a, f)$ if and only if for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $h(x) = (\text{the multiplication of } R)(a, f(x))$.

PROOF: If for every x such that $x \in X$ holds $h(x) = (\text{the multiplication of } R)(a, f(x))$, then $h = (\text{LMULT}(X, R))(a, f)$ by [9, (92)]. $\square$

Let us consider R and X . The functor $\text{fs-Funcs}(X, R)$ yielding a subset of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$ is defined by the term

(Def. 8) $\{x, \text{ where } x \text{ is a function from } X \text{ into the carrier of } R : x \text{ is finite-Support}\}.$

Let us note that $\text{fs-Funcs}(X, R)$ is non empty and there exists a function from X into the carrier of R which is finite-Support.

Now we state the propositions:

(3) Let us consider finite-Support functions f, g from X into the carrier of R . Then $(\text{ADD}(X, R))(\langle f, g \rangle)$ is a finite-Support function from X into the carrier of R .

PROOF: Reconsider $f_1 = f, g_1 = g$ as an element of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$. Reconsider $h = (\text{ADD}(X, R))(f_1, g_1)$ as an element of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$. For every object o such that $o \in \text{Support } h$ holds $o \in \text{Support } f_1 \cup \text{Support } g_1$ by [15, (22)]. $\square$

(4) Let us consider an element a of the carrier of R , and a finite-Support function g from X into the carrier of R . Then $(\text{LMULT}(X, R))(a, g)$ is a finite-Support function from X into the carrier of R .

PROOF: Reconsider $F = (\text{LMULT}(X, R))(a, g)$ as an element of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$. For every object o such that $o \in \text{Support } F$ holds $o \in \text{Support } g$ by [9, (5)], (2). $\square$

From now on f, g, h denote elements of $\text{fs-Funcs}(X, R)$.

Let X be a non empty set and Y be a non empty additive loop structure. The functor $\text{FuncAdd}(X, Y)$ yielding a binary operation on $(\text{the carrier of } Y)^X$ is defined by

(Def. 9) for every elements f, g of $(\text{the carrier of } Y)^X$, $it(f, g) = (\text{the addition of } Y)^\circ(f, g).$

Let us consider R and X . The functor $\text{fs-ADD}(X, R)$ yielding a binary operation on $\text{fs-Funcs}(X, R)$ is defined by the term

(Def. 10) $\text{ADD}(X, R) \upharpoonright (\text{fs-Funcs}(X, R) \times \text{fs-Funcs}(X, R)).$

The functor $\text{fs-LMULT}(X, R)$ yielding a function from $(\text{the carrier of } R) \times \text{fs-Funcs}(X, R)$ into $\text{fs-Funcs}(X, R)$ is defined by the term

(Def. 11) $\text{LMULT}(X, R) \upharpoonright ((\text{the carrier of } R) \times \text{fs-Funcs}(X, R)).$

The functor $\text{0-fs-Zero}(X, R)$ yielding an element of $\text{fs-Funcs}(X, R)$ is defined by the term

(Def. 12) $\text{0-Funcs}(X, R).$

Let us consider R and X . The functor $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$ yielding a non empty vector space structure over R is defined by the term

(Def. 13) $\langle \text{fs-Funcs}(X, R), \text{fs-ADD}(X, R), 0\text{-fs-Zero}(X, R), \text{fs-LMULT}(X, R) \rangle$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (5) Let us consider elements v_1, w_1 of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$, and elements v, w of $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$. If $v_1 = v$ and $w_1 = w$, then $v+w = (\text{ADD}(X, R))(v_1, w_1)$.
- (6) $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$ is a left module over R .

PROOF: $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$ is Abelian by [8, (47)]. $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$ is add-associative. $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$ is right zeroed. $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$ is right complementable. $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$ is vector distributive. $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$ is scalar distributive. $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$ is scalar associative. $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$ is scalar unital. $\square$

Let us consider R and X . One can verify that $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$ is Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, left zeroed, right complementable, vector distributive, scalar distributive, scalar associative, and scalar unital.

Let us consider R and X . The functor $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ yielding a non empty vector space structure over R is defined by the term

(Def. 14) $\text{FS-Funcs}(X, R)$.

Let us observe that $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ is Abelian, add-associative, right zeroed, left zeroed, right complementable, vector distributive, scalar distributive, scalar associative, and scalar unital.

2. BASIS MAP AND RECONSTRUCTION

In the sequel X denotes a non empty set, R denotes a non degenerated ring, and M, N denote left modules over R .

Let us consider R and X . Let f be an element of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. The functor $\text{R2P}(f)$ yielding an element of $\text{fs-Funcs}(X, R)$ is defined by the term

(Def. 15) f .

The functor $@f$ yielding an element of $(\text{the carrier of } R)^X$ is defined by the term

(Def. 16) f .

Let us consider X and R . Let a be an element of X . The functor $\text{iota}(a, R)$ yielding a function from X into Ω_R is defined by

(Def. 17) for every object x such that $x \in X$ holds if $x = a$, then $it(x) = 1_R$ and if $x \neq a$, then $it(x) = 0_R$.

Let us note that $\text{iota}(a, R)$ is $(\text{the carrier of } R)\text{-valued}$ and $\text{iota}(a, R)$ is finite-Support.

Let x be an element of X . The functor $\text{Iota}(x, R)$ yielding an element of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ is defined by the term

(Def. 18) $\text{iota}(x, R)$.

Let us consider R and X . The functor $\text{IotaMap}(X, R)$ yielding a function from X into $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ is defined by

(Def. 19) for every element x of X , $it(x) = \text{Iota}(x, R)$.

One can verify that $\text{IotaMap}(X, R)$ is one-to-one.

Let x be an element of X . The functor $\text{ev}(x, R)$ yielding a function from $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ into R is defined by

(Def. 20) for every element f of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$, $it(f) = (^@f)(x)$.

Let f be an element of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. The functor $\text{ev-iota}(f)$ yielding a function from X into $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ is defined by

(Def. 21) for every element x of X , $it(x) = (\text{ev}(x, R))(f) \cdot (\text{IotaMap}(X, R))(x)$.

Observe that $\text{Support } ^@f$ is finite as a set and $0_{\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)}$ is zero and there exists an element of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ which is non zero.

Let f be an element of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. The functor $\text{idxseq}(f)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of X is defined by the term

(Def. 22) $\text{CFS}(\text{Support } ^@f)$.

Now we state the proposition:

(7) Let us consider an element f of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. Then $\text{Support ev-iota}(f) = \text{Support } ^@f$.

PROOF: $\text{Support ev-iota}(f) \subseteq \text{Support } ^@f$ by [10, (14)]. $\square$

Let us consider X and R . Let s be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ and x be an element of X . The functor $\text{ev}(s, x)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of R is defined by

(Def. 23) $\text{dom } it = \text{dom } s$ and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } s$ holds $it(i) = (\text{ev}(x, R))(s_{/i})$.

Now we state the propositions:

(8) Let us consider an element x of X , an element f of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$, and an element a of R . Then $(^@a \cdot f)(x) = a \cdot (^@f)(x)$. The theorem is a consequence of (2).

(9) Let us consider an element x of X , and elements f, g of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. Then

(i) $(^@(f + g))(x) = (^@f)(x) + (^@g)(x)$, and

(ii) $(\text{ev}(x, R))(f + g) = (\text{ev}(x, R))(f) + (\text{ev}(x, R))(g)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (5) and (1).

- (10) Let us consider an element x of X . Then $(\text{ev}(x, R))(0_{\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)}) = 0_R$.
- (11) Let us consider a natural number N_0 , a finite sequence F of elements of the carrier of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$, and an element x of X . Suppose $\text{len } F = N_0 + 1$. Then $\text{ev}(F, x) = \text{ev}(F \upharpoonright N_0, x) \smallfrown \langle (\text{ev}(x, R))(F_{/\text{len } F}) \rangle$.
 PROOF: For every natural number k such that $1 \leq k \leq \text{len}(\text{ev}(F, x))$ holds $(\text{ev}(F, x))(k) = (\text{ev}(F \upharpoonright N_0, x) \smallfrown \langle (\text{ev}(x, R))(F_{/\text{len } F}) \rangle)(k)$ by [3, (9)], [16, (18)], [8, (47)], [3, (6), (4)]. $\square$
- (12) Let us consider a finite sequence F of elements of the carrier of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$, and an element x of X . Then $(\text{ev}(x, R))(\sum F) = \sum \text{ev}(F, x)$. The theorem is a consequence of (10), (9), and (11).

Let us consider X and R . Let f be an element of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. The functor $\text{F-idxseq}(f)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ is defined by

(Def. 24) $\text{len } it = \text{len}(\text{idxseq}(f))$ and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } it$ holds $it(i) = (\text{ev-iota}(f))((\text{idxseq}(f))(i))$.

Let us consider an element f of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ and an element x of X . Now we state the propositions:

- (13) If $x \notin \text{Support } {}^{\textcircled{a}}f$, then $0_R = ({}^{\textcircled{a}}\sum \text{F-idxseq}(f))(x)$.
 PROOF: For every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom}(\text{ev}(\text{F-idxseq}(f), x))$ holds $(\text{ev}(\text{F-idxseq}(f), x))(i) = 0_R$ by [8, (3), (49)]. $\square$
- (14) Suppose $x \in \text{Support } {}^{\textcircled{a}}f$. Then there exists a natural number k such that
- (i) $x = (\text{CFS}(\text{Support } {}^{\textcircled{a}}f))(k)$, and
 - (ii) $k \in \text{dom}(\text{CFS}(\text{Support } {}^{\textcircled{a}}f))$, and
 - (iii) $(\text{ev}(\text{F-idxseq}(f), x))(k) = ({}^{\textcircled{a}}f)(x)$.

PROOF: Consider o being an object such that $o \in \text{dom}(\text{CFS}(\text{Support } {}^{\textcircled{a}}f))$ and $x = (\text{CFS}(\text{Support } {}^{\textcircled{a}}f))(o)$. Reconsider $n_1 = o$ as a natural number. $(\text{ev}(\text{F-idxseq}(f), x))(n_1) = ({}^{\textcircled{a}}f)(x)$ by [8, (49)]. $\square$

- (15) If $x \in \text{Support } {}^{\textcircled{a}}f$, then $({}^{\textcircled{a}}f)(x) = ({}^{\textcircled{a}}\sum \text{F-idxseq}(f))(x)$.
 PROOF: Consider o being an object such that $o \in \text{dom}(\text{CFS}(\text{Support } {}^{\textcircled{a}}f))$ and $x = (\text{CFS}(\text{Support } {}^{\textcircled{a}}f))(o)$. Reconsider $n_1 = o$ as a natural number. For every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom}(\text{ev}(\text{F-idxseq}(f), x))$ and $i \neq n_1$ holds $(\text{ev}(\text{F-idxseq}(f), x))(i) = 0_R$ by [8, (3), (49)]. Consider k being a natural number such that $x = (\text{CFS}(\text{Support } {}^{\textcircled{a}}f))(k)$ and $k \in \text{dom}(\text{CFS}(\text{Support } {}^{\textcircled{a}}f))$ and $(\text{ev}(\text{F-idxseq}(f), x))(k) = ({}^{\textcircled{a}}f)(x)$. $\sum \text{ev}(\text{F-idxseq}(f), x) = (\text{ev}(x, R))(\sum \text{F-idxseq}(f))$. $\square$

Now we state the propositions:

(16) MAIN RESULT: EVERY ELEMENT OF $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ IS THE SUM OF ITS CANONICAL FINITE DECOMPOSITION:

Let us consider an element f of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. Then $f = \sum \text{F-id}x\text{seq}(f)$. The theorem is a consequence of (15) and (13).

(17) Let us consider elements f, g of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. Suppose $\text{Support}^{\textcircled{a}}f$ misses $\text{Support}^{\textcircled{a}}g$. Then $\text{Support}^{\textcircled{a}}(f + g) = \text{Support}^{\textcircled{a}}f \cup \text{Support}^{\textcircled{a}}g$. The theorem is a consequence of (9).

Let us consider X, R , and N . Let u be a function from X into N . The functor ${}^{\textcircled{a}}u$ yielding an element of $(\Omega_N)^X$ is defined by the term

(Def. 25) u .

The functor $\text{P2R}(u)$ yielding an element of $\text{Func-Mod}(X, N)$ is defined by the term

(Def. 26) u .

Let u be an element of $\text{Func-Mod}(X, N)$. The functor $\text{P2R}(u)$ yielding a function from X into N is defined by the term

(Def. 27) u .

3. UNIVERSAL MAPPING PROPERTY

From now on B, B_1, B_2 denote elements of $\text{Fin } X$, f denotes an element of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$, and v denotes a function from X into the carrier of N .

Let R be a non degenerated ring, X be a non empty set, N be a left module over R , v be a function from X into the carrier of N , and f be an element of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. The functor $\text{TermFS}(f, v)$ yielding a function from X into the carrier of N is defined by

(Def. 28) for every element x of X , $it(x) = ({}^{\textcircled{a}}f)(x) \cdot v(x)$.

Let B be an element of $\text{Fin } X$. The functor $\text{PhiSet}(v, f, B)$ yielding an element of N is defined by the term

(Def. 29) (the addition of N)- $\sum_B \text{TermFS}(f, v)$.

Now we state the proposition:

(18) Let us consider an element x of X . Then $\text{PhiSet}(v, f, \{x\}_f) = ({}^{\textcircled{a}}f)(x) \cdot v(x)$.

Let R be a non degenerated ring, X be a non empty set, and f be an element of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. The functor $\text{SuppFin}(f)$ yielding an element of $\text{Fin } X$ is defined by the term

(Def. 30) $\text{Support}^{\textcircled{a}}f$.

We define the induced homomorphism $\Phi(v) : \text{FS.Funcs}(X, R) \rightarrow N$.

Let R be a non degenerated ring, X be a non empty set, N be a left module over R , and v be a function from X into the carrier of N . The functor Φ_v yielding a function from $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ into the carrier of N is defined by

(Def. 31) for every element f of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$, $it(f) = \text{PhiSet}(v, f, \text{SuppFin}(f))$.

Now we state the proposition:

- (19) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , a non empty set X , a left module N over R , a function v from X into the carrier of N , and an element x of X . Then $(\Phi_v)(\text{Iota}(x, R)) = v(x)$. The theorem is a consequence of (18).

Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , a non empty set X , a left module N over R , a function v from X into the carrier of N , and elements f, g of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. Now we state the propositions:

- (20) If $\text{Support}^{\textcircled{a}}f$ misses $\text{Support}^{\textcircled{a}}g$, then $(\Phi_v)(f + g) = (\Phi_v)(f) + (\Phi_v)(g)$.
The theorem is a consequence of (9) and (17).
- (21) $(\Phi_v)(f + g) = (\Phi_v)(f) + (\Phi_v)(g)$.

Now we state the propositions:

- (22) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , a non empty set X , a left module N over R , a function v from X into the carrier of N , an element f of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$, and an element a of R . Then $(\Phi_v)(a \cdot f) = a \cdot (\Phi_v)(f)$.
- (23) Let us consider a non empty additive loop structure M , a finite sequence p of elements of the carrier of M , and a natural number k . If $k \in \text{dom } p$, then $\text{Del}(p, k, k) = p \upharpoonright k$.

PROOF: Reconsider $p_0 = p$ as a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of M . For every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom}(\text{Del}(p, k, k))$ holds $(\text{Del}(p, k, k))(i) = (p_0 \upharpoonright k)(i)$ by [16, (109)], [3, (1)], [16, (25), (112), (110)].

□

Let R be a ring, X be a set, M be a left module over R , and u be a function from X into the carrier of M . We say that u is universal if and only if

(Def. 32) for every left module N over R and for every function v from X into the carrier of N , there exists a homomorphism F from M to N by id_R such that $F \cdot u = v$ and for every homomorphisms f_1, f_2 from M to N by id_R such that $f_1 \cdot u = v$ and $f_2 \cdot u = v$ holds $f_1 = f_2$.

Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , a non empty set X , a left module N over R , and a function v from X into the carrier of N . Now we state the propositions:

- (24) Φ_v is a homomorphism from $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ to N by id_R . The theorem is a consequence of (21) and (22).

$$(25) \quad (\Phi_v) \cdot (\text{IotaMap}(X, R)) = v.$$

PROOF: For every element x of X , $((\Phi_v) \cdot (\text{IotaMap}(X, R)))(x) = v(x)$ by [9, (15)], (19). $\square$

Let R be a ring, M, N be left modules over R , h be a function from the carrier of M into the carrier of N , and s be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of M . One can check that $h \cdot s$ is finite sequence-like and (the carrier of N)-valued.

Now we state the propositions:

$$(26) \quad \text{Let us consider non empty sets } D, E, \text{ a function } h \text{ from } D \text{ into } E, \text{ a finite sequence } p \text{ of elements of } D, \text{ and an element } d \text{ of } D. \text{ Then } h \cdot (p \frown \langle d \rangle) = h \cdot p \frown \langle h(d) \rangle.$$

PROOF: Set $q = h \cdot (p \frown \langle d \rangle)$. Set $r = h \cdot p \frown \langle h(d) \rangle$. Reconsider $p_1 = p \frown \langle d \rangle$ as a finite sequence of elements of D . For every object j such that $j \in \text{dom } q$ holds $(h \cdot p_1)(j) = r(j)$ by [7, (7)], [8, (13), (12)]. $\square$

$$(27) \quad \text{Let us consider non empty, add-associative, right zeroed, right complementable additive loop structures } M, N, \text{ a function } h \text{ from the carrier of } M \text{ into the carrier of } N, \text{ and a finite sequence } s \text{ of elements of the carrier of } M. \text{ If } h \text{ is additive, then } h(\sum s) = \sum h \cdot s.$$

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ for every finite sequence s of elements of the carrier of M such that $\text{len } s = \$_1$ holds $h(\sum s) = \sum h \cdot s$. $\mathcal{P}[0]$ by [18, (75), (43)]. For every natural number n such that $\mathcal{P}[n]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[n+1]$ by [3, (59)], [2, (11)], [17, (15)], [7, (11)]. For every natural number n , $\mathcal{P}[n]$ from [2, Sch. 2]. $\square$

Now we state the proposition:

$$(28) \quad \text{MAIN RESULT: THE MAP } \text{IotaMap}(X, R) \text{ SATISFIES THE UNIVERSAL MAPPING PROPERTY OF THE FREE MODULE ON } X:$$

Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , and a non empty set X . Then $\text{IotaMap}(X, R)$ is universal. The theorem is a consequence of (24) and (25).

4. LINEAR INDEPENDENCE AND BASIS

In the sequel a denotes a natural number and p denotes a finite sequence.

Now we state the proposition:

$$(29) \quad \text{Let us consider a non degenerated ring } R, \text{ a non empty set } X, \text{ and an element } f \text{ of } \text{Free-LMod}(X, R). \text{ Then } f \in \text{Lin}(\text{rng } \text{IotaMap}(X, R)).$$

PROOF: Set $s = \text{F-idxseq}(f)$. Set $W = \text{Lin}(\text{rng } \text{IotaMap}(X, R))$. $\sum s \in W$ by [18, (75)], [19, (17)], [3, (15)], [2, (11)]. $\square$

Let us consider a non degenerated ring R and a non empty set X . Now we state the propositions:

(30) $\text{Lin}(\text{rng IotaMap}(X, R))$ = the vector space structure of $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (29).

(31) $\text{rng IotaMap}(X, R)$ is linearly independent.

PROOF: Set $V = \text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$. Set $A = \text{rng IotaMap}(X, R)$. For every linear combination l of A such that $\sum l = 0_V$ holds the support of $l = \emptyset$ by [7, (11)], [16, (29)], (9), (10). $\square$

(32) $\text{rng IotaMap}(X, R)$ is base.

Now we state the proposition:

(33) AS A COROLLARY OF (34), USING THE BASIS-THEORETIC DEFINITION OF FREE MODULES:

Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , and a non empty set X . Then $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ is free. The theorem is a consequence of (32).

5. THE FREE MODULE GENERATED BY THE EMPTY SET

Now we state the propositions:

(34) $\text{FS-Funcs}(\emptyset, R) = {}_R\Theta$.

(35) $\text{Free-LMod}(\emptyset, R)$ is free. The theorem is a consequence of (34).

(36) Let us consider a non degenerated ring R , and a set X . Then $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ is free. The theorem is a consequence of (33) and (35).

Let R be a non degenerated ring and X be a set. Let us note that $\text{Free-LMod}(X, R)$ is free.

REFERENCES

- [1] Michael Francis Atiyah and Ian Grant Macdonald. *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, volume 2. Addison-Wesley Reading, 1969.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pąk, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, volume 9150 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8_17.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [6] T. S. Blyth. *Module Theory: An Approach to Linear Algebra*. 2nd edition, 2018. Electronic edition, University of St Andrews.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.

- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [10] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [11] The mathlib Community. The Lean mathematical library. In *Proceedings of the 9th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs, CPP 2020*, pages 367–381, New York, NY, USA, 2020. Association for Computing Machinery. doi:10.1145/3372885.3373824.
- [12] Michał Muzalewski. Rings and modules – part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):579–585, 1991.
- [13] Michał Muzalewski. Free modules. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):587–589, 1991.
- [14] Tohru Ozawa. The free module generated by a set, the tensor product of r -modules (in Japanese). Lecture note, December 2007. Available at <http://www.ozawa.phys.waseda.ac.jp/index2.html>.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [16] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
- [17] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
- [19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Subspaces and cosets of subspaces in vector space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):865–870, 1990.

Received August 2, 2026
